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Introduction

Growth factors are some of the proteins that the body makes. Growth factors help wounds heal. Platelets are found in blood and are a rich source of growth factors. Platelets not only help the blood clot when there is a wound, but they also aid in repairing and regenerating tissue. The idea behind platelet rich plasma is to provide a much higher concentration of platelets to an injured area to ease pain and help a wound heal. Platelet rich plasma is made by taking a sample of a person’s own blood and then concentrating the platelets in the lab. The enriched platelets are then injected (given by a shot) into the person. There have been a number of studies looking at whether platelet rich plasma is effective for conditions affecting bones, muscles, ligaments, and other tissues (orthopedics). When these studies are taken as a whole, there is no evidence that platelet rich plasma is effective for orthopedic conditions. Many of the studies are small and were not well designed. Platelet rich plasma is considered unproven (investigational) for orthopedic uses. The health plan does not pay for investigational services.

Note: The Introduction section is for your general knowledge and is not to be taken as policy coverage criteria. The rest of the policy uses specific words and concepts familiar to medical professionals. It is intended for providers. A provider can be a person, such as a doctor, nurse, psychologist, or dentist. A provider also can be a place where medical care is given, like a hospital, clinic, or lab. This policy informs them about when a service may be covered.
## Policy Coverage Criteria

### Indication

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All orthopedic indications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Investigational

Use of platelet-rich plasma is considered investigational for all orthopedic indications. This includes, but is not limited to, use in the following situations:

- Primary use (injection) for the following conditions:
  - Achilles tendinopathy
  - Lateral epicondylitis
  - Osteochondral lesions
  - Osteoarthritis
  - Plantar fasciitis

- Adjunctive use in the following surgical procedures:
  - Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction
  - Hip fracture
  - Long-bone nonunion
  - Patellar tendon repair
  - Rotator cuff repair
  - Spinal fusion
  - Subacromial decompression surgery
  - Total knee arthroplasty

### Coding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0232T</td>
<td>Injection(s), platelet rich plasma, any site, including image guidance, harvesting and preparation when performed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCPCS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P9020</td>
<td>Platelet rich plasma, each unit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** CPT codes, descriptions and materials are copyrighted by the American Medical Association (AMA). HCPCS codes, descriptions and materials are copyrighted by Centers for Medicare Services (CMS).
Description

The use of platelet-rich plasma (PRP) has been proposed as a treatment for various musculoskeletal conditions and as an adjunctive procedure in orthopedic surgeries. The potential benefit of PRP has received considerable interest due to the appeal of a simple, safe, low-cost, and minimally invasive method of applying growth factors.

Background

A variety of growth factors have been found to play a role in wound healing, including platelet-derived growth factors (PDGFs), epidermal growth factor, fibroblast growth factors, transforming growth factors, and insulin-like growth factors. Autologous platelets are a rich source of platelet-derived growth factor, transforming growth factors that function as a mitogen for fibroblasts, smooth muscle cells, osteoblasts, and vascular endothelial growth factors. Recombinant platelet-derived growth factor has also been extensively investigated for clinical use in wound healing (see Related Policies).

Autologous platelet concentrate suspended in plasma, also known as platelet-rich plasma (PRP), can be prepared from samples of centrifuged autologous blood. Exposure to a solution of thrombin and calcium chloride degranulates platelets, releasing the various growth factors. The polymerization of fibrin from fibrinogen creates a platelet gel, which can then be used as an adjunct to surgery with the intent of promoting hemostasis and accelerating healing. In the operating room setting, PRP has been investigated as an adjunct to a variety of periodontal, reconstructive, and orthopedic procedures. For example, bone morphogenetic proteins are a type of transforming growth factors, and thus PRP has been used in conjunction with bone-replacement grafting (using either autologous grafts or bovine-derived xenograft) in periodontal and maxillofacial surgeries. Alternatively, PRP may be injected directly into various tissues. PRP
injections have been proposed as a primary treatment of miscellaneous conditions such as epicondylitis, plantar fasciitis, and Dupuytren contracture.

Injection of PRP for tendon and ligament pain is theoretically related to prolotherapy (discussed in a Related Policy). However, prolotherapy differs in that it involves injection of chemical irritants that are intended to stimulate inflammatory responses and induce release of endogenous growth factors.

PRP is distinguished from fibrin glues or sealants, which have been used as a surgical adjunct to promote local hemostasis at incision sites. Fibrin glue is created from platelet-poor plasma and consists primarily of fibrinogen. Commercial fibrin glues are created from pooled homologous human donors; Tisseel® (Baxter) and Hemaseel® (Haemacure Corp) are examples of commercially available fibrin sealants. Autologous fibrin sealants can be created from platelet-poor plasma. This policy does not address the use of fibrin sealants.

Summary of Evidence

Primary Treatment for Tendinopathies

For individuals with tendinopathy who receive PRP injections, the evidence includes multiple randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and systematic reviews with meta-analysis. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life and treatment-related morbidity. Findings from meta-analyses of RCTs have been mixed and have generally found that PRP did not have a statistically and/or clinically significant impact on symptoms (ie, pain) or functional outcomes. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Primary Treatment for Non–Tendon Soft Tissue Injury or Inflammation

For individuals with non–tendon soft tissue injury or inflammation (eg, plantar fasciitis) who receive PRP injections, the evidence includes 3 small RCTs, multiple prospective observational studies, and a systematic review. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life and treatment-related morbidity. The systematic review, which identified three RCTs on PRP for plantar fasciitis, did not pool study findings. Results among the three RCTs were inconsistent. The largest RCT showed that treatment with PRP with corticosteroid injection resulted in statistically significant but temporary improvements in American Orthopaedic Foot and Ankle Society Ankle-Hindfoot scores, indicating improved
outcomes. Confirmation of these results in larger double-blind RCTs would be needed to permit greater certainty on the efficacy of PRP in plantar fasciitis. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

**Primary Treatment for Osteochondral Lesions**

For individuals with osteochondral lesions who receive PRP injections, the evidence includes an open-labeled quasi-randomized study. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The quasi-randomized study found a statistically significantly greater impact on outcomes in the PRP group than in the hyaluronic acid group. Limitations of the evidence base include lack of adequately randomized studies, lack of blinding, lack of sham controls, and comparison only to an intervention of uncertain efficacy. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

**Primary Treatment for Knee or Hip Osteoarthritis**

For individuals with knee or hip osteoarthritis (OA) who receive PRP injections, the evidence includes multiple RCTs and systematic reviews. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Three RCTs have compared PRP with placebo while most trials have compared PRP with hyaluronic acid for knee OA. A meta-analysis of 3 trials comparing PRP with placebo showed a significant improvement in functional scores. However, only one of the trials was considered at low risk of bias. Comparisons between PRP and hyaluronic acid have shown inconsistent results. A meta-analysis including only low risk of bias trials showed no difference between the 2 treatments in functional scores. Also, using hyaluronic acid as a comparator is questionable, because the evidence demonstrating the benefit of hyaluronic acid treatment for OA is not robust. The single RCT evaluating hip OA reported statistically significant reductions in visual analog scale scores for pain (VAS), with no difference in functional scores. Additional studies comparing PRP to placebo and with alternatives other than hyaluronic acid are needed to determine the efficacy of PRP for knee and hip osteoarthritis. Further studies are also needed to determine the optimal protocol for delivering PRP. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.
**Adjunct to Surgery**

For individuals with anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction who receive PRP injections plus orthopedic surgery, the evidence includes 2 systematic reviews of multiple RCTs and prospective studies. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life, morbid events, resource utilization, and treatment-related morbidity. Only one of the two systematic reviews conducted a meta-analysis; it showed that adjunctive PRP treatment did not result in significant effect on International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC) scores, a patient-reported, knee-specific outcome measure that assesses pain and functional activity. Individual trials have shown mixed results. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals with hip fracture who receive PRP injections, the evidence includes an open-labeled RCT. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life, morbid events, resource utilization, and treatment-related morbidity. The single open-labeled RCT failed to show any statistically significant reduction in the need for surgical revision with the addition of PRP treatment. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals with long bone nonunion who receive PRP injections plus orthopedic surgery, the evidence includes 3 RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life, morbid events, resource utilization, and treatment-related morbidity. One trial with substantial risk of bias failed to show significant differences in patient-reported or clinician-assessed functional outcome scores between those who received PRP plus allogenic bone graft and those who received only allogenic bone graft. While the trial showed a statistically significant increase in the proportion of bones that healed in patients receiving PRP in a modified intention-to-treat analysis, the results did not differ in the intention-to-treat analysis. The second RCT, which compared PRP with recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-7 (rhBMP-7), also failed to show any clinical or radiologic benefits of PRP over rhBMP-7. The third RCT reported no difference in the number of unions or time-to-union in patients receiving PRP injections compared with no treatment. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals with rotator cuff repair who receive PRP injections plus orthopedic surgery, the evidence includes multiple RCTs and systematic reviews. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life, morbid events, resource utilization, and treatment-related morbidity. The systematic reviews and meta-analyses failed to show statistically and/or clinically significant impact on symptoms (ie, pain) or functional outcomes. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.
For individuals with spinal infusion who receive PRP injections plus orthopedic surgery, the evidence includes 2 controlled prospective studies. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life, morbid events, resource utilization, and treatment-related morbidity. The two studies failed to show any statistically significant differences in fusion rates between the PRP arm and the control arm. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals with subacromial decompression surgery who receive PRP injections plus orthopedic surgery, the evidence includes a small RCT. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life, morbid events, resource utilization, and treatment-related morbidity. A single small RCT failed to show reduction in self-assessed or physician-assessed spinal instability scores with PRP injections. However, subjective pain, use of pain medications, and objective measures of range of motion showed clinically significant improvements with PRP. Larger trials are required to confirm these benefits. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals with total knee arthroplasty who receive PRP injections plus orthopedic surgery, the evidence includes a small RCT. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life, morbid events, resource utilization, and treatment-related morbidity. The RCT showed no significant differences between the PRP and untreated control groups in bleeding, range of motion, swelling around the knee joint, muscle power recovery, pain, or Knee Society Score and Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

**Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials**

Some currently unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 1.

**Table 1. Summary of Key Clinical Trials**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NCT No.</th>
<th>Trial Name</th>
<th>Planned Enrollment</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ongoing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01843504</td>
<td>Platelet-Rich Plasma (PRP) Injection for the Treatment of Chronic Patellar Tendinopathy</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Dec 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT No.</td>
<td>Trial Name</td>
<td>Planned Enrollment</td>
<td>Completion Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03138317</td>
<td>Evaluation of Platelet Rich Plasma (PRP) for Knee Osteoarthritis</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>May 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01668953a</td>
<td>Impact of Platelet Rich Plasma Over Alternative Therapies in Patients With Lateral Epicondylitis (IMPROVE)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Sep 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01923909</td>
<td>Intraarticular Platelet-rich Plasma Injections Versus Intraarticular Corticosteroid Injections in Primary Knee Osteoarthritis</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Dec 2019 (ongoing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01945528</td>
<td>Platelet Rich Plasma (PRP) in Chronic Epicondylitis</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>Jul 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02920177</td>
<td>Platelet-rich Plasma Versus Corticosteroid Injection for the Treatment of Femoroacetabular Impingement</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Jul 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02694146</td>
<td>Clinical Trial to Evaluate the Use of Platelet Rich Plasma in Front Hyaluronic Acid in Coxarthrosis</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>May 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03129971</td>
<td>Platelet-Rich Plasma Combined with Conventional Surgery in the Treatment of Atrophic Nonunion of Femoral Shaft Fractures</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>Dec 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01833598</td>
<td>Percutaneous Needle Tenotomy (PNT) Versus Platelet Rich Plasma (PRP) with PNT in the Treatment of Chronic Tendinosis</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Jun 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02984228</td>
<td>Platelet-rich Plasma vs. Hyaluronic Acid for Glenohumeral Osteoarthritis</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Aug 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02923700</td>
<td>Leukocyte-rich PRP vs Leukocyte-poor PRP for the Treatment of Knee Cartilage Degeneration: a Randomized Controlled Trial</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>Dec 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03133416</td>
<td>Platelet-Rich Plasma Injections and Physiotherapy in the Treatment of Chronic Rotator Cuff Tendinopathy</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>Dec 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01406821</td>
<td>Treatment of Acute and Chronic Ligament and Tendon Injuries with Platelet Rich Plasma</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Mar 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02872753</td>
<td>Intra-operative Injection of Autologous Conditioned Plasma (ACP) Following Partial Meniscectomy (ACP-MEN)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Mar 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03300531</td>
<td>Impact of Autologous Pure Platelet-Rich Plasma in the Treatment of Tendon Disease</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>Dec 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT03136965</td>
<td>Platelet-Rich Plasma Therapy for Patellar Tendinopathy (PRP)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Aug 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unpublished
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NCT No.</th>
<th>Trial Name</th>
<th>Planned Enrollment</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NCT01915979</td>
<td>Effect of Plasma Rich in Growth Factors in Rotator Cuff Tendinopathy</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>Dec 2016 (completed)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NCT: national clinical trial
a Denotes industry-sponsored or cosponsored trial

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

**American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS)**

The AAOS guidelines (2013) did not recommend for or against growth factor injections and/or platelet-rich plasma (PRP) for patients with symptomatic osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee.\(^43\) A recommendation of inconclusive was based on a single low-quality study and conflicting findings. The AAOS recommendation is based on 3 studies that were published before May 2012.

AAOS issued evidence-based guidelines (2017) on the management of OA of the hip.\(^44\) In the section on intra-articular injectables, the guidelines stated that there is strong evidence supporting the use of intra-articular corticosteroids to improve function and reduce pain in the short term for patients with OA of the hip. There was also strong evidence that the use of intra-articular hyaluronic acid does not perform better than placebo in improving function, stiffness, and pain in patients with hip OA. The guidelines also noted that there were no high-quality studies comparing PRP with placebo for the treatment of OA of the hip.

**National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE)**

The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) issued guidance (2013) on the use of autologous blood injection for tendinopathy.\(^45\) The NICE concluded that the current evidence on the safety and efficacy of autologous blood injection for tendinopathy was “inadequate” in quantity and quality.

The NICE also issued guidance (2013) on the use of autologous blood injection (with or without techniques for producing PRP) for plantar fasciitis.\(^46\) NICE concluded that the evidence on
autologous blood injection for plantar fasciitis raises no major safety concerns but that the evidence on efficacy was “inadequate” in quantity and quality.

The NICE issued guidance (2014) on the use of PRP for osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee. The NICE concluded that current evidence on PRP injections for OA of the knee raised “no major safety concerns”; however, the evidence on efficacy is inadequate in quality.

**Medicare National Coverage**

There is no national coverage determination.

**Regulatory Status**

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) regulates human cells and tissues intended for implantation, transplantation, or infusion through the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, under Code of Federal Regulation (CFR) title 21, parts 1270 and 1271. Blood products such as platelet-rich plasma (PRP) are included in these regulations. Under these regulations, certain products (including blood products such as PRP) are exempt and therefore do not follow the traditional FDA regulatory pathway. To date, the FDA has not attempted to regulate activated PRP.

A number of PRP preparation systems are available, many of which were cleared for marketing by FDA through the 510(k) process for producing platelet-rich preparations intended to be mixed with bone graft materials to enhance bone grafting properties in orthopedic practices. The use of PRP outside of this setting (eg, an office injection) would be considered off-label. The Aurix System™ (previously called AutoloGel™, Cytomedix) and SafeBlood® (SafeBlood Technologies) are two related but distinct autologous blood-derived preparations that can be prepared at the bedside for immediate application. Both AutoloGel™ and SafeBlood® have been specifically marketed for wound healing. Other devices may be used during surgery (eg, Medtronic Electromedics, Elmd-500 Autotransfusion system, the Plasma Saver device, the Smart PreP® [Harvest Technologies] device). The Magellan™ Autologous Platelet Separator System (Medtronic Sofamor Danek) includes a disposables kit designed for use with the Magellan™ Autologous Platelet Separator portable tabletop centrifuge. GPS®II (BioMet Biologics), a gravitational platelet separation system, was cleared for marketing by FDA through the 510(k) process for use as disposable separation tube for centrifugation and a dual cannula tip to mix the platelets and thrombin at the surgical site. Filtration or plasmapheresis may also be used to produce platelet-rich concentrates. The use of different devices and procedures can lead to
variable concentrations of activated platelets and associated proteins, increasing variability between studies of clinical efficacy.
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**Disclaimer:** This medical policy is a guide in evaluating the medical necessity of a particular service or treatment. The Company adopts policies after careful review of published peer-reviewed scientific literature, national guidelines and local standards of practice. Since medical technology is constantly changing, the Company reserves the right to review and update policies as appropriate. Member contracts differ in their benefits. Always consult the member benefit booklet or contact a member service representative to determine coverage for a specific medical service or supply. CPT codes, descriptions and materials are copyrighted by the American Medical Association (AMA). ©2019 Premera All Rights Reserved.

**Scope:** Medical policies are systematically developed guidelines that serve as a resource for Company staff when determining coverage for specific medical procedures, drugs or devices. Coverage for medical services is subject to the limits and conditions of the member benefit plan. Members and their providers should consult the member benefit booklet or contact a customer service representative to determine whether there are any benefit limitations applicable to this service or supply. This medical policy does not apply to Medicare Advantage.
Discrimination is Against the Law

Premera Blue Cross complies with applicable Federal civil rights laws and does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, or sex. Premera does not exclude people or treat them differently because of race, color, national origin, age, disability or sex.

Premera:
- Provides free aids and services to people with disabilities to communicate effectively with us, such as:
  - Qualified sign language interpreters
  - Written information in other formats (large print, audio, accessible electronic formats, other formats)
- Provides free language services to people whose primary language is not English, such as:
  - Qualified interpreters
  - Information written in other languages

If you need these services, contact the Civil Rights Coordinator.

If you believe that Premera has failed to provide these services or discriminated in another way on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, or sex, you can file a grievance with:
Civil Rights Coordinator - Complaints and Appeals
PO Box 91102, Seattle, WA 98111
Toll free 855-332-4535, Fax 425-918-5592, TTY 800-842-5357
Email AppealsDepartmentInquiries@Premera.com

You can file a grievance in person or by mail, fax, or email. If you need help filing a grievance, the Civil Rights Coordinator is available to help you.

You can also file a civil rights complaint with the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office for Civil Rights, electronically through the Office for Civil Rights Complaint Portal, available at https://ocrportal.hhs.gov/ocr/portal/lobby.jsf, or by mail or phone at:
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
200 Independence Avenue SW, Room 509F, HHH Building
Washington, D.C. 20201, 1-800-368-1019, 800-537-7697 (TDD)

Getting Help in Other Languages

This Notice has Important Information. This Notice may have important information about your application or coverage through Premera Blue Cross. There may be key dates in this notice. You may need to take action by certain deadlines to keep your health coverage or help with costs. You have the right to get this information and help in your language at no cost.
Call 800-722-1471 (TTY: 800-842-5357).

Oromo (Cushite):

Deutsche (German):

Iloko (Ilocano):
Daytoy a Pakdaar ket naglaon iti Napateg nga Impormasion. Daytoy a pakdaar mabalin nga adda ket naglaon iti napateg nga impormasion maipanggep iti aplikasyono yowo coverange babaen iti Premera Blue Cross. Daytoy ket mabalin dagiti importante a petsa iti daytoy a pakdaar. Mabalin nga adda rumbeg nga aramidenyu nga addang sakbay dagiti partikular a naituding nga aldaw tapno mapagtalinaneyo ti coverange ti salun-ayyo yowo tulong kadagiti gastos. Adda karbenganyo a mangala iti daytoy nga impormasion ken tulong iti bukodyo a pagasao nga awan ti bayadanyo. Tumagway ti numero ygo 800-722-1471 (TTY: 800-842-5357).

Italiano (Italian):
Este aviso podrá conter información importante que privide ceredia ou acoperia asigurasi de duinneavoastre de sântate prî Premera Blue Cross. Pota exista datî chee în această notificare. Este posibî să fie nevoie să acționai pâna la unimt termes limitî pentru a vîn acoperia asigurasi de sântate sau assistența privitoare la costuri. Aveți dreptul de a obține gratuit aceste infoormații și ajutor în limba duinneavostră. Sunăți la 800-722-1471 (TTY: 800-842-5357).

Russian (Russian): 
Настоящее уведомление содержит важную информацию. Это уведомление может содержать важную информацию о вашем заявлении или страховом покрытии через Premera Blue Cross. В настоящем уведомлении могут быть указаны ключевые даты. Вам, возможно, потребуется привезти меры к определенным предельным срокам для сохранения страхового покрытия или помощь с расходами. Вы имеете право на бесплатное получение этой информации и помощь на вашем языке. Звоните по телефону 800-722-1471 (TTY: 800-842-5357).

Español (Spanish): 
Este Aviso contiene información importante. Es posible que este aviso contenga información importante acerca de su solicitud o cobertura a través de Premera Blue Cross. Es posible que haya fechas claves en este aviso. Es posible que deba tomar alguna medida antes de determinadas fechas para mantener su cobertura médica o ayuda con los costos. Usted tiene derecho a recibir esta información y ayuda en su idioma sin costo alguno. Llame al 800-722-1471 (TTY: 800-842-5357).

Tagalog (Tagalog): 

ไทย (Thai): 
ประกาศนี้อาจมีข้อมูลที่สำคัญเกี่ยวกับการสมัครหรือการขอรับการเข้าร่วมสัญญาของคุณกับ Premera Blue Cross และมีข้อมูลในการพิจารณาให้แก่คุณในกรณีที่คุณต้องใช้การส่งเสริมสุขภาพหรือการได้รับการช่วยเหลือที่ไม่เกี่ยวกับสุขภาพ โปรดติดต่อ Premera Blue Cross ขอให้ข้อมูลที่เกี่ยวกับการเข้าร่วมสัญญาหรือการรับการช่วยเหลือที่ไม่เกี่ยวกับสุขภาพ โปรดติดต่อ Premera Blue Cross ขอให้ข้อมูลที่เกี่ยวกับการเข้าร่วมสัญญาหรือการรับการช่วยเหลือที่ไม่เกี่ยวกับสุขภาพ โปรดติดต่อ Premera Blue Cross ขอให้ข้อมูลที่เกี่ยวกับการเข้าร่วมสัญญาหรือการรับการช่วยเหลือที่ไม่เกี่ยวกับสุขภาพ โปรดติดต่อ Premera Blue Cross ขอให้ข้อมูลที่เกี่ยวกับการเข้าร่วมสัญญาหรือการรับการช่วยเหลือที่ไม่เกี่ยวกับสุขภาพ โปรดติดต่อ Premera Blue Cross ขอให้ข้อมูลที่เกี่ยวกับการเข้าร่วมสัญญาหรือการรับการช่วยเหลือที่ไม่เกี่ยวกับสุขภาพ โปรดติดต่อ Premera Blue Cross ขอให้ข้อมูลที่เกี่ยวกับการเข้าร่วมสัญญาหรือการรับการช่วยเหลือที่ไม่เกี่ยวกับสุขภาพ โปรดติดต่อ Premera Blue Cross ขอให้ข้อมูลที่เกี่ยวกับการเข้าร่วมสัญญาหรือการรับการช่วยเหลือที่ไม่เกี่ยวกับสุขภาพ โปรดติดต่อ Premera Blue Cross ขอให้ข้อมูลที่เกี่ยวกับการเข้าร่วมสัญญาหรือการรับการช่วยเหลือที่ไม่เกี่ยวกับสุขภาพ โปรดติดต่อ Premera Blue Cross ขอให้ข้อมูลที่เกี่ยวกับการเข้าร่วมสัญญาหรือการรับการช่วยเหลือที่ไม่เกี่ยวกับสุขภาพ โปรดติดต่อ Premera Blue Cross ขอให้ข้อมูลที่เกี่ยวกับการเข้าร่วมสัญญาหรือการรับการช่วยเหลือที่ไม่เกี่ยวกับสุขภาพ โปรดติดต่อ Premera Blue Cross ขอให้ข้อมูลที่เกี่ยวกับการเข้าร่วมสัญญาหรือการรับการช่วยเหลือที่ไม่เกี่ยวกับสุขภาพ โปรดติดต่อ Premera Blue Cross ขอให้ข้อมูลที่เกี่ยวกับการเข้าร่วมสัญญาหรือการรับการช่วยเหลือที่ไม่เกี่ยวกับสุขภาพ โปรดติดต่อ Premera Blue Cross ขอให้ข้อมูลที่เกี่ยวกับการเข้าร่วมสัญญาหรือการรับการช่วยเหลือที่ไม่เกี่ยวกับสุขภาพ โปรดติดต่อ Premera Blue Cross ขอให้ข้อมูลที่เกี่ยวกับการเข้าร่วมสัญญาหรือการรับการช่วยเหลือที่ไม่เกี่ยวกับสุขภาพ โปรดติดต่อ Premera Blue Cross ขอให้ข้อมูลที่เกี่ยวกับการเข้าร่วมสัญญาหรือการรับการช่วยเหลือที่ไม่เกี่ยวกับสุขภาพ โปรดติดต่อ Premera Blue Cross ขอให้ข้อมูลที่เกี่ยวกับการเข้าร่วมสัญญาหรือการรับการช่วยเหลือที่ไม่เกี่ยวกับสุขภาพ โปรดติดต่อ Premera Blue Cross ขอให้ข้อมูลที่เกี่ยวกับการเข้าร่วมสัญญาหรือการรับการช่วยเหลือที่ไม่เกี่ยวกับสุхภาพ