Automated Percutaneous and Percutaneous Endoscopic Discectomy

**Introduction**

The bones that make up the spine are called vertebrae. Between each of the vertebra is a disc, which prevents the bones from rubbing together. When the disc deteriorates, the gel-like material that’s inside it can leak out and irritate nerves. Cutting away part of the disc is one way to relieve pain and other symptoms. The usual way of performing this surgery is by making an open incision (cut). Newer methods are being studied. One uses a probe and special tools that cut away the disc herniations and suction them out. Another new method uses a small scope with a camera at the end and specialized tools. Both of these methods are considered unproven (investigational). There is not enough medical evidence to show how effective they are.

**Note:** The Introduction section is for your general knowledge and is not to be taken as policy coverage criteria. The rest of the policy uses specific words and concepts familiar to medical professionals. It is intended for providers. A provider can be a person, such as a doctor, nurse, psychologist, or dentist. A provider also can be a place where medical care is given, like a hospital, clinic, or lab. This policy informs them about when a service may be covered.
Policy Coverage Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discectomy</th>
<th>Investigational</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Automated percutaneous discectomy</td>
<td>Automated percutaneous discectomy and percutaneous endoscopic discectomy are considered investigational as techniques of intervertebral disc decompression in patients with back pain and/or radiculopathy related to disc herniation in the lumbar, thoracic, or cervical spine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Percutaneous endoscopic discectomy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coding

CPT code 62287 specifically describes a percutaneous decompression procedure of the lumbar spine. This code is specifically limited to the lumbar region. Although most percutaneous discectomies are performed on lumbar vertebrae, FDA labeling of the Stryker DeKompressor Percutaneous Discectomy Probe and the Nucleotome includes the thoracic and cervical vertebrae.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0274T</td>
<td>Percutaneous laminotomy/laminectomy (interlaminar approach) for decompression of neural elements, (with or without ligamentous resection, discectomy, facetectomy and/or foraminotomy), any method, under indirect image guidance (eg, fluoroscopic, CT), with or without the use of an endoscope, single or multiple levels, unilateral or bilateral; cervical or thoracic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0275T</td>
<td>Percutaneous laminotomy/laminectomy (interlaminar approach) for decompression of neural elements, (with or without ligamentous resection, discectomy, facetectomy and/or foraminotomy), any method, under indirect image guidance (eg, fluoroscopic, CT), with or without the use of an endoscope, single or multiple levels, unilateral or bilateral; lumbar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62287</td>
<td>Decompression procedure, percutaneous, of nucleus pulposus of intervertebral disc, any method utilizing needle based technique to remove disc material under fluoroscopic imaging or other form of indirect visualization, with the use of an endoscope, with discography and/or epidural injection(s) at the treated level(s), when performed, single or multiple levels, lumbar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62380</td>
<td>Endoscopic decompression of spinal cord, nerve root(s), including laminotomy, partial facetectomy, foraminotomy, discectomy and/or excision of herniated intervertebral</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Benefit Application

Percutaneous discectomy may be performed by surgeons, but anesthesiologists or other physicians whose practices focus on pain management may also perform this procedure.

Evidence Review

Description

Traditionally, discectomy and microdiscectomy are performed manually through an open incision in the back. The term "percutaneous discectomy" describes various techniques in which disc decompression is accomplished by the physical removal of disc material rather than by destroying it (ablation). These techniques have been modified by the use of automated devices that involve placement of a probe within the intervertebral disc and aspiration of disc material using a suction cutting device. Removal of disc herniations under endoscopic visualization is also being investigated. Endoscopic discectomy involves the percutaneous placement of a working channel under image guidance, followed by visualization of the working space and instruments through an endoscope.

Background

Back pain or radiculopathy related to herniated discs is an extremely common condition and a frequent cause of chronic disability. Although many cases of acute low back pain and radiculopathy will resolve with conservative care, a surgical decompression is often considered when the pain has not improved after several months of nonsurgical treatment and it is clearly
caused by irritation of the nerve roots (neuropathic). Open surgical treatment typically consists of discectomy in which the extruding disc material is excised. When performed with an operating microscope, the procedure is known as microdiscectomy.

Minimally invasive options have also been researched, in which some portion of the disc material is removed or ablated. However, these techniques are not always precisely targeted at the extruded disc material that is causing the problem. Ablative techniques include laser discectomy and radiofrequency decompression (see Related Policies). In addition, intradiscal electrothermal annuloplasty is another minimally invasive approach to low back pain. In this technique, radiofrequency energy is used to treat the surrounding disc annulus (see Related Policies).

This policy addresses automated percutaneous and endoscopic discectomy, in which the disc decompression is accomplished by the physical removal of disc material rather than its ablation. Traditionally, discectomy is performed manually through an open incision, using cutting forceps to remove nuclear material from within the disc annulus. This technique has been modified by automated devices that involve placement of a probe within the intervertebral disc and aspiration of disc material using a suction cutting device. Endoscopic techniques may be intradiscal or may involve the extraction of non-contained and sequestered disc fragments from inside the spinal canal using an interlaminar or transforaminal approach. Following insertion of the endoscope, the decompression is performed under visual control.

**Summary of Evidence**

The evidence for automated percutaneous discectomy in individuals who have herniated intervertebral discs includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and systematic reviews of RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment related morbidity. The published evidence is insufficient to evaluate the impact of automated percutaneous discectomy on the net health outcome. Well-designed and executed RCTs are needed to determine the benefits and risks of this procedure. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

The evidence for endoscopic discectomy in individuals who have herniated intervertebral discs includes a number of RCTs and systematic reviews of RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment related morbidity. Many of the RCTs were conducted at a single center in Europe. While some trials reported outcomes at least as good as traditional approaches, an RCT from a different center in Europe reported a trend toward increased complications and repeat herniation with an endoscopic approach. There are few
reports from the United States. It is notable that there are a number of moderately large RCTs that will be completed in the next couple of years. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials

Some currently unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Summary of Key Trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NCT No.</th>
<th>Trial Name</th>
<th>Planned Enrollment</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02441959</td>
<td>Full-Endoscopic vs Open Discectomy for the Treatment of Symptomatic Lumbar Herniated Disc: A Prospective Multi-Center Randomized Study</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Jul 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01622413*</td>
<td>Transforaminal Endoscopic Surgery Cost Outcome Research Trial (TESCORT)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Sep 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02742311</td>
<td>EuroPainClinics® Study V Prospective Observational Study (EPCSV)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Jan 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02602093</td>
<td>(Cost) Effectiveness of Percutaneous Transforaminal Endoscopic Discectomy vs. Open Microdiscectomy for Patients With Symptomatic Lumbar Disc Herniation</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>Dec 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01997086</td>
<td>Percutaneous Transforaminal Endoscopic Discectomy (PTED) Versus Microendoscopic Discectomy (MED) for the Treatment of Lumbar Disc Herniation: A Prospective Randomized Controlled Study</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>Aug 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unpublished</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02358291</td>
<td>Microendoscopic Discectomy Vs Transforaminal Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy Vs Open Discectomy for the Treatment of Lumbar Disc Herniation</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>Mar 2017 (unknown)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NCT: national clinical trial.
a Denotes industry-sponsored or cosponsored trial.
Clinical Input Received from Physician Specialty Societies and Academic Medical Centers

While the various physician specialty societies and academic medical centers may provide appropriate reviewers who collaborate with and make recommendations during this process, input received does not represent an endorsement or position statement by the physician specialty societies or academic medical centers, unless otherwise noted.

In response to requests, input was received from 4 physician specialty societies and 3 academic medical centers while this policy was under review in 2013. Overall, the input agreed that percutaneous and endoscopic discectomy are investigational. Most reviewers considered discectomy with tubular retractors to be a variant of open discectomy, with the only difference being the type of retraction used.

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

*National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence*

The National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) published guidance in 2005 on automated percutaneous mechanical lumbar discectomy. The guidance showed that there is limited evidence of efficacy based on uncontrolled case series of heterogeneous groups of patients, and evidence from small RCTs shows conflicting results.\(^{35}\) The guidance states that in view of uncertainty about the efficacy of the procedure, it should not be done without special arrangements for consent and for audit or research. The guidance was considered for update in 2009, but failed review criteria; the 2005 guidance is therefore considered to be current.

A NICE guidance on percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica was published in 2016.\(^{36}\) The guidance stated that current evidence is adequate to support the use of percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica. Choice of operative procedure (open discectomy, microdiscectomy, or percutaneous endoscopic approaches) may be influenced by symptoms as well as location and size of prolapsed disc.

A NICE guidance on percutaneous interlaminar endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica was also published in 2016.\(^{37}\) The guidance stated that current evidence is adequate to support the use of percutaneous interlaminar endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica. Choice of operative procedure (open discectomy, microdiscectomy, or percutaneous endoscopic approaches) may be influenced by symptoms as well as location and size of prolapsed disc.
American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians

The 2013 guideline update from the American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians states that the evidence for percutaneous disc decompression with Dekompressor is limited. There were no recommended indications for DeKompressor.

North American Spine Society

In 2014, the North American Spine Society published clinical guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of lumbar disc herniation. Table 2 summarizes recommendations specific to percutaneous endoscopic discectomy and automated percutaneous discectomy.

Table 2. NASS Recommendations for Lumbar Disc Herniation with Radiculopathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendations</th>
<th>Grade or LOE³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Endoscopic percutaneous discectomy is suggested for carefully selected patients to reduce early postoperative disability and reduce opioid use compared with open discectomy.</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is insufficient evidence to make a recommendation for or against the use of automated percutaneous discectomy compared with open discectomy.</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoscopic percutaneous discectomy may be considered for treatment.</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automated percutaneous discectomy may be considered for treatment.</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients undergoing percutaneous endoscopic discectomy experience better outcomes if &lt;40 years and symptom duration &lt;3 months.</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Grade B: fair evidence (level II or III studies with consistent findings; grade C: poor quality evidence (level IV or V studies). Level of evidence II: lesser quality randomized controlled trial (eg, <80% follow-up, no blinding, or improper randomization), prospective comparative study, systematic review of level II studies or level I studies with inconsistent results; level of evidence III: case control, retrospective, systematic review of level III studies; level of evidence IV: case series; level of evidence V: expert opinion.

American Pain Society

The 2009 clinical practice guidelines from the American Pain Society found insufficient evidence to evaluate alternative surgical methods to standard open discectomy and microdiscectomy,
including laser or endoscopic-assisted techniques, various percutaneous techniques, Coblation nucleoplasty, or the Disc Dekompressor.\(^{39}\)

**Medicare National Coverage**

There is no national coverage determination (NCD). In the absence of an NCD, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

**Regulatory Status**

The DeKompressor® Percutaneous Discectomy Probe (Stryker), Herniatome Percutaneous Discectomy Device (Gallini Medical Devices), and the Nucleotome® (Clarus Medical) are examples of percutaneous discectomy devices that received clearance from the FDA through the 510(k) process. The FDA indication for these products is for “aspiration of disc material during percutaneous discectomies in the lumbar, thoracic and cervical regions of the spine.”

FDA product code: HRX

A variety of endoscopes and associated surgical instruments have received marketing clearance through FDA’s 510(k) process.
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<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/16/06</td>
<td>Replace Policy - Policy reviewed with literature search; no change in policy statement; Scope and Disclaimer updated.</td>
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<tr>
<td>04/10/12</td>
<td>Replace policy. Endoscopic discectomy added to policy with literature review through October 2011; Rationale revised; references added and reordered; 1 reference removed; title changed to “Automated Percutaneous and Endoscopic Discectomy”.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/26/12</td>
<td>Update Related Policies – Add 7.01.126; ICD-10 codes are now effective 10/01/2014.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/10/13</td>
<td>Replace policy. Policy updated with literature review through January 9, 2013; references added and reordered; clinical input reviewed; policy statement clarified to read “back pain and/or radiculopathy”.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/30/13</td>
<td>Update Related Policies. Change title to 7.01.72 and 7.01.93.</td>
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<tr>
<td>01/21/14</td>
<td>Update Related Policies. Add 7.01.551.</td>
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<td>08/25/15</td>
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</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Date | Comments
---|---
01/01/17 | Coding update. Added new CPT code 62380 effective 1/1/17.

**Disclaimer:** This medical policy is a guide in evaluating the medical necessity of a particular service or treatment. The Company adopts policies after careful review of published peer-reviewed scientific literature, national guidelines and local standards of practice. Since medical technology is constantly changing, the Company reserves the right to review and update policies as appropriate. Member contracts differ in their benefits. Always consult the member benefit booklet or contact a member service representative to determine coverage for a specific medical service or supply. CPT codes, descriptions and materials are copyrighted by the American Medical Association (AMA). ©2018 Premera All Rights Reserved.

**Scope:** Medical policies are systematically developed guidelines that serve as a resource for Company staff when determining coverage for specific medical procedures, drugs or devices. Coverage for medical services is subject to the limits and conditions of the member benefit plan. Members and their providers should consult the member benefit booklet or contact a customer service representative to determine whether there are any benefit limitations applicable to this service or supply. This medical policy does not apply to Medicare Advantage.
Discrimination is Against the Law

Premera Blue Cross complies with applicable Federal civil rights laws and does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, or sex. Premera does not exclude people or treat them differently because of race, color, national origin, age, disability or sex.

Premera:
- Provides free aids and services to people with disabilities to communicate effectively with us, such as:
  - Qualified sign language interpreters
  - Written information in other formats (large print, audio, accessible electronic formats, other formats)
- Provides free language services to people whose primary language is not English, such as:
  - Qualified interpreters
  - Information written in other languages

If you need these services, contact the Civil Rights Coordinator.

If you believe that Premera has failed to provide these services or discriminated in another way on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, or sex, you can file a grievance with:
Civil Rights Coordinator - Complaints and Appeals
PO Box 91102, Seattle, WA 98111
 Toll free 855-332-4535, Fax 425-918-5592, TTY 800-842-5357
 Email AppealsDepartmentInquiries@Premera.com

You can file a grievance in person or by mail, fax, or email. If you need help filing a grievance, the Civil Rights Coordinator is available to help you.

You can also file a civil rights complaint with the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office for Civil Rights, electronically through the Office for Civil Rights Complaint Portal, available at https://ocrportal.hhs.gov/ocr/portal/lobby.jsf, or by mail or phone at:
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
200 Independence Avenue SW, Room S09F, HHH Building
Washington, D.C. 20201, 1-800-368-1019, 800-537-7697 (TDD)
Complaint forms are available at

Getting Help in Other Languages

This Notice has Important Information. This notice may have important information about your application or coverage through Premera Blue Cross. There may be key dates in this notice. You may need to take action by certain deadlines to keep your health coverage or help with costs. You have the right to get this information and help in your language at no cost.
Call 800-722-1471 (TTY: 800-842-5357).

Oromo (Cushite):

Français (French):

Kreyòl ayisyen (Creole):
Avi sila a gen Enfòmasyon Enpòtan idann. Avi sila a kapab genyen enfòmasyon enpòtans konpansyon a yon oswa konpansyon kouvèti sila a lans atravè Premera Blue Cross. Kapab genyen dat ki enpòtan nan avi sila a. Ou ka gen pou pran kék aksyon aven sèt ten limit pou ka kote kouvèti sila a sante w la oswa pou yo ka ede w avèk depans yo. Se dwa w pou resewiva enfòmasyon sa a ak asistans sa lan ou pale a, san ou pa gen pou peye pou sa. Rate nan 800-722-1471 (TTY: 800-842-5357).

Deutsche (German):

Hmoob (Hmong):

Ilokano (Ilocano):
Dayttoy a Pakdaak ket naglaon iti Napateg nga Impomarsion. Dayttoy a pakdaak mabal in nga adda ket naglaon iti napateg nga impomarsion maianganp gilitaapakyonno weny coverage babaen iti Premera Blue Cross. Dayttoy ket mabalin dagiti importante a petsa iti dayttoy a pakdaak. Mabalin nga adda rumbeng nga aramidengo nga adda sakkay dagiti partikular a naituding nga alaw napon matapagatindayonyo ti coverage ti salun-atyo weny tulong kadagiti gastos. Adda karbenganyo a mangala iti dayttoy nga impomarsion ken tulong ti bukodyo a pagasaaa nga awan ti bayadanyo. Tumawag ti numero nga 800-722-1471 (TTY: 800-842-5357).

Italiano (Italian):
この通知には重要な情報が含まれています。この通知には、Premera Blue Crossの申請または補償範囲に関する重要な情報が含まれていますが、この通知に記載されている情報は特定の日時までに行動をとらなければならない場合があります。こういった情報は、Premera Blue Crossの電話番号800-722-1471（TTY: 800-842-5357）で提供されます。

この通知に記載されている情報を用いる前に、Premera Blue Crossのサポートを確認することを推奨します。Premera Blue Crossのサポートはあなたの言語による情報を提供し、必要な手順を説明し、必要な情報を提供します。Premera Blue Crossのサポートは無料で提供されます。

この通知に記載されている情報を用いる前に、Premera Blue Crossのサポートを確認することを推奨します。Premera Blue Crossのサポートはあなたの言語による情報を提供し、必要な手順を説明し、必要な情報を提供します。Premera Blue Crossのサポートは無料で提供されます。