Introduction

The brain communicates with the body by sending electrical signals along nerves. When it comes time to go to the bathroom, the brain sends signals to specific nerves that travel through the lower back to the muscles that control the opening and closing of the bladder and bowel. Weak electrical signals may be used to address certain kinds of bowel and bladder problems that have not responded to other treatments. This procedure is known as sacral nerve neuromodulation. Another name for it is sacral nerve stimulation. This procedure involves implanting a small device under the skin in the lower back area. Small wires are also implanted so that the electric current activates the nerve important to either bladder or bowel function. This treatment usually is done in two steps. The first is a temporary placement to find out if sacral nerve stimulation works. The second is surgery to place the permanent implant. This policy describes when sacral nerve stimulation may be considered medically necessary.

Note: The Introduction section is for your general knowledge and is not to be taken as policy coverage criteria. The rest of the policy uses specific words and concepts familiar to medical professionals. It is intended for providers. A provider can be a person, such as a doctor, nurse, psychologist, or dentist. A provider also can be a place where medical care is given, like a hospital, clinic, or lab. This policy informs them about when a service may be covered.
Note: This policy addresses the InterStim® System (see Regulatory Status section).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Medical Necessity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Urinary Incontinence and Non-obstructive Retention** | **Sacral nerve neuromodulation**  
A trial period of sacral nerve neuromodulation with either percutaneous nerve stimulation or a temporarily implanted lead may be considered medically necessary in patients who meet ALL of the following criteria:  
- There is a diagnosis of at least one of the following:  
  - Urge incontinence  
  - Urgency-frequency syndrome  
  - Nonobstructive urinary retention  
  - Overactive bladder (see Definition of Terms)  
AND  
- There is documented failure or intolerance to at least 2 conventional conservative therapies (eg, behavioral training such as bladder training, prompted voiding, or pelvic muscle exercise training, pharmacologic treatment for at least a sufficient duration to fully assess its efficacy, and/or surgical corrective therapy)  
AND  
- The patient is an appropriate surgical candidate  
AND  
- Incontinence is not related to a spinal cord injury or progressive, systemic neurologic condition (such as multiple sclerosis or diabetic neuropathy) | **Permanent implantation, sacral nerve neuromodulation device**  
Permanent implantation of a sacral nerve neuromodulation device may be considered medically necessary in patients who meet ALL of the following criteria:  
- All of the criteria above are met  
AND  
- A trial stimulation period demonstrates at least 50% improvement in symptoms over a period of at least 48 hours |

| **Fecal Incontinence** | **Sacral nerve neuromodulation**  
A trial period of sacral nerve neuromodulation with either percutaneous nerve stimulation or a temporarily implanted lead may be considered medically necessary in patients |
### Service: Medical Necessity

**Permanent implantation, sacral nerve neuromodulation device**

Permanent implantation of a sacral nerve neuromodulation device may be considered medically necessary in patients who meet all of the following criteria:

- All of the criteria above are met
- A trial stimulation period demonstrates at least 50% improvement in symptoms over a period of at least 48 hours.

---

**Service** | **Medical Necessity**
---|---

**Lead may be considered medically necessary in patients who meet all of the following criteria:**

- There is a diagnosis of chronic fecal incontinence of more than 2 incontinent episodes on average per week for more than 6 months, or for more than 12 months after vaginal childbirth

**AND**

- There is documented failure or intolerance to conventional conservative therapy (e.g., dietary modification, the addition of bulking and pharmacologic treatment) for at least a sufficient duration to fully assess its efficacy

**AND**

- The patient is an appropriate surgical candidate

**AND**

- The condition is not related to an anorectal malformation (e.g., congenital anorectal malformation; defects of the external anal sphincter over 60 degrees; visible sequelae of pelvic radiation; active anal abscesses and fistulae) or chronic inflammatory bowel disease

**AND**

- Incontinence is not related to a spinal cord injury or progressive, systemic neurologic condition (such as multiple sclerosis or diabetic neuropathy)

**AND**

- The patient has not had rectal surgery in the previous 12 months, or in the case of rectal cancer, the patient has not had rectal surgery in the past 24 months
Other applications, urinary incontinence and nonobstructive retention

Other urinary/voiding applications of sacral nerve neuromodulation are considered investigational, including but not limited to treatment of stress incontinence or urge incontinence due to a neurologic condition (eg, detrusor hyperreflexia, multiple sclerosis, spinal cord injury or other types of chronic voiding dysfunction).

Other applications, chronic constipation or chronic pelvic pain

Sacral nerve neuromodulation is investigational in the treatment of chronic constipation or chronic pelvic pain.

Documentation Requirements

The patient’s medical records submitted for review should document that medical necessity criteria are met. The record should include clinical documentation of:

- Diagnosis/condition
- History and physical examination documenting the severity of the condition
- Conventional conservative therapies that have been tried and failed
- Any history of rectal surgery
- Any neurologic conditions or history of spinal cord injury
- If request is for permanent placement, results of trial

Coding

Sacral nerve neuromodulation involves several steps that are identified by the following codes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64561</td>
<td>Percutaneous implantation of neurostimulator electrode array; sacral nerve (transforaminal placement)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64581</td>
<td>Open implantation of neurostimulator electrode array; sacral nerve (transforaminal placement)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64585</td>
<td>Revision or removal of peripheral neurostimulator electrode array</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64590</td>
<td>Insertion or replacement of peripheral or gastric neurostimulator pulse generator or receiver, direct or inductive coupling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64595</td>
<td>Revision or removal of peripheral or gastric neurostimulator pulse generator or receiver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4290</td>
<td>Sacral nerve stimulation test lead, each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1767</td>
<td>Generator, neurostimulator (implantable), nonrechargeable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1778</td>
<td>Lead, neurostimulator (implantable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E0745</td>
<td>Stimulator electronic shock unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L8679</td>
<td>Implantable neurostimulator, pulse generator, any type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L8680</td>
<td>Implantable neurostimulator electrode each (Note: Reported with 1-unit for each contact point on the implanted lead)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L8684</td>
<td>Radiofrequency transmitter (external) for use with implantable sacral root neurostimulator receiver for bowel and bladder management, replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L8685</td>
<td>Implantable neurostimulator pulse generator, single array, rechargeable, includes extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L8686</td>
<td>Implantable neurostimulator pulse generator, single array, nonrechargeable, includes extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L8687</td>
<td>Implantable neurostimulator pulse generator, dual array, rechargeable, includes extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L8688</td>
<td>Implantable neurostimulator pulse generator, dual array, nonrechargeable, includes extension</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** CPT codes, descriptions and materials are copyrighted by the American Medical Association (AMA). HCPCS codes, descriptions and materials are copyrighted by Centers for Medicare Services (CMS).

### Related Information

### Definition of Terms

**Overactive bladder (OAB):** The International Continence Society has defined that overactive bladder syndrome as “urinary urgency, usually with increased daytime frequency and nocturia, with urinary incontinence (OAB-wet) or without (OAB-dry) urgency urinary incontinence, in the absence of urinary tract infection or other detectable disease” (available online at
Evidence Review

Description

Sacral nerve neuromodulation (SNM), also known as sacral nerve stimulation, involves the implantation of a permanent device that modulates the neural pathways controlling bladder or rectal function. This policy addresses use of sacral nerve neuromodulation to treat urinary or fecal incontinence, fecal nonobstructive retention, and chronic pelvic pain in patients with intact neural innervation of the bladder and/or rectum.

Background

Treatment

Treatment using sacral nerve neuromodulation, also known as indirect sacral nerve stimulation, is one of several alternative modalities for patients with urinary or fecal incontinence (urge incontinence, significant symptoms of urgency-frequency, nonobstructive urinary retention) who have failed behavioral (e.g., prompted voiding) and/or pharmacologic therapies.

The sacral nerve neuromodulation device consists of an implantable pulse generator that delivers controlled electrical impulses. This pulse generator is attached to wire leads that connect to the sacral nerves, most commonly the S3 nerve root. Two external components of the system help control the electrical stimulation. A control magnet, kept by the patient, is used to turn the device on or off. A console programmer is kept by the physician and used to adjust the settings of the pulse generator.

Before implantation of the permanent device, patients undergo an initial testing phase to estimate potential response to treatment. The first type of testing developed was percutaneous nerve evaluation (PNE). This procedure is done with the patient under local anesthesia, using a test needle to identify the appropriate sacral nerve(s). Once identified, a temporary wire lead is inserted through the test needle and left in place for four to seven days. This lead is connected to an external stimulator, which is carried by patients in their pocket or on their belt. The results

of this test phase are used to determine whether patients are appropriate candidates for the permanent device. If patients show a 50% or greater reduction in symptom frequency, they are deemed eligible for the permanent device.

The second type of testing is a 2-stage surgical procedure. In the first stage, a quadripolar-tined lead is implanted (stage 1). The testing phase can last as long as several weeks, and if patients show a 50% or greater reduction in symptom frequency, they can proceed to stage 2 of the surgery, which is permanent implantation of the neuromodulation device. The 2-stage surgical procedure has been used in various ways. They include its use instead of PNE, for patients who failed PNE, for patients with an inconclusive PNE, or for patients who had a successful PNE to refine patient selection further.

The permanent device is implanted with the patient under general anesthesia. The electrical leads are placed in contact with the sacral nerve root(s) via an incision in the lower back, and the wire leads are extended through a second incision underneath the skin, across the flank to the lower abdomen. Finally, a third incision is made in the lower abdomen where the pulse generator is inserted and connected to the wire leads. Following implantation, the physician programs the pulse generator to the optimal settings for that patient. The patient can switch the pulse generator between on and off by placing the control magnet over the area of the pulse generator for one to two seconds.

**Summary of Evidence**

For individuals with urinary incontinence who have failed conservative treatment who receive SNM, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and case series. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, and treatment-related morbidity. Results from the RCTs and case series with long-term follow-up have suggested that SNM reduces symptoms of urge incontinence, urgency-frequency syndrome, nonobstructive urinary retention, and overactive bladder in selected patients. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with fecal incontinence who have failed conservative treatment who receive SNM, the evidence includes RCTs, systematic reviews and observational studies including several with long-term follow-up. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, and treatment-related morbidity. Although relatively small, the available trials had a low risk of bias and demonstrated improvements in incontinence relative to alternatives in selected patients. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.
For individuals with constipation who have failed conservative treatment who receive SNM, the evidence includes RCTs, systematic reviews, and case series including several with long-term follow-up. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, and treatment-related morbidity. The available trials have not consistently reported improvements in outcomes with SNM. Additional studies are needed to demonstrate the health benefits of this technology. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with chronic pelvic pain who receive SNM, the evidence is limited to case series. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, and treatment-related morbidity. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

**Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials**

Some currently ongoing and unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 1.

**Table 1. Summary of Key Trials**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NCT No.</th>
<th>Trial Name</th>
<th>Planned Enrollment</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ongoing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03139734</td>
<td>Sacral Neuromodulation for Pelvic Pain Associated with Endometriosis</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>May 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03811821</td>
<td>Comparative Effects of Biofeedback, Sacral Nerve Stimulation, and Injectable Bulking Agents for Treatment of Fecal Incontinence: The Fecal Incontinence Treatment Study (FIT) Study</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>Mar 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04710433</td>
<td>Non-invasive Sacral Nerve Stimulation in Children and Adolescents With Chronic Constipation</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Dec 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04506866</td>
<td>InterStim Micro Post Market Clinical Follow-up Study (ELITE)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Apr 2024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a denotes an industry-sponsored trial
NCT: national clinical trial
Clinical Input Received from Physician Specialty Societies and Academic Medical Centers

While the various physician specialty societies and academic medical centers may collaborate with and make recommendations during this process, through the provision of appropriate reviewers, input received does not represent an endorsement or position statement by the physician specialty societies or academic medical centers, unless otherwise noted.

In response to requests, input was received from four physician specialty societies and two academic medical centers while this policy was under review in 2012. Reviewers from two specialty societies and two academic medical centers provided opinions on the possible medical necessity of implantable leads for test stimulation, as part of a 2-stage process for device implantation. All four respondents supported the use of implantable leads for test stimulation as an alternative to percutaneous test stimulation for patients who had failed percutaneous test stimulation and/or for patients with inconclusive percutaneous test stimulation. Reasons for support included a longer period of interrupted treatment with stage-1 stimulation due to less lead migration and a higher rate of positive tests compared with percutaneous test stimulation.

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

The purpose of the following information is to provide reference material. Inclusion does not imply endorsement or alignment with the evidence review conclusions.

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion if they were issued by, or jointly by, a U.S. professional society, an international society with U.S. representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

Urinary Disorders

American Urological Association

In 2019, the American Urological Association updated its guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of overactive bladder. The guidelines stated that sacral neuromodulation may be offered as a third-line treatment in carefully selected patients with severe refractory symptoms or into those who are not candidates for second-line therapy (eg, oral antimuscarinics, oral β3-
adrenoceptor agonists, transdermal oxybutynin) and are willing to undergo surgery (recommendation, evidence strength grade C).

**American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists**

A 2015 practice bulletin on urinary incontinence (replaced practice bulletin number 63, 2005; reaffirmed in 2019) from the College stated, “sacral neuromodulation may be considered for patients with recalcitrant urinary urge incontinence who have failed other conservative measures, including bladder training, pelvic floor physical therapy with biofeedback, and pharmacologic treatment.”.37

**European Association of Urology**

A 2018 guideline on urinary incontinence from the Association makes the following statements.38

"Sacral nerve neuromodulation is more effective than continuation of failed conservative treatment for cure of urge urinary incontinence [UUI], but no sham controls have been used."

"Sacral nerve neuromodulation is not more effective than OnabotulinumA toxin 200 U injection at 6 months."

"In those patients who have been implanted, at long-term, 50% improvement of UUI is maintained in at least 50% of patients and 15% may remain cured."

"The use of tined, permanent electrodes in a staged approach results in more patients receiving the final implant than occurs with temporary test stimulation."

The guideline also gives a strong recommendation for offering sacral nerve modulation to "patients who have UUI refractory to antimuscarinic therapy."

Of note, the 2018 guideline was reprinted in 2020 and will be updated in full for 2021 under the European Association of Urology Guidelines on Non-neurogenic Female Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms.
Fecal Disorders

**National Institute for Health and Care Excellence**

In 2007, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence issued guidance on the management of fecal incontinence. The guidance was reviewed in 2014 and 2018, and no changes were made. The guidance has recommended:

> A trial of temporary sacral nerve stimulation should be considered for people with faecal incontinence in whom sphincter surgery is deemed inappropriate... All individuals should be informed of the potential benefits and limitations of this procedure and should undergo a trial stimulation period of at least 2 weeks to determine if they are likely to benefit. People with fecal incontinence should be offered sacral nerve stimulation on the basis of their response to percutaneous nerve evaluation during specialist assessment, which is predictive of therapy success.\(^{39}\)

**American College of Gastroenterology**

In its 2014 clinical guideline on the management of benign anorectal disorders, including fecal incontinence, the American College of Gastroenterology found that "sacral nerve stimulation should be considered in [fecal incontinence] who do not respond to conservative therapy (strong recommendation, moderate quality of evidence)."\(^{40}\)

**Pelvic Floor Society**

In 2017, the Pelvic Floor Society conducted a systematic review as the basis for practice recommendations on the use of SNS for the treatment of constipation.\(^{41}\) The systematic review assessed seven observational studies, all generally of poor quality due to inadequate description of methods. Due to inconsistent reporting on harms and treatment success, and heterogeneity in the patient populations, the Society could not recommend SNS.

**American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists**

A 2020 practice bulletin on fecal incontinence from the College stated, "Sacral nerve stimulation can be considered as a surgical treatment option for women with fecal incontinence with or without anal sphincter disruption who have failed conservative treatments."\(^{42}\)
Medicare National Coverage

In 2002, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services covers SNS for the “treatment of urinary urge incontinence, urgency-frequency syndrome, and urinary retention.” SNS “involves both a temporary test stimulation to determine if an implantable stimulator would be effective and a permanent implantation in appropriate candidates. Both the test and the permanent implantation are covered.”

“The following limitations for coverage apply to all three indications:

- Patients must be refractory to conventional therapy...and be appropriate surgical candidates such that implantation with anesthesia can occur.
- Patients with stress incontinence, urinary obstruction, and specific neurologic diseases...that are associated with secondary manifestations...are excluded.
- Patients must have had successful test stimulation in order to support subsequent implantation. Before patients are eligible for permanent implantation, they must demonstrate a 50% or greater improvement through test stimulation. Improvement is measured through voiding diaries.”

Regulatory Status

In 1997, the Interstim® Sacral Nerve Stimulation system (Medtronic) was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the premarket approval process for the indication of urinary urge incontinence in patients who have failed or could not tolerate more conservative treatments. In 1999, the device received FDA approval for the additional indications of urgency-frequency and urinary retention in patients without mechanical obstruction.

In 2006, the Medtronic Interstim II® System (Medtronic) was approved by FDA through the premarket approval process for treatment of intractable cases of overactive bladder and urinary retention. The new device is smaller and lighter than the original and is reported to be suited for those with lower energy requirements or small stature. The device also includes updated software and programming options.
In 2011, the InterStim System was approved by FDA through the premarket approval process for the indication of chronic fecal incontinence in patients who have failed or could not tolerate more conservative treatments.

The InterStim® device has not been specifically approved by FDA for treatment of chronic pelvic pain.

FDA product code: EZW.

**Note:** This policy does not address pelvic floor stimulation, which refers to electrical stimulation of the pudendal nerve. Pelvic floor stimulation is addressed in a separate in policy (see Related Policies).

This policy does not address devices that provide direct SNS in patients with spinal cord injuries. The VOCARE® Bladder System/ FineTech Brindley Bladder Control System, a stimulator implanted in the sacral anterior nerve roots, is one device intended for patients with complete spinal cord injury and neurogenic bladder.
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**Disclaimer:** This medical policy is a guide in evaluating the medical necessity of a particular service or treatment. The Company adopts policies after careful review of published peer-reviewed scientific literature, national guidelines and local standards of practice. Since medical technology is constantly changing, the Company reserves the right to review and update policies as appropriate. Member contracts differ in their benefits. Always consult the member benefit booklet or contact a member service representative to determine coverage for a specific medical service or supply. CPT codes, descriptions and materials are copyrighted by the American Medical Association (AMA). ©2022 Premera All Rights Reserved.

**Scope:** Medical policies are systematically developed guidelines that serve as a resource for Company staff when determining coverage for specific medical procedures, drugs or devices. Coverage for medical services is subject to the limits and conditions of the member benefit plan. Members and their providers should consult the member benefit booklet or contact a customer service representative to determine whether there are any benefit limitations applicable to this service or supply. This medical policy does not apply to Medicare Advantage.
Discrimination is Against the Law

Premera Blue Cross (Premera) complies with applicable Federal and Washington state civil rights laws and does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, sex, gender identity, or sexual orientation. Premera does not exclude people or treat them differently because of race, color, national origin, age, disability, sex, gender identity, or sexual orientation. Premera provides free aids and services to people with disabilities to communicate effectively with us, such as qualified sign language interpreters and written information in other formats (large print, audio, accessible electronic formats, other formats). Premera provides free language services to people whose primary language is not English, such as qualified interpreters and information written in other languages. If you need these services, contact the Civil Rights Coordinator. If you believe that Premera has failed to provide these services or discriminated in another way on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, sex, gender identity, or sexual orientation, you can file a grievance with: Civil Rights Coordinator — Complaints and Appeals, PO Box 91102, Seattle, WA 98111, Toll free: 855-332-4535, Fax: 425-918-5592, TTY: 711, Email AppealsDepartmentInquiries@Premera.com. You can file a grievance in person or by mail, fax, or email. If you need help filing a grievance, the Civil Rights Coordinator is available to help you. You can also file a civil rights complaint with the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office for Civil Rights, electronically through the Office for Civil Rights Complaint Portal, available at https://ocrportal.hhs.gov/ocr/portal/lobby.jsf, or by mail or phone at: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 200 Independence Ave SW, Room 509F, HHH Building, Washington, D.C. 20201, 1-800-368-1019, 800-537-7697 (TDD). Complaint forms are available at http://www.hhs.gov/ocr/office/file/index.html.


Alaska residents: Contact the Alaska Division of Insurance via email at insurance@alaska.gov, or by phone at 907-269-7900 or 1-800-INSURAK (in-state, outside Anchorage).

Language Assistance

ATENCIÓN: si habla español, tiene a su disposición servicios gratuitos de asistencia lingüística. Llame al 800-722-1471 (TTY: 711).


注音：如果您使用繁體中文，您可以免費獲得語言援助服務。請致電 800-722-1471 (TTY: 711)。


주의: 한국어를 사용하시는 경우, 언어 지원 서비스를 무료로 이용하실 수 있습니다. 800-722-1471 (TTY: 711) 번으로 전화해 주십시오.

ВНИМАНИЕ: Если вы говорите на русском языке, то вам доступны бесплатные услуги перевода. Звоните 800-722-1471 (телетайп: 711).


MO LOU SILAFIA: Afaí e te tautala Gagan'a fa'a Sāmoa, o lea auaanauga fesoasoan, e fai fuafuafu lea to togoti, mo oe, Telefoni mai: 800-722-1471 (TTY: 711).

ప్రశనలు: దీనిని చెప్పించండి వాణిజ్య భాష శాఖ, రాష్ట్రానికి ప్రచురించే భాషాశాఖ, ఇంస్క్రిపాంట్ కోసం కర్నా. సాంకేతిక ప్రతిపాదం, 800-722-1471 (TTY: 711).

注意事項：日本語を話される場合、無料の語文支援をご利用いただけます。800-722-1471 (TTY: 711) まで、お電話にてご連絡ください。


УБАГА! Якщо ви розмовляєте українською мовою, ви можете звернутися до безкоштовної служби мовної підтримки. Телефонуйте за номером 800-722-1471 (телетайп: 711).

Comments: If you address us in the Russian language, you can contact us free of charge at 800-722-1471 (TTY: 711).

Rele 800-722-1471 (TTY: 711). Discrimination is Against the Law.

Premera Blue Cross is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross Blue Shield Association serving businesses and residents of Alaska and Washington State, excluding Clark County.

052493 (07-01-2021)