


# MEDICAL POLICY – 7.01.18

## Automated Percutaneous and Percutaneous Endoscopic Discectomy

BCBSA Ref. Policy: 7.01.18	
Effective Date: Sept. 1, 2024	<b>RELATED MEDICAL POLICIES:</b> 7.01.72 Percutaneous Intradiscal Electrothermal Annuloplasty, Radiofrequency Annuloplasty, Biacuplasty and Intraosseous Basivertebral Nerve Ablation 7.01.551 Lumbar Spine Decompression Surgery: Discectomy, Foraminotomy, Laminotomy, Laminectomy 7.01.560 Cervical Spine Surgeries: Discectomy, Laminectomy, and Fusion in Adults
Last Revised: Aug. 12, 2024	
Replaces: N/A	

Select a hyperlink below to be directed to that section.

- [POLICY CRITERIA](#) | [CODING](#) | [RELATED INFORMATION](#)
- [EVIDENCE REVIEW](#) | [REFERENCES](#) | [HISTORY](#)

 Clicking this icon returns you to the hyperlinks menu above.

### Introduction

The bones that make up the spine are called vertebrae. Between each of the vertebra is a disc, which prevents the bones from rubbing together. When the disc deteriorates, the gel-like material that’s inside it can leak out and irritate nerves. Cutting away part of the disc is one way to relieve pain and other symptoms. The usual way of performing this surgery is by making an open incision (cut). Newer methods are being studied. One uses a probe and special tools that cut away the disc herniations and suction them out. Another new method uses a small scope with a camera at the end and specialized tools. Both of these methods are considered unproven (investigational). There is not enough medical evidence to show how effective they are.

**Note:** The Introduction section is for your general knowledge and is not to be taken as policy coverage criteria. The rest of the policy uses specific words and concepts familiar to medical professionals. It is intended for providers. A provider can be a person, such as a doctor, nurse, psychologist, or dentist. A provider also can be a place where medical care is given, like a hospital, clinic, or lab. This policy informs them about when a service may be covered.

## Policy Coverage Criteria

Discectomy	Investigational
<b>Automated percutaneous discectomy</b>  <b>Percutaneous endoscopic discectomy</b>	<b>Automated percutaneous discectomy and percutaneous endoscopic discectomy are considered investigational as techniques of intervertebral disc decompression in individuals with back pain and/or radiculopathy related to disc herniation in the lumbar, thoracic, or cervical spine.</b>

## Coding

CPT code 62287 specifically describes a percutaneous decompression procedure of the lumbar spine. This code is specifically limited to the lumbar region. Although most percutaneous discectomies are performed on lumbar vertebrae, FDA labeling of the Stryker DeKompressor Percutaneous Discectomy Probe and the Nucleotome includes the thoracic and cervical vertebrae.

Code	Description
<b>CPT</b>	
0274T	Percutaneous laminotomy/laminectomy (interlaminar approach) for decompression of neural elements, (with or without ligamentous resection, discectomy, facetectomy and/or foraminotomy), any method, under indirect image guidance (e.g., fluoroscopic, CT), with or without the use of an endoscope, single or multiple levels, unilateral or bilateral; cervical or thoracic
0275T	Percutaneous laminotomy/laminectomy (interlaminar approach) for decompression of neural elements, (with or without ligamentous resection, discectomy, facetectomy and/or foraminotomy), any method, under indirect image guidance (e.g., fluoroscopic, CT), with or without the use of an endoscope, single or multiple levels, unilateral or bilateral; lumbar
62287	Decompression procedure, percutaneous, of nucleus pulposus of intervertebral disc, any method utilizing needle-based technique to remove disc material under fluoroscopic imaging or other form of indirect visualization, with the use of an endoscope, with discography and/or epidural injection(s) at the treated level(s), when performed, single or multiple levels, lumbar
62380	Endoscopic decompression of spinal cord, nerve root(s), including laminotomy, partial facetectomy, foraminotomy, discectomy and/or excision of herniated intervertebral disc, 1 interspace, lumbar
<b>HCPCS</b>	



Code	Description
C2614	Probe, percutaneous lumbar discectomy

**Note:** CPT codes, descriptions and materials are copyrighted by the American Medical Association (AMA). HCPCS codes, descriptions and materials are copyrighted by Centers for Medicare Services (CMS).

## Related Information

### Benefit Application

Percutaneous discectomy may be performed by surgeons, but anesthesiologists or other physicians whose practices focus on pain management may also perform this procedure.

## Evidence Review

### Description

Surgical management of herniated intervertebral discs most commonly involves discectomy or microdiscectomy, performed manually through an open incision. Automated percutaneous discectomy involves placement of a probe within the intervertebral disc under image guidance with aspiration of disc material using a suction cutting device. Endoscopic discectomy involves the percutaneous placement of a working channel under image guidance, followed by visualization of the working space and instruments through an endoscope, and aspiration of disc material.

### Background

Back pain or radiculopathy related to herniated discs is an extremely common condition and a frequent cause of chronic disability. Although many cases of acute low back pain and radiculopathy will resolve with conservative care, surgical decompression is often considered when the pain is unimproved after several months and is clearly neuropathic in origin, resulting from irritation of the nerve roots. Open surgical treatment typically consists of discectomy in



which the extruding disc material is excised. When performed with an operating microscope, the procedure is known as microdiscectomy.

Minimally invasive options have also been researched, in which some portion of the disc material is removed or ablated, although these techniques are not precisely targeted at the offending extruding disc material. Ablative techniques include laser discectomy and radiofrequency decompression (see [Related Policies](#)). Intradiscal electrothermal annuloplasty is another minimally invasive approach to low back pain. In this technique, radiofrequency energy is used to treat the surrounding disc annulus (see [Related Policies](#)).

This policy addresses automated percutaneous and endoscopic discectomy, in which the disc decompression is accomplished by the physical removal of disc material rather than its ablation. Traditionally, discectomy was performed manually through an open incision, using cutting forceps to remove nuclear material from within the disc annulus. This technique was modified by automated devices that involve placement of a probe within the intervertebral disc and aspiration of disc material using a suction cutting device. Endoscopic techniques may be intradiscal or may involve extraction of noncontained and sequestered disc fragments from inside the spinal canal using an interlaminar or transforaminal approach. Following insertion of the endoscope, decompression is performed under visual control.

## Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have herniated intervertebral disc(s) who receive automated percutaneous discectomy, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and systematic reviews of observational studies. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The published evidence from small RCTs is insufficient to evaluate the impact of automated percutaneous discectomy on the net health outcome. Well-designed and executed RCTs are needed to determine the benefits and risks of this procedure. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have herniated intervertebral disc(s) who receive percutaneous endoscopic discectomy, the evidence includes a number of RCTs, systematic reviews and observational studies. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Many of the more recent RCTs are conducted at institutions within China. There are few reports from the United States. Results do not reveal a consistently significant improvement in patient-reported outcomes and treatment-related morbidity with percutaneous endoscopic discectomy in comparison to other discectomy interventions. The



evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

## Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials

Some currently ongoing and unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in [Table 1](#).

**Table 1. Summary of Key Trials**

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
<b>Ongoing</b>			
<a href="#">NCT01997086</a>	Percutaneous Transforaminal Endoscopic Discectomy (PTED) vs. Microendoscopic Discectomy (MED) for the treatment of Lumbar Disc Herniation: A Prospective Randomized Controlled Study	125	Aug 2023 (unknown status)
<a href="#">NCT02602093</a>	Percutaneous Transforaminal Endoscopic Discectomy vs. Open Microdiscectomy for Lumbar Disc Herniation (PTED-study)	682	May 2024
<b>Unpublished</b>			
<a href="#">NCT02742311</a>	EuroPainClinics Study V Prospective Observational Study (EPCSV)	500	Dec 2021

NCT: national clinical trial.

<sup>a</sup> Denotes industry-sponsored or cosponsored trial.

## Clinical Input Received from Physician Specialty Societies and Academic Medical Centers

The purpose of the following information is to provide reference material. Inclusion does not imply endorsement or alignment with the policy conclusions.

While the various physician specialty societies and academic medical centers may collaborate with and make recommendations during this process, through the provision of appropriate reviewers, input received does not represent an endorsement or position statement by the physician specialty societies or academic medical centers, unless otherwise noted.



## 2018 Input

Clinical input was sought to help determine whether the use of automated percutaneous discectomy or endoscopic percutaneous discectomy for individuals with herniated intervertebral discs would provide a clinically meaningful improvement in net health outcome and whether the use is consistent with generally accepted medical practice. In response to requests, clinical input was received from three respondents, including two specialty society-level responses; no physician-level responses identified through a specialty society; one physician-level response identified through an academic medical center.

For individuals who have herniated intervertebral discs who receive automated percutaneous discectomy or percutaneous endoscopic discectomy, clinical input does not support a clinically meaningful improvement in net health outcome and does not indicate this use is consistent with generally accepted medical practice. Clinical input suggests that automated percutaneous discectomy may be an appropriate treatment option for the highly selected individual who has a small focal disc fragment compressing a lumbar nerve causing radiculopathy in the absence of lumbar stenosis or severe bony foraminal stenosis. Similarly, clinical input suggests that endoscopic percutaneous discectomy may be an appropriate treatment option for the highly selected individual who has a small focal disc herniation causing lumbar radiculopathy. However, respondents were mixed in the level of support for this indication, and overall the clinical input is not generally supportive of a clinically meaningful improvement in net health outcome.

## 2013 Input

In response to requests, input was received from four physician specialty societies and three academic medical centers while this policy was under review in 2013. Overall, input agreed that percutaneous and endoscopic discectomy are investigational. Most reviewers considered discectomy with tubular retractors to be a variant of open discectomy, with the only difference being the type of retraction used.

## Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are



informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

## National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

The NICE (2005) published guidance on automated percutaneous mechanical lumbar discectomy, indicating that there was limited evidence of efficacy based on uncontrolled case series of heterogeneous groups of individuals, and evidence from small RCTs showed conflicting results.<sup>99</sup> The guidance indicated that, in view of uncertainty about the efficacy of the procedure, it should not be done without special arrangements for consent and for audit or research. The guidance was considered for update in 2009, but failed review criteria; the 2005 guidance is therefore considered to be current.

A NICE (2016) guidance on percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica was published.<sup>100</sup> The guidance stated that current evidence is adequate to support the use of percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica. Choice of operative procedure (open discectomy, microdiscectomy, or percutaneous endoscopic approaches) may be influenced by symptoms, location, and size of prolapsed disc.

A NICE (2016) guidance on percutaneous interlaminar endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica was also published.<sup>101</sup> The guidance stated that current evidence is adequate to support the use of percutaneous interlaminar endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica. Choice of operative procedure (open discectomy, microdiscectomy, or percutaneous endoscopic approaches) may be influenced by symptoms, location, and size of prolapsed disc.

## American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians

The guidelines from the American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians (2013) indicated that the evidence for percutaneous disc decompression with the DeKompressor was limited.<sup>3</sup> There were no recommended indications for the DeKompressor.

## North American Spine Society

The North American Spine Society (2014) published clinical guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of lumbar disc herniation.<sup>102</sup> **Table 2** summarizes recommendations specific to percutaneous endoscopic discectomy and automated percutaneous discectomy.



**Table 2. Recommendations for Lumbar Disc Herniation with Radiculopathy**

Recommendations	Grade or LOE <sup>a</sup>
Endoscopic percutaneous discectomy is suggested for carefully selected patients to reduce early postoperative disability and reduce opioid use compared with open discectomy.	B
There is insufficient evidence to make a recommendation for or against the use of automated percutaneous discectomy compared with open discectomy.	I
Endoscopic percutaneous discectomy may be considered for treatment.	C
Automated percutaneous discectomy may be considered for treatment.	C
Patients undergoing percutaneous endoscopic discectomy experience better outcomes if <40 years and symptom duration <3 months.	II

LOE: level of evidence

<sup>a</sup> Grade B: fair evidence (level II or III studies with consistent findings; grade C: poor quality evidence (level IV or V studies). Level of evidence II: lesser quality randomized controlled trial (e.g., <80% follow-up, no blinding, or improper randomization), prospective comparative study, systematic review of level II studies or level I studies with inconsistent results; level of evidence III: case control, retrospective, systematic review of level III studies; level of evidence IV: case series; level of evidence V: expert opinion.

## American Pain Society

The clinical practice guidelines from the American Pain Society (2009) found insufficient evidence to evaluate alternative surgical methods to standard open discectomy and microdiscectomy, including laser or endoscopic-assisted techniques, various percutaneous techniques, coblation nucleoplasty, or the Dekompessor.<sup>103</sup>

## American Society of Pain and Neuroscience

The American Society of Pain and Neuroscience (ASPN; 2022) published clinical guidance for interventional treatments for low back pain.<sup>104</sup> The guideline states that discectomy procedures (such as percutaneous and endoscopic disc procedures) have favorable safety and efficacy profiles for the treatment of lumbar disc herniation with persistent radicular symptoms; however, it is stated that further research is needed to evaluate complications rates in order for these





procedures to supplant classic open microdiscectomy. Recommendations specific to percutaneous endoscopic discectomy are summarized in [Table 3](#).

**Table 3. Recommendations for Percutaneous and Endoscopic Procedures**

Recommendation	Grade <sup>a</sup>	Level of Evidence <sup>b</sup>	Level of Certainty [Net Benefit] <sup>c</sup>
Percutaneous Endoscopic Discectomy	B	I-a	High

<sup>a</sup> Grade B: (The ASPN Back Group recommends the service. There is high certainty that the net benefit is moderate or there is moderate certainty that the net benefit is moderate to substantial.

<sup>b</sup> Evidence Level: I-A: At least one controlled and randomized clinical trial, properly designed

## Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination.

## Regulatory Status

The DeKompressor Percutaneous Discectomy Probe (Stryker), Herniatome Percutaneous Discectomy Device (Gallini Medical Devices), and the Nucleotome (Clarus Medical) are examples of percutaneous discectomy devices that have been cleared for marketing by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the 510(k) process. The FDA indication for these products is for “aspiration of disc material during percutaneous discectomies in the lumbar, thoracic and cervical regions of the spine.”

FDA product code: HRX

A variety of endoscopes and associated surgical instruments have also been cleared for marketing by FDA through the 510(k) process.

## References



1. Lewis RA, Williams NH, Sutton AJ, et al. Comparative clinical effectiveness of management strategies for sciatica: systematic review and network meta-analyses. *Spine J.* Jun 01 2015; 15(6): 1461-77. PMID 24412033
2. Haines SJ, Jordan N, Boen JR, et al. Discectomy strategies for lumbar disc herniation: results of the LAPDOG trial. *J Clin Neurosci.* Jul 2002; 9(4): 411-7. PMID 12217670
3. Manchikanti L, Abdi S, Atluri S, et al. An update of comprehensive evidence-based guidelines for interventional techniques in chronic spinal pain. Part II: guidance and recommendations. *Pain Physician.* Apr 2013; 16(2 Suppl): S49-283. PMID 23615883
4. Phan K, Xu J, Schultz K, et al. Full-endoscopic versus micro-endoscopic and open discectomy: A systematic review and meta-analysis of outcomes and complications. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg.* Mar 2017; 154: 1-12. PMID 28086154
5. Shi R, Wang F, Hong X, et al. Comparison of percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy versus microendoscopic discectomy for the treatment of lumbar disc herniation: a meta-analysis. *Int Orthop.* Apr 2019; 43(4): 923-937. PMID 30547214
6. Yu P, Qiang H, Zhou J, et al. Percutaneous Transforaminal Endoscopic Discectomy versus Micro-Endoscopic Discectomy for Lumbar Disc Herniation. *Med Sci Monit.* Mar 30 2019; 25: 2320-2328. PMID 30927349
7. Zhao XM, Yuan QL, Liu L, et al. Is It Possible to Replace Microendoscopic Discectomy with Percutaneous Transforaminal Discectomy for Treatment of Lumbar Disc Herniation? A Meta-Analysis Based on Recurrence and Revision Rate. *J Korean Neurosurg Soc.* Jul 2020; 63(4): 477-486. PMID 32380585
8. Xu J, Li Y, Wang B, et al. Minimum 2-Year Efficacy of Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy versus Microendoscopic Discectomy: A Meta-Analysis. *World Neurosurg.* Jun 2020; 138: 19-26. PMID 32109644
9. Bai X, Lian Y, Wang J, et al. Percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy compared with other surgeries for lumbar disc herniation: A meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore).* Mar 05 2021; 100(9): e24747. PMID 33655938
10. Gadhradj PS, Harhangi BS, Amelink J, et al. Percutaneous Transforaminal Endoscopic Discectomy Versus Open Microdiscectomy for Lumbar Disc Herniation: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* Apr 15 2021; 46(8): 538-549. PMID 33290374
11. Zhao XM, Chen AF, Lou XX, et al. Comparison of Three Common Intervertebral Disc Discectomies in the Treatment of Lumbar Disc Herniation: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Based on Multiple Data. *J Clin Med.* Nov 08 2022; 11(22). PMID 36431083
12. Ma C, Li H, Zhang T, et al. Comparison of Percutaneous Endoscopic Interlaminar Discectomy and Open Fenestration Discectomy for Single-Segment Huge Lumbar Disc Herniation: A Two-year Follow-up Retrospective Study. *J Pain Res.* 2022; 15: 1061-1070. PMID 35444463
13. Wang SF, Hung SF, Tsai TT, et al. Better Functional Outcome and Pain Relief in the Far-Lateral-Outside-in Percutaneous Endoscopic Transforaminal Discectomy. *J Pain Res.* 2021; 14: 3927-3934. PMID 35002312
14. Rajamani PA, Goparaju P, Kulkarni AG, et al. A 2-Year Outcomes and Complications of Various Techniques of Lumbar Discectomy: A Multicentric Retrospective Study. *World Neurosurg.* Dec 2021; 156: e319-e328. PMID 34555576
15. Jing Z, Li L, Song J. Percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomy versus microendoscopic discectomy for upper lumbar disc herniation: a retrospective comparative study. *Am J Transl Res.* 2021; 13(4): 3111-3119. PMID 34017479



16. Jarebi M, Awaf A, Lefranc M, et al. A matched comparison of outcomes between percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy and open lumbar microdiscectomy for the treatment of lumbar disc herniation: a 2-year retrospective cohort study. *Spine J.* Jan 2021; 21(1): 114-121. PMID 32683107
17. Meyer G, DA Rocha ID, Cristante AF, et al. Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy Versus Microdiscectomy for the Treatment of Lumbar Disc Herniation: Pain, Disability, and Complication Rate-A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Int J Spine Surg.* Feb 2020; 14(1): 72-78. PMID 32128306
18. Chen Z, Zhang L, Dong J, et al. Percutaneous Transforaminal Endoscopic Discectomy Versus Microendoscopic Discectomy for Lumbar Disc Herniation: Two-Year Results of a Randomized Controlled Trial. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* Apr 15 2020; 45(8): 493-503. PMID 31703056
19. Kim CH, Chung CK, Choi Y, et al. The Long-term Reoperation Rate Following Surgery for Lumbar Herniated Intervertebral Disc Disease: A Nationwide Sample Cohort Study With a 10-year Follow-up. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* Oct 01 2019; 44(19): 1382-1389. PMID 30973508
20. Ahn Y, Lee SG, Son S, et al. Transforaminal Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy Versus Open Lumbar Microdiscectomy: A Comparative Cohort Study with a 5-Year Follow-Up. *Pain Physician.* May 2019; 22(3): 295-304. PMID 31151337
21. Liu X, Yuan S, Tian Y, et al. Comparison of percutaneous endoscopic transforaminal discectomy, microendoscopic discectomy, and microdiscectomy for symptomatic lumbar disc herniation: minimum 2-year follow-up results. *J Neurosurg Spine.* Mar 2018; 28(3): 317-325. PMID 29303471
22. Sun Y, Zhang W, Qie S, et al. Comprehensive comparing percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy with posterior lumbar internal fixation for treatment of adjacent segment lumbar disc prolapse with stable retrolisthesis: A retrospective case-control study. *Medicine (Baltimore).* Jul 2017; 96(29): e7471. PMID 28723757
23. Jeong, J.S.; Lee, S.H.; Lee, S.J.; Hwang, B.W. The Clinical Comparison between Open Surgery and Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy in Extraforaminal Lumbar Disc Herniation. *J. Korean Neurosurg. Soc.* 2006, 39, 413418.
24. Akçakaya MO, Yörükoğlu AG, Aydoseli A, et al. Serum creatine phosphokinase levels as an indicator of muscle injury following lumbar disc surgery: Comparison of fully endoscopic discectomy and microdiscectomy. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg.* Jun 2016; 145: 74-8. PMID 27101087
25. Choi KC, Shim HK, Hwang JS, et al. Comparison of Surgical Invasiveness Between Microdiscectomy and 3 Different Endoscopic Discectomy Techniques for Lumbar Disc Herniation. *World Neurosurg.* Aug 2018; 116: e750-e758. PMID 29787880
26. Dai HJ, Zhang X, Wang LT, et al. The effect of percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomy (PTED) on serum inflammatory factors and pain in patients with lumbar disc herniation after surgery. *Int J Clin Exp Med* 2020;13:597603.
27. Krappel FA, Schmitz R, Bauer E, et al. Open or endoscopic nucleotomy?. *Orthopadische Praxis* 2001;37:1649.
28. Tacconi L, Giordan E. Endoscopic transforaminal discectomy vs. far lateral discectomy for extraforaminal disc protrusions: our experience. *NeuroQuantology* 2019;17:1822.
29. Tacconi L, Signorelli F, Giordan E. Is Full Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy Less Invasive Than Conventional Surgery? A Randomized MRI Study. *World Neurosurg.* Jun 2020; 138: e867-e875. PMID 32251813
30. Tao XZ, Jing L, Li JH. Therapeutic effect of transforaminal endoscopic spine system in the treatment of prolapse of lumbar intervertebral disc. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* Jul 2018; 22(1 Suppl): 103-110. PMID 30004561



31. Wang H, Song Y, Cai L. Effect of percutaneous transforaminal lumbar spine endoscopic discectomy on lumbar disc herniation and its influence on indexes of oxidative stress. *Biomed Res* 2017;28:.
32. Xu G, Zhang C, Zhu K, et al. Endoscopic removal of nucleus pulposus of intervertebral disc on lumbar intervertebral disc protrusion and the influence on inflammatory factors and immune function. *Exp Ther Med*. Jan 2020; 19(1): 301-307. PMID 31853303
33. Ahn SS, Kim SH, Kim DW, et al. Comparison of Outcomes of Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy and Open Lumbar Microdiscectomy for Young Adults: A Retrospective Matched Cohort Study. *World Neurosurg*. Feb 2016; 86: 250-8. PMID 26409086
34. Chang F, Zhang T, Gao G, et al. Therapeutic effect of percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy on lumbar disc herniation and its effect on oxidative stress in patients with lumbar disc herniation. *Exp Ther Med*. Jan 2018; 15(1): 295-299. PMID 29250152
35. Liu C, Zhou Y. Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy and Minimally Invasive Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion for Recurrent Lumbar Disk Herniation. *World Neurosurg*. Feb 2017; 98: 14-20. PMID 27773858
36. Pan Z, Ha Y, Yi S, et al. Efficacy of Transforaminal Endoscopic Spine System (TESSYS) Technique in Treating Lumbar Disc Herniation. *Med Sci Monit*. Feb 18 2016; 22: 530-9. PMID 26887645
37. Yao Y, Zhang H, Wu J, et al. Comparison of Three Minimally Invasive Spine Surgery Methods for Revision Surgery for Recurrent Herniation After Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy. *World Neurosurg*. Apr 2017; 100: 641-647.e1. PMID 28153616
38. Yao Y, Zhang H, Wu J, et al. Minimally Invasive Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion Versus Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy: Revision Surgery for Recurrent Herniation After Microendoscopic Discectomy. *World Neurosurg*. Mar 2017; 99: 89-95. PMID 27919762
39. Gibson JNA, Subramanian AS, Scott CEH. A randomised controlled trial of transforaminal endoscopic discectomy vs microdiscectomy. *Eur Spine J*. Mar 2017; 26(3): 847-856. PMID 27885470
40. Hsu HT, Chang SJ, Yang SS, et al. Learning curve of full-endoscopic lumbar discectomy. *Eur Spine J*. Apr 2013; 22(4): 727-33. PMID 23076645
41. Kim MJ, Lee SH, Jung ES, et al. Targeted percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomy in 295 patients: comparison with results of microscopic discectomy. *Surg Neurol*. Dec 2007; 68(6): 623-631. PMID 18053857
42. Qu JX, Li QZ, Chen M : Comparative study of PTED and MED for monosegmentnlumbar disc herniation. *Chin J Bone Joint Inj* 32 : 70-71,2017
43. Wang H, Cheng J, Xiao H, et al. Adolescent lumbar disc herniation: experience from a large minimally invasive treatment centre for lumbar degenerative disease in Chongqing, China. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg*. Aug 2013; 115(8): 1415-9. PMID 23419406
44. Zhao W, Li CQ, Zhou Y, Wang J, Zheng WJ : Surgical treatment of thenlumbar disc herniated discs using transforaminal endoscopic surgerynsystem. *Orthop J China* 20 : 1191-1195, 2012
45. Yoon SM, Ahn SS, Kim KH, et al. Comparative Study of the Outcomes of Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy and Microscopic Lumbar Discectomy Using the Tubular Retractor System Based on the VAS, ODI, and SF-36. *Korean J Spine*. Sep 2012; 9(3): 215-22. PMID 25983818
46. Li M, Yang H, Yang Q. Full-Endoscopic Technique Discectomy Versus Microendoscopic Discectomy for the Surgical Treatment of Lumbar Disc Herniation. *Pain Physician*. 2015; 18(4): 359-63. PMID 26218939



47. Sinkemani A, Hong X, Gao ZX, et al. Outcomes of Microendoscopic Discectomy and Percutaneous Transforaminal Endoscopic Discectomy for the Treatment of Lumbar Disc Herniation: A Comparative Retrospective Study. *Asian Spine J.* Dec 2015; 9(6): 833-40. PMID 26713113
48. Song HP, Sheng HF, Xu WX. A case-control study on the treatment of protrusion of lumbar intervertebral disc through PELD and MED. *Exp Ther Med.* Oct 2017; 14(4): 3708-3712. PMID 29042967
49. Tu Z, Li YW, Wang B, et al. Clinical Outcome of Full-endoscopic Interlaminar Discectomy for Single-level Lumbar Disc Herniation: A Minimum of 5-year Follow-up. *Pain Physician.* Mar 2017; 20(3): E425-E430. PMID 28339442
50. Li H, Jiang C, Mu X, et al. Comparison of MED and PELD in the Treatment of Adolescent Lumbar Disc Herniation: A 5-Year Retrospective Follow-Up. *World Neurosurg.* Apr 2018; 112: e255-e260. PMID 29325949
51. Abudurexiti T, Qi L, Muheremu A, et al. Micro-endoscopic discectomy versus percutaneous endoscopic surgery for lumbar disk herniation. *J Int Med Res.* Sep 2018; 46(9): 3910-3917. PMID 29900752
52. Chen Z, Zhang L, Dong J, et al. Percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomy compared with microendoscopic discectomy for lumbar disc herniation: 1-year results of an ongoing randomized controlled trial. *J Neurosurg Spine.* Mar 2018; 28(3): 300-310. PMID 29303469
53. Liu T, Zhou Y, Wang J, et al. Clinical efficacy of three different minimally invasive procedures for far lateral lumbar disc herniation. *Chin Med J (Engl).* Mar 2012; 125(6): 1082-8. PMID 22613535
54. Wu XC, Zhou Y, Li CQ. Percutaneous tranforaminal endoscopic discectomy versus microendoscopic discectomy for lumbar disc herniation: a prospective randomized controlled study. *J Third Mil Med Univ.* 2009;31(9):843-846.
55. Yang L, Liao XQ, Zhao XJ, et al. Comparison of surgical outcomes between percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomy and micro-endoscopic discectomy for lumbar disc herniation. *China J Endosc.* 2015;21(9):962-965
56. Duan XF, Jin W, Chen JJ, et al. Contrast observation of comparing microendoscopic discectomy with percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy for the treatment of simple lumbar disc herniation. *Chin J Clin.* 2016;10(1):144-147
57. Zhao XW, Han K, Ji ZW, et al. Comparison of efficacy between microendoscopic discectomy and percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy for treatment of lumbar disc herniation. *Prog Mod Biomed.* 2016;16(23):4454-4457
58. Ding YZ, Hu JN, Zhou Y, et al. Study on the effect contrast between microendoscopic discectomy and percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy using TESSYS technique for the treatment of lumbar disc herniation. *J Cervicodynia & Lumbodynia.* 2017;38(5):492-493
59. Li ZY, Guo PG, Han D, et al. Analysis of curative effects and prognosis in different procedures of discectomy for patients with lumbar disc herniation. *J Clin Med Pract.* 2017;21(15):149-150,158
60. Liu HP, Hao DJ, Wang XD, et al. Comparison of two surgeries in treatment of lumbar disc herniation. *Chin J Pain Med.* 2017;23(6):438-442
61. Luo DK, Zhou NX, Zhao HW, et al. Clinical effectiveness of minimally invasive treatment for lumbar disc herniation. *Orthopaedics.* 2017;8(6):439-444
62. Qu JX, Li QZ, Chem M, et al. Comparison of the efficacies between percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomy and microendoscopic discectomy for the treatment of single-segmental lumbar disc herniation. *Chin J Bone Jt Inj.* 2017;32(1):70-71



63. Chen Q, Qin L, Li MW, et al. Comparison of the therapeutic effect of percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomy and posterior discectomy on senile single segmental lumbar disc herniation. *Chin J Front Med Sci.* 2018;10(2):60-64
64. Wu YM, Bai M, Yin HP, et al. Comparison of the efficacies between two kinds of minimally invasive procedures for the treatment of simple lumbar disc herniation. *J Pract Orthop.* 2018;24(4):357-360
65. Belykh E, Giers MB, Preul MC, et al. Prospective Comparison of Microsurgical, Tubular-Based Endoscopic, and Endoscopically Assisted Discectomies: Clinical Effectiveness and Complications in Railway Workers. *World Neurosurg.* Jun 2016; 90: 273-280. PMID 26898494
66. Chen HC, Lee CH, Wei L, et al. Comparison of percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy and open lumbar surgery for adjacent segment degeneration and recurrent disc herniation. *Neurol Res Int.* 2015; 2015: 791943. PMID 25861474
67. Choi KC, Kim JS, Park CK. Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy as an Alternative to Open Lumbar Microdiscectomy for Large Lumbar Disc Herniation. *Pain Physician.* Feb 2016; 19(2): E291-300. PMID 26815256
68. Garg B, Nagraja UB, Jayaswal A. Microendoscopic versus open discectomy for lumbar disc herniation: a prospective randomised study. *J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong).* Apr 2011; 19(1): 30-4. PMID 21519072
69. Hermantin FU, Peters T, Quartararo L, et al. A prospective, randomized study comparing the results of open discectomy with those of video-assisted arthroscopic microdiscectomy. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* Jul 1999; 81(7): 958-65. PMID 10428127
70. Huang TJ, Hsu RW, Li YY, et al. Less systemic cytokine response in patients following microendoscopic versus open lumbar discectomy. *J Orthop Res.* Mar 2005; 23(2): 406-11. PMID 15734255
71. Hussein M, Abdeldayem A, Mattar MM. Surgical technique and effectiveness of microendoscopic discectomy for large uncontained lumbar disc herniations: a prospective, randomized, controlled study with 8 years of follow-up. *Eur Spine J.* Sep 2014; 23(9): 1992-9. PMID 24736930
72. Kleinpeter G, Markowitsch MM, Böck F. Percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy: minimally invasive, but perhaps only minimally useful?. *Surg Neurol.* Jun 1995; 43(6): 534-9; discussion 540-1. PMID 7482230
73. Lee DY, Shim CS, Ahn Y, et al. Comparison of percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy and open lumbar microdiscectomy for recurrent disc herniation. *J Korean Neurosurg Soc.* Dec 2009; 46(6): 515-21. PMID 20062565
74. Martín-Láez R, Martínez-Agüeros JA, Suárez-Fernández D, et al. Complications of endoscopic microdiscectomy using the EASYGO! system: is there any difference with conventional discectomy during the learning-curve period?. *Acta Neurochir (Wien).* Jun 2012; 154(6): 1023-32. PMID 22446750
75. Mayer HM, Brock M. Percutaneous endoscopic discectomy: surgical technique and preliminary results compared to microsurgical discectomy. *J Neurosurg.* Feb 1993; 78(2): 216-25. PMID 8267686
76. Ohya J, Oshima Y, Chikuda H, et al. Does the microendoscopic technique reduce mortality and major complications in patients undergoing lumbar discectomy? A propensity score-matched analysis using a nationwide administrative database. *Neurosurg Focus.* Feb 2016; 40(2): E5. PMID 26828886
77. Pan L, Zhang P, Yin Q. Comparison of tissue damages caused by endoscopic lumbar discectomy and traditional lumbar discectomy: a randomised controlled trial. *Int J Surg.* 2014; 12(5): 534-7. PMID 24583364
78. Righesso O, Falavigna A, Avanzi O. Comparison of open discectomy with microendoscopic discectomy in lumbar disc herniations: results of a randomized controlled trial. *Neurosurgery.* Sep 2007; 61(3): 545-9; discussion 549. PMID 17881967



79. Ruetten S, Komp M, Merk H, et al. Full-endoscopic interlaminar and transforaminal lumbar discectomy versus conventional microsurgical technique: a prospective, randomized, controlled study. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. Apr 20 2008; 33(9): 931-9. PMID 18427312
80. Ruetten S, Komp M, Merk H, et al. Recurrent lumbar disc herniation after conventional discectomy: a prospective, randomized study comparing full-endoscopic interlaminar and transforaminal versus microsurgical revision. *J Spinal Disord Tech*. Apr 2009; 22(2): 122-9. PMID 19342934
81. Sasaoka R, Nakamura H, Konishi S, et al. Objective assessment of reduced invasiveness in MED. Compared with conventional one-level laminotomy. *Eur Spine J*. May 2006; 15(5): 577-82. PMID 15926058
82. Schizas C, Tsiridis E, Saksena J. Microendoscopic discectomy compared with standard microsurgical discectomy for treatment of uncontained or large contained disc herniations. *Neurosurgery*. Oct 2005; 57(4 Suppl): 357-60; discussion 357-60. PMID 16234685
83. Teli M, Lovi A, Brayda-Bruno M, et al. Higher risk of dural tears and recurrent herniation with lumbar microendoscopic discectomy. *Eur Spine J*. Mar 2010; 19(3): 443-50. PMID 20127495
84. Ruetten S, Komp M, Merk H, et al. Use of newly developed instruments and endoscopes: full-endoscopic resection of lumbar disc herniations via the interlaminar and lateral transforaminal approach. *J Neurosurg Spine*. Jun 2007; 6(6): 521-30. PMID 17561740
85. Ruetten S, Komp M, Merk H, et al. Full-endoscopic cervical posterior foraminotomy for the operation of lateral disc herniations using 5.9-mm endoscopes: a prospective, randomized, controlled study. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. Apr 20 2008; 33(9): 940-8. PMID 18427313
86. Lee SH, Chung SE, Ahn Y, et al. Comparative radiologic evaluation of percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy and open microdiscectomy: a matched cohort analysis. *Mt Sinai J Med*. Sep 2006; 73(5): 795-801. PMID 17008941
87. Gadhradj PS, Rubinstein SM, Peul WC, et al. Full endoscopic versus open discectomy for sciatica: randomised controlled non-inferiority trial. *BMJ*. Feb 21 2022; 376: e065846. PMID 35190388
88. Ran B, Wei J, Yang J, et al. Quantitative Evaluation of the Trauma of CT Navigation PELD and OD in the Treatment of HLDH: A Randomized, Controlled Study. *Pain Physician*. Jul 2021; 24(4): E433-E441. PMID 34213868
89. Wang F, Guo D, Sun T, et al. A comparative study on short-term therapeutic effects of percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomy and microendoscopic discectomy on lumbar disc herniation. *Pak J Med Sci*. 2019; 35(2): 426-431. PMID 31086527
90. Liu Y, Kim Y, Park CW, et al. Interlaminar Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy Versus Microscopic Lumbar Discectomy: A Preliminary Analysis of L5-S1 Lumbar Disc Herniation Outcomes in Prospective Randomized Controlled Trials. *Neurospine*. Dec 2023; 20(4): 1457-1468. PMID 38171312
91. Yang X, Zhang S, Su J, et al. Comparison of Clinical and Radiographic Outcomes Between Transforaminal Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy and Microdiscectomy: A Follow-up Exceeding 5 Years. *Neurospine*. Mar 2024; 21(1): 303-313. PMID 38317550
92. Saghebdoost S, Khadivar F, Ekrami M, et al. Transforaminal Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy versus Open Microdiscectomy for Symptomatic Lumbar Disk Herniation: A Comparative Cohort Study on Costs and Long-Term Outcomes. *J Neurol Surg A Cent Eur Neurosurg*. Oct 25 2023. PMID 37879346
93. Lee DY, Lee SH. Learning curve for percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy. *Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo)*. Sep 2008; 48(9): 383-8; discussion 388-9. PMID 18812679



94. Wang B, Lü G, Patel AA, et al. An evaluation of the learning curve for a complex surgical technique: the full endoscopic interlaminar approach for lumbar disc herniations. *Spine J.* Feb 2011; 11(2): 122-30. PMID 21296295
95. Tenenbaum S, Arzi H, Herman A, et al. Percutaneous Posterolateral Transforaminal Endoscopic Discectomy: Clinical Outcome, Complications, and Learning Curve Evaluation. *Surg Technol Int.* Dec 2011; 21: 278-83. PMID 22505002
96. Casal-Moro R, Castro-Menéndez M, Hernández-Blanco M, et al. Long-term outcome after microendoscopic discectomy for lumbar disk herniation: a prospective clinical study with a 5-year follow-up. *Neurosurgery.* Jun 2011; 68(6): 1568-75; discussion 1575. PMID 21311384
97. Wang M, Zhou Y, Wang J, et al. A 10-year follow-up study on long-term clinical outcomes of lumbar microendoscopic discectomy. *J Neurol Surg A Cent Eur Neurosurg.* Aug 2012; 73(4): 195-8. PMID 22825836
98. Choi KC, Lee JH, Kim JS, et al. Unsuccessful percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy: a single-center experience of 10,228 cases. *Neurosurgery.* Apr 2015; 76(4): 372-80; discussion 380-1; quiz 381. PMID 25599214
99. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Automated percutaneous mechanical lumbar discectomy-guidance [IPG141]. 2005; <http://guidance.nice.org.uk/IPG141/Guidance/pdf/English>. Accessed July 11, 2024.
100. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Percutaneous interlaminar endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica [IPG555]. 2016; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg555>. Accessed July 11, 2024.
101. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica [IPG556]. 2016; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg556>. Accessed July 11, 2024.
102. Kreiner DS, Hwang SW, Easa JE, et al. An evidence-based clinical guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of lumbar disc herniation with radiculopathy. *Spine J.* Jan 2014; 14(1): 180-91. PMID 24239490
103. Chou R, Loeser JD, Owens DK, et al. Interventional therapies, surgery, and interdisciplinary rehabilitation for low back pain: an evidence-based clinical practice guideline from the American Pain Society. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* May 01 2009; 34(10): 1066-77. PMID 19363457
104. Sayed D, Grider J, Strand N, et al. The American Society of Pain and Neuroscience (ASPN) Evidence-Based Clinical Guideline of Interventional Treatments for Low Back Pain. *J Pain Res.* 2022; 15: 3729-3832. PMID 36510616

## History

Date	Comments
01/97	Add to Surgery Section - New Policy
08/13/02	Replace Policy - Policy reviewed without literature review; new review date only
07/13/04	Replace Policy - Policy reviewed without literature review; new review date only.
06/14/05	Replace Policy - Policy revised with literature review; now considered investigational; references provided. Status changed to BC. Title changed by removing Lumbar. Hold for notification; publish 11/1/05.





Date	Comments
06/16/06	Replace Policy - Policy reviewed with literature search; no change in policy statement; Scope and Disclaimer updated.
11/13/07	Replace Policy - Policy reviewed with literature search; no change in policy statement; references added.
05/13/08	Cross Reference Update - No other changes
10/14/08	Cross Reference Update - No other changes.
01/13/09	Replace Policy - Policy reviewed with literature search; no change in policy statement; references added.
03/09/10	Replace Policy - Policy updated with literature search; no change to the policy statement. References added.
05/10/11	Replace Policy - Policy updated with literature review, rationale section extensively revised, no change in policy statement. Title changed to "Automated Percutaneous Discectomy". ICD-10 codes added to policy.
04/10/12	Replace policy. Endoscopic discectomy added to policy with literature review through October 2011; Rationale revised; references added and reordered; 1 reference removed; title changed to "Automated Percutaneous and Endoscopic Discectomy". Endoscopic discectomy is considered investigational.
09/26/12	Update Related Policies – Add 7.01.126; ICD-10 codes are now effective 10/01/2014.
06/10/13	Replace policy. Policy updated with literature review through January 9, 2013; references added and reordered; clinical input reviewed; policy statement clarified to read "back pain and/or radiculopathy".
09/30/13	Update Related Policies. Change title to 7.01.72 and 7.01.93.
01/21/14	Update Related Policies. Add 7.01.551.
03/11/14	Coding Update. Code 80.59 was removed per ICD-10 mapping project; this code is not utilized for adjudication of policy.
06/19/14	Annual Review. Policy updated with literature review through March 27, 2014, references 13-14 and 18 added; policy statements unchanged. Diagnosis and procedure codes removed (ICD-9 and ICD-10) – performed outpatient.
06/17/15	Annual Review. Policy updated with literature review through March 23, 2015; references 17-18, 27, and 34 added; policy statements unchanged. CPT codes 0274T and 0275T added to the policy Coding section.
08/25/15	Update Related Policies. Remove deleted policy 7.01.537.
07/01/16	Annual Review, approved June 14, 2016. Policy updated with literature review through February 23, 2016; references 10 and 18 added. Policy statements unchanged.
01/01/17	Coding update. Added new CPT code 62380 effective 1/1/17.



Date	Comments
07/01/17	Annual Review, approved June 6, 2017. Policy moved into new format. Policy updated with literature review through March 6, 2017; references 15-16 and 21 added. Policy statements unchanged. Policy title changed to "Automated Percutaneous and Percutaneous Endoscopic Discectomy".
01/01/19	Annual Review, approved December 19, 2018. Policy updated with literature review through June 2018; reference 21 added. Policy statements unchanged.
02/01/19	Minor update, added 7.01.560 to related policies.
09/01/19	Annual Review, approved August 6, 2019. Policy updated with literature review through April 2019; references added. Policy statements unchanged.
09/01/20	Annual Review, approved August 4, 2020. Policy updated with literature review through May 2020; references added. Policy statements unchanged.
11/01/20	Coding update. Added HCPCS code C2614.
07/01/21	Related Policies updated; removed policy 7.01.93 as it has been archived.
09/01/21	Annual Review, approved August 3, 2021. Policy updated with literature review through April 26, 2021; references added. Policy statements unchanged.
08/01/22	Annual Review, approved July 25 2022. Policy updated with literature review through April 22, 2022; references added. Minor editorial refinements to policy statements; intent unchanged.
09/01/23	Annual Review, approved August 21, 2023. Policy updated with literature review through May 5, 2023; references added. Policy statements unchanged. Changed the wording from "patient" to "individual" throughout the policy for standardization.
09/01/24	Annual Review, approved August 12, 2024. Policy updated with literature review through April 15, 2024; references added. Policy statements unchanged.

**Disclaimer:** This medical policy is a guide in evaluating the medical necessity of a particular service or treatment. The Company adopts policies after careful review of published peer-reviewed scientific literature, national guidelines and local standards of practice. Since medical technology is constantly changing, the Company reserves the right to review and update policies as appropriate. Member contracts differ in their benefits. Always consult the member benefit booklet or contact a member service representative to determine coverage for a specific medical service or supply. CPT codes, descriptions and materials are copyrighted by the American Medical Association (AMA). ©2024 Premera All Rights Reserved.

**Scope:** Medical policies are systematically developed guidelines that serve as a resource for Company staff when determining coverage for specific medical procedures, drugs or devices. Coverage for medical services is subject to the limits and conditions of the member benefit plan. Members and their providers should consult the member benefit booklet or contact a customer service representative to determine whether there are any benefit limitations applicable to this service or supply. This medical policy does not apply to Medicare Advantage.

