MEDICAL POLICY – 6.01.524

Diagnosis and Treatment of Sacroiliac Joint Pain
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Introduction

The sacroiliac (SI) joints are between the lower spine and the pelvic bones. There is one on each side of the body. These joints transfer weight and the forces of the upper body to the hips and legs. Pain can develop in one or both of these joints and may be felt in the lower back, buttocks, or legs. One way to test if pain is coming from an SI joint is to inject a numbing solution. Imaging is used to guide and position the needle for the injection. If the numbing agent reduces pain, it is an indication that an SI joint is the cause. To relieve pain, steroids can be injected into the joint using the same type of imaging guidance. This policy describes when injections to diagnose and treat SI joint pain may be considered medically necessary. This policy also discusses investigational (unproven) techniques for diagnosing or treating SI pain. These include diagnosis by using a special dye and imaging (arthrography), and attempted treatments that call for destroying part of a nerve or fusing or stabilizing the sacroiliac joints.

Note: The Introduction section is for your general knowledge and is not to be taken as policy coverage criteria. The rest of the policy uses specific words and concepts familiar to medical professionals. It is intended for providers. A provider can be a person, such as a doctor, nurse, psychologist, or dentist. A provider also can be a place where medical care is given, like a hospital, clinic, or lab. This policy informs them about when a service may be covered.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Medical Necessity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Diagnosis of sacroiliac joint pain**       | **Injection of anesthetic for the purpose of diagnosing sacroiliac joint pain may be considered medically necessary when the following criteria have been met:**  
  - Pain has failed to respond to 3 months of conservative management, which may consist of therapies such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory medications, acetaminophen, manipulation, physical therapy, and a home exercise program  
  **AND**  
  - Dual (controlled) diagnostic blocks with 2 anesthetic agents with differing duration of action are used  
  **AND**  
  - The injections are performed under imaging guidance |
| **Treatment of sacroiliac joint pain**        | **Injection of corticosteroid may be considered medically necessary for the treatment of sacroiliac joint pain when the following criteria have been met:**  
  - Pain has failed to respond to 3 months of conservative management, which may consist of therapies such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory medications, acetaminophen, manipulation, physical therapy, and a home exercise program  
  **AND**  
  - The injection is performed under imaging guidance  
  **AND**  
  - No more than 3 injections are given in one year |
| **Open Sacroiliac Joint Fusion**              | **Open sacroiliac joint fusion procedures may be considered medically necessary for any of the following indications:**  
  - As an adjunct to sacrectomy or partial sacrectomy related to tumors involving the sacrum  
  **OR**  
  - As an adjunct to the medical treatment of sacroiliac joint infection/sepsis  
  **OR**  
  - As a treatment for severe traumatic injuries associated with pelvic ring fracture |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Medical Necessity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sacroiliac joint fusion performed by an open procedure for any other indication is considered not medically necessary.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Investigational</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimally invasive SIJ fusion/percutaneous SIJ fusion procedures</td>
<td>Percutaneous and minimally invasive SIJ fusion/stabilization procedures are considered investigational.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimally invasive SIJ fusion/percutaneous SIJ fusion procedures</td>
<td>iFuse® Implant System (SI Bone)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimally invasive SIJ fusion/percutaneous SIJ fusion procedures</td>
<td>Rialto™ SI Joint Fusion System (Medtronic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimally invasive SIJ fusion/percutaneous SIJ fusion procedures</td>
<td>SJ-Fuse (Spine Frontier)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimally invasive SIJ fusion/percutaneous SIJ fusion procedures</td>
<td>SIImmety® Sacroiliac Joint Fusion System (Zyga Technologies)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimally invasive SIJ fusion/percutaneous SIJ fusion procedures</td>
<td>Silex™ Sacroiliac Joint Fusion System (XTANT Medical)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimally invasive SIJ fusion/percutaneous SIJ fusion procedures</td>
<td>SambaScrew® (Orthofix)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimally invasive SIJ fusion/percutaneous SIJ fusion procedures</td>
<td>SI-LOK® Sacroiliac Joint Fixation System (Globus Medical)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthrography</td>
<td>Arthrography of the sacroiliac joint is considered investigational.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiofrequency denervation</td>
<td>Radiofrequency denervation of the sacroiliac joint is considered investigational.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conservative Therapy and Controlled Diagnostic Lateral Branch Blocks

Conservative nonsurgical therapy for the duration specified should include the following:

- Use of prescription strength analgesics for several weeks at a dose sufficient to induce a therapeutic response
  - Analgesics should include anti-inflammatory medications with or without adjunctive medications such as nerve membrane stabilizers (eg, gabapentin) or muscle relaxants
- Participation in at least 6 weeks of physical therapy (including active exercise) or documentation of why the patient could not tolerate physical therapy
- Evaluation and appropriate management of associated cognitive, behavioral, or addiction issues
- Documentation of patient compliance with the preceding criteria

A successful trial of controlled diagnostic lateral branch blocks consists of 2 separate positive
Conservative Therapy and Controlled Diagnostic Lateral Branch Blocks

blocks on different days with local anesthetic only (no steroids or other drugs), or a placebo-controlled series of blocks, under fluoroscopic guidance, that has resulted in a reduction in pain for the duration of the local anesthetic used (e.g., 3 hours longer with bupivacaine than lidocaine). There is not a consensus on whether a minimum of 50% or 75% reduction in pain would be required to be considered a successful diagnostic block, although evidence supports a criterion standard of 75% to 100% reduction in pain with dual blocks. No therapeutic intra-articular injections (i.e., steroids, saline, other substances) should be administered for a period of at least 4 weeks before the diagnostic lateral branch block. The diagnostic blocks should not be conducted under intravenous sedation unless specifically indicated (e.g., the patient is unable to cooperate with the procedure).

Coding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27279</td>
<td>Arthrodesis, sacroiliac joint, percutaneous or minimally invasive (indirect visualization), with image guidance, includes obtaining bone graft when performed, and placement of transfixing device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27280</td>
<td>Arthrodesis, sacroiliac joint (including obtaining graft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64635</td>
<td>Destruction by neurolytic agent, paravertebral facet joint nerve(s), with imaging guidance (fluoroscopy or CT); lumbar or sacral, single facet joint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64636</td>
<td>Destruction by neurolytic agent, paravertebral facet joint nerve(s), with imaging guidance (fluoroscopy or CT); lumbar or sacral, each additional facet joint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64640</td>
<td>Destruction by neurolytic agent; other peripheral nerve or branch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: CPT codes, descriptions and materials are copyrighted by the American Medical Association (AMA). HCPCS codes, descriptions and materials are copyrighted by Centers for Medicare Services (CMS).

Related Information

. N/A
Description

Sacroiliac joint (SIJ) arthrography using fluoroscopic guidance with injection of an anesthetic has been explored as a diagnostic test for SIJ pain. Duplication of the patient’s pain pattern with the injection of contrast medium suggests a sacroiliac etiology, as does relief of chronic back pain with injection of local anesthetic. Treatment of SIJ pain with corticosteroids, radiofrequency ablation (RFA), stabilization, or minimally invasive SIJ fusion has also been explored.

Background

Sacroiliac Joint Pain

Similar to other structures in the spine, it is assumed that the sacroiliac joint (SIJ) may be a source of low back pain. In fact, before 1928, the sacroiliac joint was thought to be the most common cause of sciatica. In 1928, the role of the intervertebral disc was elucidated, and from that point forward, the sacroiliac joint received less research attention.

Diagnosis

Research into sacroiliac joint pain has been plagued by a lack of a criterion standard to measure its prevalence and against which various clinical examinations can be validated. For example, sacroiliac joint pain is typically without any consistent, demonstrable radiographic or laboratory features and most commonly exists in the setting of morphologically normal joints. Clinical tests for sacroiliac joint pain may include various movement tests, palpation to detect tenderness, and pain descriptions by the patient. Further confounding study of the sacroiliac joint is that multiple structures, such as posterior facet joints and lumbar discs, may refer pain to the area surrounding the sacroiliac joint.

Because of inconsistent information obtained from history and physical examination, some have proposed the use of image-guided anesthetic injection into the sacroiliac joint for the diagnosis of sacroiliac joint pain. Treatments being investigated for sacroiliac joint pain include prolotherapy (see Related Policies), corticosteroid injection, radiofrequency ablation,
stabilization, and arthrodesis. Some procedures have been referred to as SIJ fusion but may be more appropriately called fixation (this is because there is little to no bridging bone on radiographs). Devices for SIJ fixation/fusion that promote bone ingrowth to fixate the implants include a triangular implant (iFuse Implant System) and cylindrical threaded devices (Rialto, Simmetry, Silex, SambaScrew, SI-LOK). Some devices also have a slot in the middle where autologous or allogeneic bone can be inserted. This added bone is intended to promote fusion of the SIJ.

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have sacroiliac joint (SIJ) pain who receive therapeutic corticosteroid injections, the evidence includes small randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. In general, the literature on injection therapy of joints in the back is of poor quality. Results from 2 small RCTs showed that therapeutic SIJ steroid injections were not as effective as other active treatments. Larger trials, preferably using sham injections, are needed to determine the degree of benefit of corticosteroid injections over placebo. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have SIJ pain who receive RFA, the evidence includes 4 small RCTs using different radiofrequency applications and case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. For RFA with a cooled probe, the 2 small RCTs reported short-term benefits, but these are insufficient to determine the overall effect on health outcomes. The RCT on palisade RFA of the SIJ did not include a sham control. Another sham-controlled RCT showed no benefit of RFA. Further high-quality controlled trials are needed that compare this procedure in defined populations with sham control and with alternative treatments. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have SIJ pain who receive SIJ fusion/fixation with a triangular implant, the evidence includes 2 nonblinded RCTs of minimally invasive fusion and a number of cohort studies and two case series with more than 85% follow-up at 2 to 3 years. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. Both RCTs reported superior short-term results for fusion, however, a preferable design for assessing pain outcomes would be independent, blinded assessment of outcomes or, when feasible, a sham-controlled trial. Longer-term follow-up from these RCTs suggest has indicated that the results obtained at 6 months persist to 2 years. An additional cohort study/and case series, with sample sizes ranging from 45 to 149 patients and low dropout rates
(<15%), have also shown reductions in pain and disability at 2 years. One small case series showed outcomes that persisted to 5 years. The cohort studies and case series are consistent with the durability of treatment benefit. Analysis of an insurance database reported an overall incidence of complications to be 16.4% at 6 months and cumulative revision rate at 4 years of 3.54%. Several case series have reported follow-up out to five years with good outcomes, however, they are limited by study design and lack of a comparator group. Uncertainty remains due to a lack of longer-term follow-up measuring objective outcomes and evaluating long-term safety and effectiveness of SIJ fusion. Therefore, the evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have SIJ pain who receive SIJ fusion/fixation with a cylindrical threaded implant, the evidence includes a prospective cohort. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. The prospective cohort study will follow patients for 2 years following implantation of slotted screws filled with autologous bone. Results at 1 year are consistent with findings from the studies using a triangular implant. However, longer follow-up and controlled trials are needed to evaluate this type of implant. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

**Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials**

Some currently unpublished trials that might influence this policy are listed in Table 1.

**Table 1. Summary of Key Trials**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NCT No.</th>
<th>Trial Name</th>
<th>Planned Enrollment</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ongoing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01861899&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Treatment of Sacroiliac Dysfunction With SI-LOK® Sacroiliac Joint Fixation System</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Aug 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02270203&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>LOIS: Long-Term Follow-Up in INSITE/SIFI</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Dec 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02074761&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Evolusion Study Using the Zyga Simmetry Sacroiliac Joint Fusion System</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Dec 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03230279&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Randomized Controlled Trial Of Minimally Invasive Sacroiliac Joint Fusion Compared To Radiofrequency Ablation For Sacroiliac Joint Dysfunction</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>Sep 2023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Clinical Input Received from Physician Specialty Societies and Academic Medical Centers

While the various physician specialty societies and academic medical centers may collaborate with and make recommendations during this process, through the provision of appropriate reviewers, input received does not represent an endorsement or position statement by the physician specialty societies or academic medical centers, unless otherwise noted.

2017 Input

In response to requests, clinical input focused on sacroiliac joint (SIJ) fusion was received from 10 respondents, including 5 specialty society-level responses from 7 specialty societies (2 were joint society responses) and 5 physician-level responses from 4 academic centers while this policy was under review in 2017. Based on the evidence and independent clinical input, the clinical input supports that the following indication provides a clinically meaningful improvement in the net health outcome and is consistent with generally accepted medical practice:

- Use of fusion/stabilization of the SIJ using percutaneous and minimally invasive techniques for carefully selected patients as outlined in statements from the North American Spine Society.

2015 Input

In response to requests, focused input on SIJ fusion was received from 5 physician specialty societies and 3 academic medical centers while this policy was under review in 2015. A majority of reviewers considered sacroiliac joint fusion to be investigational.
2014 Input

In response to requests, input was received from 4 physician specialty societies and 4 academic medical centers (5 responses) while this policy was under review in 2014. Input was mixed concerning the use of arthrography, RFA, and fusion of the sacroiliac joint. Most reviewers considered injection for diagnostic purposes to be medically necessary when using controlled blocks with at least 75% pain relief, and for injection of corticosteroids for treatment purposes. Treatment with prolotherapy, periartricular corticosteroid, and periartricular botulinum toxin were considered investigational by most reviewers.

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

North American Spine Society

The North American Spine Society (NASS) published coverage recommendations for percutaneous SIJ fusion in 2015.\textsuperscript{36} NASS indicated that there was relatively moderate evidence. In the absence of high-level data, policies reflect the multidisciplinary experience and expertise of the committee members in order to present reasonable standard practice indications in the United States. NASS recommended coverage when ALL of the following criteria are met:

1. “[Patients] have undergone and failed a minimum 6 months of intensive nonoperative treatment that must include medication optimization, activity modification, bracing and active therapeutic exercise targeted at the lumbar spine, pelvis, SIJ and hip including a home exercise program.

2. Patient’s report of typically unilateral pain that is caudal to the lumbar spine (L5 vertebra), localized over the posterior SIJ, and consistent with SIJ pain.

3. A thorough physical examination demonstrating localized tenderness with palpation over the sacral sulcus (Fortin’s point, ie, at the insertion of the long dorsal ligament inferior to the posterior superior iliac spine or PSIS) in the absence of tenderness of similar severity elsewhere (eg, greater trochanter, lumbar spine, coccyx) and that other obvious sources for their pain do not exist.

4. Positive response to a cluster of 3 provocative tests (eg, thigh thrust test, compression test, Gaenslen’s test, distraction test, Patrick’s sign, posterior provocation test). Note that the thrust test is not recommended in pregnant patients or those with connective tissue disorders.
5. Absence of generalized pain behavior (eg, somatoform disorder) or generalized pain disorders (eg, fibromyalgia).

6. Diagnostic imaging studies that include ALL of the following:
   a. Imaging (plain radiographs and a CT [computed tomography] or MRI [magnetic resonance imaging]) of the SI joint that excludes the presence of destructive lesions (eg, tumor, infection) or inflammatory arthropathy that would not be properly addressed by percutaneous SIJ fusion.
   b. Imaging of the pelvis (AP [anteroposterior] plain radiograph) to rule out concomitant hip pathology.
   c. Imaging of the lumbar spine (CT or MRI) to rule out neural compression or other degenerative condition that can be causing low back or buttock pain.
   d. Imaging of the SI joint that indicates evidence of injury and/or degeneration.

7. At least 75% reduction of pain for the expected duration of the anesthetic used following an image-guided, contrast-enhanced intra-articular SIJ injection on 2 separate occasions.

8. A trial of at least one therapeutic intra-articular SIJ injection (ie, corticosteroid injection)."

**American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians**

American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians guidelines were updated in 2013. The updated guidelines recommend the use of controlled SIJ blocks with placebo or controlled comparative local anesthetic block when indications are satisfied with suspicion of SIJ pain. A positive response to a joint block is considered to be at least a 75% improvement in pain or in the ability to perform previously painful movements. For therapeutic interventions, the only effective modality with fair evidence was cooled radiofrequency neurotomy, when used after the appropriate diagnosis was confirmed by diagnostic SIJ injections.

**American Society of Anesthesiologists et al**

In 2010, the American Society of Anesthesiologists and the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine Practice updated their guidelines for chronic pain management. The guidelines recommend that “Diagnostic sacroiliac joint injections or lateral branch blocks may be considered for the evaluation of patients with suspected sacroiliac joint pain.” Based on
opinions of consultants and society members, the guidelines recommend that “Water-cooled RFA may be used for chronic sacroiliac joint pain.”

**American Pain Society**

The 2009 practice guidelines from the American Pain Society (APS) were based on a systematic review commissioned by the Society.\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^3\) The guidelines stated that there is insufficient evidence to evaluate validity or utility of diagnostic SIJ block as a diagnostic procedure for low back pain with or without radiculopathy; the guidelines further stated that there is insufficient evidence to adequately evaluate benefits of SIJ steroid injection for nonradicular low back pain.

**International Society for the Advancement of Spine Surgery**

The International Society for the Advancement of Spine Surgery (ISASS) first published a policy statement on minimally invasive SIJ fusion in 2014.\(^3\)\(^8\) These recommendations were updated in a 2016 statement.\(^3\)\(^9\) Society recommendations indicated that patients who have all of the following criteria may be eligible for minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion:

1. “Significant SI joint pain or significantly limitations in activities of daily living because of pain from the SI joint(s).

2. “SI joint pain confirmed with at least 3 positive physical provocation examination maneuvers that stress the SI joint.

3. “Confirmation of the SI joint as a pain generator with ≥ 75% acute decrease in pain immediately following fluoroscopically guided diagnostic intra-articular SI joint block using local anesthetic.

4. “Failure to respond to at least 6 months of non-surgical treatment consisting of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and/or one or more of the following: physical therapy; Failure to respond means continued pain that interferes with activities of daily living and/or results in functional disability;

5. “Additional or alternative diagnoses that could be responsible for the patient’s ongoing pain or disability have been considered, investigated and ruled out.”
National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence guidance was published in 2017 on minimally invasive SIJ fusion surgery for chronic sacroiliac pain. The recommendations included:

- 1.1 “Current evidence on the safety and efficacy of minimally invasive sacroiliac (SI) joint fusion surgery for chronic SI pain is adequate to support the use of this procedure... provided that standard arrangements are in place for clinical governance, consent and audit.

- 1.2 Patients having this procedure should have a confirmed diagnosis of unilateral or bilateral SI joint dysfunction due to degenerative sacroilitis or SI joint disruption.

- 1.3 This technically challenging procedure should only be done by surgeons who regularly use image-guided surgery for implant placement. The surgeons should also have had specific training and expertise in minimally invasive SI joint fusion surgery for chronic SI pain.”

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination (NCD). In the absence of an NCD, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

Regulatory Status

A number of radiofrequency generators and probes have been cleared for marketing by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the 510(k) process. In 2005, the SInergy® (Halyard; formerly Kimberly -Clark), a water-cooled single-use probe, was cleared by FDA, listing the Baylis Pain Management Probe as a predicate device. The intended use is in conjunction with a radiofrequency generator to create radiofrequency lesions in nervous tissue. FDA product code: GXD.

A number of percutaneous or minimally invasive fixation/fusion devices have been cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510 (k) process. They include the , the iFuse® Implant System (SI Bone), the Rialto™ SI Joint Fusion System (Medtronic), SIJ-Fuse (Spine Frontier), the Simmetry® Sacroiliac Joint Fusion System (Zyga Technologies), Silex™ Sacroiliac Joint Fusion System (XTANT Medical), SambaScrew® (Orthofix) and the SI-LOK® Sacroiliac Joint Fixation System (Globus Medical).


33. Cher DJ, Reckling WC, Capobianco RA. Implant survivorship analysis after minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion using the iFuse Implant System(R)). Med Devices (Auckl). Dec 2015;8:485-492. PMID 26648762


## History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03/01/18</td>
<td>New policy, approved February 13, 2018. This policy replaces the previous policy 6.01.23. Diagnosis and treatment of sacroiliac joint pain are considered medically necessary when criteria are met. Arthrography and radiofrequency denervation of the sacroiliac joint are considered investigational. Open SIJ Fusion is medically necessary when criteria are met. Percutaneous and minimally invasive SIJ fusion/stabilization procedures are considered investigational.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Disclaimer:** This medical policy is a guide in evaluating the medical necessity of a particular service or treatment. The Company adopts policies after careful review of published peer-reviewed scientific literature, national guidelines and local standards of practice. Since medical technology is constantly changing, the Company reserves the right to review and update policies as appropriate. Member contracts differ in their benefits. Always consult the member benefit booklet or contact a member service representative to determine coverage for a specific medical service or supply. CPT codes, descriptions and materials are copyrighted by the American Medical Association (AMA). ©2018 Premera All Rights Reserved.

**Scope:** Medical policies are systematically developed guidelines that serve as a resource for Company staff when determining coverage for specific medical procedures, drugs or devices. Coverage for medical services is subject to the limits and conditions of the member benefit plan. Members and their providers should consult the member benefit booklet or contact a customer service representative to determine whether there are any benefit limitations applicable to this service or supply. This medical policy does not apply to Medicare Advantage.
Discrimination is Against the Law

Premera Blue Cross complies with applicable Federal civil rights laws and does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, or sex. Premera does not exclude people or treat them differently because of race, color, national origin, age, disability or sex.

Premera:
- Provides free aids and services to people with disabilities to communicate effectively with us, such as:
  - Qualified sign language interpreters
  - Written information in other formats (large print, audio, accessible electronic formats, other formats)
- Provides free language services to people whose primary language is not English, such as:
  - Qualified interpreters
  - Information written in other languages

Getting Help in Other Languages

This Notice has Important Information. This notice may have important information about your application or coverage through Premera Blue Cross. There may be key dates in this notice. You may need to take action by certain deadlines to keep your health coverage or help with costs. You have the right to get this information and help in your language at no cost. Call 800-722-1471 (TTY: 800-842-5357).

Oromo (Cushite):

English:
you may need to take action by certain deadlines to keep your health coverage or help with costs. You have the right to get this information and help in your language at no cost. Call 800-722-1471 (TTY: 800-842-5357).

Français (French):

Kreyòl ayisyen (Creole):
Avi sila a gen Enfòmasyon Enpòtan la. Avi sila a kapab genyen enfòmasyon enpòtan konsénan aplikasyon w lan osawa konsean kouvèti asirans lan atravè Premera Blue Cross. Kapab genyen dat ki enpòtan nan avi sila a. Ou ka gen pou tran kék aksyon avan seten dat limit pou ka kente kouvèti asirans sante w l osawa pou yo ka ede w avel des yans. Se dwa w pou resewa enfòmasyon sa a ak asistans nan lang ou pwa a, san ou pa gen pou yeye pou sou. Rate nan 800-722-1471 (TTY: 800-842-5357).

Deutsche (German):

Hmoo (Hmong):

Iloko (Ilocano):
Daytoy a Pakdaak ket naglaon iti Napateg nga Impormasion. Daytoy a pakdaak mabalin nga adda ket naglaon iti napateg nga impormasion maipanggep iti aplikasyonon yowo coverage babyen iti Premera Blue Cross. Daytoy ket mabalin dagiti importante a pelta iti daytoy a pakdaak. Mabalin nga adda rumbeng nga aramidenyi nga addang sakbay dagiti partikular a naituding nga adda ladow tapno mapagalingdayo ti coverage ti salun-atyo yowo tulong kadagiti gastos. Adda karbenganyo a mangala iti daytoy nga impormasion ken tulong ti bukodyo a pagasasao nga awan ti bayadanyo. Tumawag ti numero nga 800-722-1471 (TTY: 800-842-5357).

Italiano (Italian):

中文 (Chinese):
本通知有重要的讯息。本通知可能有关於您透过 Premera Blue Cross 提交的申请或保险的重要讯息，本通知内可能有重要日期。您可能需要在截止日期之前採取行动，以保留您的健康保险或费用补贴。您有權利免費以您的母语得到本訊息和幫助。請撥電話 800-722-1471 (TTY: 800-842-5357).

037338 (07-2016)
Premera Blue Cross (tylko w języku polskim)

To ogłoszenie może zawierać ważne informacje. To ogłoszenie może zawierać ważne informacje odnośnie Polski, ale także może zawierać ważne informacje odnośnie innych narodów.

Português (Portuguese):
Este aviso contém informações importantes. Este aviso poderá conter informações importantes a respeito de sua aplicação ou cobertura por meio do Premera Blue Cross. Poderão existir dados importantes neste aviso. Talvez seja necessário que você tome providências dentro de determinados prazos para manter sua cobertura de saúde e ajuda de custos. Você tem o direito de obter esta informação e ajuda em seu idioma e em custos. Ligue para 800-722-1471 (TTY: 800-842-5357).

Español (Spanish):
Este Aviso contiene información importante. Es posible que este aviso contenga información importante acerca de su solicitud de cobertura a través de Premera Blue Cross. Es posible que haya fechas claves en este aviso. Es posible que deba tomar alguna medida antes de determinadas fechas para mantener su cobertura médica o ayuda con los costos. usted tiene derecho a recibir esta información y ayuda en su idioma sin costo alguno. llame al 800-722-1471 (TTY: 800-842-5357).

Română (Romanian):

Русский (Russian):
Настоящее уведомление содержит важную информацию. Это уведомление может содержать важную информацию о вашем заявлении или страховом покрытии через Premera Blue Cross. В настоящем уведомлении могут быть ключевые даты. Вам, возможно, потребуется принять меры к определенным предельным срокам для сохранения страхового покрытия или помощи с расходами. Вы имеете право на бесплатное получение этой информации и помощь на вашем языке. Звоните по телефону 800-722-1471 (TTY: 800-842-5357).

Tagalog (Tagalog):

ไทย (Thai):
ประกาศนี้อาจมีข้อมูลที่สําคัญเกี่ยวกับการการสมัครหรือขอบเขตประกันของคุณผ่าน Premera Blue Cross และมีความจำเป็นในการให้นโยบายคุณต้องจดเก็บข้อมูลที่อาจมีไว้ตลอดชีวิตของคุณผ่าน Premera Blue Cross.

Український (Ukrainian):
Це повідомлення містить важливу інформацію. Це повідомлення може містити важливу інформацію щодо страхувального покриття через Premera Blue Cross. Зверніть увагу на ключові дати, які можуть бути вказані у цьому повідомленні. Існує імовірність того, що Вам треба буде здійснити вірту кроки у конкретні кінцеві строки для того, щоб зберегти Ваше медичне страхування або отримати фінансову допомогу. У Вас є право на отримання цієї інформації та допомоги безкоштовно на Вашій рідній мові. Дозвоніться за номером телефону 800-722-1471 (TTY: 800-842-5357).

Tiếng Việt (Vietnamese):