

MEDICAL POLICY – 8.01.36

Extracorporeal Photopheresis

BCBSA Ref. Policy: 8.01.36

Effective Date: Apr. 1, 2026

Last Revised: Mar. 23, 2026


Replaces: 8.01.501

RELATED MEDICAL POLICIES:

5.01.532 Cutaneous T-Cell Lymphomas (CTCL): Systemic Therapies

Select a hyperlink below to be directed to that section.

[POLICY CRITERIA](#) | [DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS](#) | [CODING](#)
[RELATED INFORMATION](#) | [EVIDENCE REVIEW](#) | [REFERENCES](#) | [HISTORY](#)

 Clicking this icon returns you to the hyperlinks menu above.

Introduction

Extracorporeal photopheresis is a treatment of white blood cells. Blood is withdrawn and a specialized machine separates the whole blood into its different components — red blood cells, white blood, cells, platelets, and plasma. White blood cells are kept back and the rest of the blood is returned to the individual. The white blood cells are mixed with medication that makes them sensitive to ultraviolet light. After being exposed to ultraviolet light, the white blood cells are returned to the individual. White blood cells are part of the immune system, and treating them in this way stimulates the immune system. High quality medical studies have shown this technique is successful in treating a number of conditions. These include organ rejection after a heart transplant, graft-versus-host disease, and cutaneous T-cell lymphoma in certain situations. It has also been proposed to treat a number of autoimmune conditions, like Crohn disease or multiple sclerosis. Because more medical studies are needed to show if it works for other conditions, using this technique for autoimmune conditions is investigational (unproven).

Note: The Introduction section is for your general knowledge and is not to be taken as policy coverage criteria. The rest of the policy uses specific words and concepts familiar to medical professionals. It is intended for providers. A provider can be a person, such as a doctor, nurse, psychologist, or dentist. A provider also can be a place where medical care is given, like a hospital, clinic, or lab. This policy informs them about when a service may be covered.

Policy Coverage Criteria

Indication	Medical Necessity
<p>Organ rejection after solid organ transplant; example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Heart • Lung • Liver • Kidney 	<p>Extracorporeal photopheresis may be considered medically necessary to treat cardiac allograft rejection when ONE of the following criteria is met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is acute* rejection that is <u>refractory</u> to standard immunosuppressive drug treatment (see Related Information); <p>OR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is <u>recurrent</u> graft rejection (see Related Information) <p>Extracorporeal photopheresis is considered investigational for the prophylactic prevention of rejection after cardiac transplantation</p> <p>Extracorporeal photopheresis is considered investigational in all other situations related to treatment or prevention of rejection in solid organ transplantation (e.g., lung**, liver, kidney).</p> <p>Note: *Rejection is considered acute when occurring within the first 100 days after transplantation</p> <p>Note: **This includes development of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome</p>
<p>Graft-versus-host disease</p>	<p>Extracorporeal photopheresis may be considered medically necessary as a technique to treat:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acute graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) that is refractory to medical therapy • Chronic GVHD that is refractory to medical therapy <p>Extracorporeal photopheresis is considered investigational as a technique to treat acute GVHD or chronic GVHD that is either previously untreated or is responding to established therapies.</p>
<p>Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma</p>	<p>Extracorporeal photopheresis may be considered medically necessary as a technique to treat:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Late stage (III/IV) cutaneous T-cell lymphoma



Indication	Medical Necessity
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Early stage (I/II) cutaneous T-cell lymphoma that is progressive and refractory to established nonsystemic therapies <p>Extracorporeal photopheresis is considered investigational as a technique to treat early stage (I/II) cutaneous T-cell lymphoma that is either previously untreated or responsive to established nonsystemic therapies.</p>

Indication	Investigational
Autoimmune diseases	<p>Extracorporeal photopheresis is considered investigational as a technique to treat either the cutaneous or visceral manifestations of autoimmune diseases, including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Autoimmune bullous disorders • Crohn’s disease • Diabetes • Multiple sclerosis • Pemphigus • Psoriasis • Rheumatoid arthritis • Scleroderma • Severe atopic dermatitis • Systemic lupus erythematosus
Other	Extracorporeal photopheresis is considered investigational for all other indications.

Documentation Requirements
<p>The individual’s medical records submitted for review should document that medical necessity criteria are met. The record should include clinical documentation of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diagnosis/condition • History and physical examination documenting the severity of the condition • The medical therapy that has been attempted

Coding



Code	Description
Reviewed for Medical Necessity	
CPT	
36522	Photopheresis, extracorporeal

Note: CPT codes, descriptions and materials are copyrighted by the American Medical Association (AMA). HCPCS codes, descriptions and materials are copyrighted by Centers for Medicare Services (CMS).

Related Information

Organ Rejection After Solid Organ Transplant

A regimen of immunosuppressive therapy is standard of care for the treatment of solid organ rejection. Therefore, **refractory** rejection is defined as rejection that fails to respond adequately to a standard regimen of immunosuppressive therapy.

Recurrent allograft rejection is defined as having at least two rejection episodes after standard immunosuppressive therapy.

There is no standard schedule for extracorporeal photopheresis (ECP) and reported schedules vary by the organ type. However, most reported cardiac and lung schedules initiate therapy with two consecutive days of ECP in month 1, followed by biweekly therapy on two consecutive days in months two and three, then monthly on two consecutive days in months four through six.

Graft-Versus-Host Disease

Methylprednisolone is considered first-line treatment of acute graft-versus host disease (GVHD). For chronic GVHD, an alternating regimen of cyclosporine and prednisone is commonly used; other therapies include antithymocyte globulin, corticosteroid monotherapy, and cytotoxic immunosuppressive drugs such as procarbazine, cyclophosphamide, or azathioprine. Therefore, refractory disease is defined as GVHD that fails to respond adequately to a trial of any of these therapies.

Treatment schedule and duration of ECP for GVHD have not been optimally defined. Guidelines and consensus statements have generally recommended one cycle (i.e., ECP on two consecutive



days) weekly for acute GVHD and every two weeks for chronic GVHD. Treatment duration is based on clinical response (see [Practice Guidelines and Position Statements](#) section); discontinuation is generally recommended for no or minimal response.

Cutaneous T-Cell Lymphoma Staging

Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma staging is based on the tumor, node, metastases (TNM) classification system (see [Table 1](#)).

Table 1. Cutaneous T-Cell Lymphoma Staging

Stage	Tumor T, N, and M Categories
IA	T1N0M0
IB	T2N0M0
IIA	T1-2N1M1
IIB	T3N0-1M0
III	T4N0-1M0
IVA	T1-4N2-3M0
IVB	T1-4N0-3M1

Sézary Syndrome

According to the World Health Organization–European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer, Sézary syndrome is defined by the triad of erythroderma, generalized lymphadenopathy, and the presence of neoplastic T cells (Sézary cells) in the skin, lymph nodes, and peripheral blood. The International Society of Cutaneous Lymphomas recommends an absolute Sézary cell count of at least 1000 cells per cubic millimeter, in the presence of immunophenotypical abnormalities (CD4/CD8 ratio >10; loss of any or all of the T-cell antigens CD2, CD3, CD4, and CD5; or both), or the demonstration of a T-cell clone in the peripheral blood by molecular or cytogenetic methods.



Benefit Application

Refer to contract or benefit language for specific language regarding ECP and chronic GVHD.

Evidence Review

Description

Extracorporeal photopheresis (ECP) is a leukapheresis-based immunomodulatory procedure that involves the following three steps:

- The individual's blood is collected into a centrifuge system that separates the leukocyte-rich portion (buffy coat) from the rest of the blood.
- The photosensitizer agent 8-methoxypsoralen is added to the lymphocyte fraction, which is then exposed to ultraviolet A (320-400 nm wavelength) light at a dose of 1-2 J/cm².
- The light-sensitized lymphocytes are reinfused into the individual.

The use of ECP has been investigated for individuals needing treatment for organ rejection after solid organ transplant, graft-versus-host disease (GVHD), autoimmune diseases, and T-cell lymphoma.

Background

Organ Rejection Treatment After Solid Organ Transplant

The standard treatment for organ transplant rejection is immunosuppression, with the particular regimen dictated by the organ being transplanted. As organ transplantation success rates have improved, more individuals are facing the morbidity and mortality associated with immunosuppressive therapies developed to prevent rejection of the transplanted organ. Immunosuppressive therapies are used to lower the responsiveness of the recipient's immune system, decreasing the chance of rejection. Unfortunately, portions of the immune system responsible for the prevention of viral, fungal, and bacterial infections also are affected. This can, in turn, lead to serious infections, including opportunistic infections.



Although first approved for the treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL), ECP has more recently been used as a supplement to conventional therapies in the area of solid organ transplantation.¹ Reports of the successful use of ECP in human cardiac transplant recipients were published in 1992^{2,3} and use in other transplant individuals followed. Although the specific mechanism of action of ECP is unknown, the reinfusion of treated leukocytes seems specifically to suppress the individual's immune response to the donor organ, although maintaining the body's ability to respond to other antigens.⁴ The specificity of ECP to target the immune response to the transplanted organ allows ECP to decrease organ rejection without the increased risk of infection, common with immunosuppressive drugs.⁵

Graft-Versus-Host Disease

Given that GVHD is an immune-mediated disease, ECP can be used to treat GVHD after a prior allogeneic cell transplant. In fact, GVHD can be categorized in two ways: (1) as an acute disease, occurring within the first 100 days after infusion of allogeneic cells; or (2) as a chronic disease, which develops sometime after 100 days. Acute GVHD is commonly graded from I to IV, ranging from mild disease, which is characterized by a skin rash without involvement of the liver or gut, to grades III and IV, which are characterized by generalized erythroderma, elevated bilirubin levels, or diarrhea. Grade III acute GVHD is considered severe, and grade IV is considered life-threatening. Chronic GVHD typically presents with more diverse symptomatology resembling autoimmune diseases such as progressive systemic sclerosis, systemic lupus erythematosus, or rheumatoid arthritis. Chronic GVHD may affect the mouth, eyes, respiratory tract, musculoskeletal system, and peripheral nerves, as well as the skin, liver, or gut — the usual sites of acute GVHD.

Autoimmune Disease

The use of ECP as a treatment of autoimmune disease is based on the premise that pathogenic lymphocytes form an expanded clone of cells, which are damaged when exposed to ultraviolet light in the presence of agent 8-methoxypsoralen. It is hypothesized that the resulting damage induces a population of circulating suppressor T-cells targeted against the light-damaged cells. It is further hypothesized that these suppressor T-cells are targeted at a component of the cell that is common to the entire clone of abnormal cells (i.e., not just the light-sensitized cells), thus inducing a systemic effect. However, although scleroderma and other autoimmune diseases are associated with the presence of circulating autoantibodies, it is unknown how these antibodies



are related to the pathogenesis of the disease. As discussed in this policy, photopheresis is not associated with consistent changes in autoantibody levels.

T-Cell Lymphoma

Cutaneous T-Cell Lymphoma

According to the National Cancer Institute, CTCL is a neoplasia of malignant T lymphocytes that initially presents as skin involvement. CTCL is extremely rare, with an estimated incidence of approximately 0.4 per 100,000 annually, but because most are low-grade malignancies with long survival, overall prevalence is much higher. Two CTCL variants, mycosis fungoides and the Sézary syndrome, account for approximately 60% and 5% of new cases of CTCL, respectively.

CTCL is included in the Revised European-American Lymphoma classification as a group of low-grade T-cell lymphomas, which should be distinguished from other T-cell lymphomas that involve the skin, such as anaplastic large cell lymphoma, peripheral T-cell lymphoma, adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma (usually with systemic involvement), and subcutaneous panniculitis T-cell lymphoma. In addition, a number of benign or very indolent conditions can be confused with mycosis fungoides, further complicating diagnosis.

Mycosis fungoides typically progresses from an eczematous patch/plaque stage, covering less than 10% of the body surface (T1), to a plaque stage, covering 10% or more of the body surface (T2), and finally to tumors (T3) that frequently undergo necrotic ulceration. Sézary syndrome is an advanced form of mycosis fungoides with generalized erythroderma (T4) and peripheral blood involvement (B1) at presentation. The cytologic transformation from a low-grade lymphoma to a high-grade lymphoma sometimes occurs during the course of these diseases and is associated with poor prognosis. A common cause of death during the tumor phase is sepsis from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* or *Staphylococcus aureus* caused by chronic skin infection with staphylococcus species and subsequent systemic infections.

The natural history of mycosis fungoides is typically indolent. Symptoms may present for long periods (mean, 2 to 10 years) as waxing and waning cutaneous eruptions. The prognosis of individuals with mycosis fungoides or Sézary syndrome is based on the extent of disease at presentation and its stage. Lymphadenopathy and involvement of peripheral blood and viscera increase in likelihood with worsening cutaneous involvement and define poor prognostic groups. Median survival after diagnosis varies according by stage. Median survival in individuals with stage IA disease exceeds 20 years, with most deaths in this group typically unrelated to mycosis fungoides. In contrast, median survival in individuals with stage III or IV disease is less than 5 years; more than 50% of these individuals die of their disease.



Appropriate therapy of CTCL depends on a variety of factors, including stage, the individual's overall health, and the presence of symptoms. In general, therapies can be categorized into topical and systemic treatments that include ECP. In contrast to more conventional lymphomas, CTCL is usually not curable (unless caught in its earliest stages). Thus, systemic cytotoxic chemotherapy is avoided except for advanced stage cases. Partial or complete remission is achievable, although most individuals require lifelong treatment and monitoring.

Summary of Evidence

Graft Rejection After Solid Organ Transplant

Heart Transplant

For individuals who are heart transplant recipients who experience acute graft rejection refractory to immunosuppression who receive ECP, the evidence includes a small RCT. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, change in disease status, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. The small RCT, while suggesting similar outcomes for ECP and corticosteroids, is insufficient to permit conclusions on the utility of ECP. Studies with more individuals and longer follow-up are needed. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome. (See [clinical input](#) below)

For individuals who are heart transplant recipients who experience recurrent and/or refractory graft rejection who receive ECP, the evidence includes a comparative study and small case series. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, change in disease status, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Current evidence is consistent on the beneficial effect of ECP for cardiac transplant individuals with graft rejection refractory to standard therapy. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who are heart transplant recipients who require prophylaxis to prevent graft rejection who receive ECP, the evidence includes a small RCT and a prospective pilot study. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, change in disease status, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. The small, randomized trial is insufficient to permit conclusions on the utility of ECP. The pilot study was non-comparative and evaluated outcomes in high-risk cardiac transplant patients. Studies with more individuals and longer follow-up are needed. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.



Lung Transplant

For individuals who are lung transplant recipients who experience acute graft rejection who receive ECP, the evidence includes a small retrospective study and small case series. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, change in disease status, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Current evidence is very limited and any conclusions drawn lack certainty. A prospective, randomized trial is needed specifically evaluating the treatment of individuals with acute graft rejection. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who are lung transplant recipients with bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome (BOS) refractory to corticosteroids who receive ECP, the evidence includes a prospective study and numerous retrospective analyses. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, change in disease status, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Studies have shown inconsistent results across BOS grades. Prospective, RCTs are necessary with analyses stratified by syndrome grade. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Liver Transplant

For individuals who are liver transplant recipients who experience graft rejection and receive ECP, the evidence includes a small nonrandomized study, a retrospective study, and a case series. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, change in disease status, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Current evidence does not permit conclusions on the utility of ECP in this population. There is a need for RCTs comparing immunosuppressive therapy alone with immunosuppressive therapy with ECP. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Kidney Transplant

For individuals who are kidney transplant recipients who experience recurrent graft rejection who receive ECP, the evidence includes a small prospective study and numerous case reports. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, change in disease status, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Current evidence does not permit conclusions on the effect of ECP on net health outcome. There is a need for RCTs comparing immunosuppressive therapy with and without the use of ECP and examining histologic confirmation of treatment response. The



evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Graft-Versus-Host Disease

For individuals who have acute or chronic GVHD refractory to medical treatment who receive ECP, the evidence includes systematic reviews, a randomized study, retrospective studies, and case series. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, change in disease status, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Current evidence has consistently shown that ECP reduces the incidence of GVHD that is unresponsive to standard therapy. Additionally, there is a lack of other treatment options for these individuals; adverse events related to ECP are minimal; and, if there is a response to ECP, individuals may be able to reduce or discontinue treatment with corticosteroids and other immunosuppressive agents. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Other Indications, Not Related to Solid Organ Transplant

Autoimmune Disease

For individuals who have autoimmune diseases (e.g., cutaneous or visceral manifestations of autoimmune diseases including but not limited to scleroderma, systemic lupus erythematosus, rheumatoid arthritis, pemphigus, psoriasis, multiple sclerosis, diabetes, autoimmune bullous disorders, severe atopic dermatitis, and Crohn's disease) who receive ECP, the evidence includes isolated RCTs, small prospective and retrospective studies, and case reports. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, change in disease status, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. The current literature assessing the various autoimmune diseases is not sufficiently robust to support conclusions. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Cutaneous T-Cell Lymphoma

For individuals who have advanced-stage (stage III or IV) CTCL who receive ECP, the evidence includes a systematic review and numerous small case series. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, change in disease status, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Evidence from these small case series has shown a favorable response to ECP treatment and an increase in



survival in a proportion of these individuals. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have refractory or progressive early-stage (stage I or II) CTCL who receive ECP, the evidence includes a systematic review. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, change in disease status, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Given the unfavorable prognosis for individuals with early-stage CTCL that progresses on nonsystemic therapies, the relative lack of adverse events with ECP compared with other systemic treatments, and the good response rates often observed with ECP, this therapy is an option for those with refractory or progressive early-stage CTCL. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

2025 Update

No new literature was identified that would prompt a change in the coverage statement.

Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials

Some currently ongoing and unpublished trials that might influence this policy are listed in [Table 2](#).

Table 2. Summary of Key Trials

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
Ongoing			
Solid organ transplants			
NCT02181257	Extracorporeal Photopheresis for the Management of Progressive Bronchiolitis Obliterans Syndrome in Medicare-Eligible Recipients of Lung Allografts	280	Dec 2028 (ongoing)
Graft-versus-host disease			
NCT00637689	Improving Outcomes Assessment in Chronic GVHD	601	Feb 2027 (ongoing)
Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma			



NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
NCT05680558	THERAKOS CELLEX Photopheresis System as an Interventional Therapy for the Treatment of Early Stage CTCL (Mycosis Fungoides), an Open-label, Single-arm, Multi-center, Phase II Study	74	Jul 2027 (recruiting)
NCT05157581	Open Label, Single-cohort, and Single-center Phase II Study Evaluating Tumor-specific Immunity After Extracorporeal Photopheresis in Patients With Sézary Syndrome at Single-cell Resolution	15	Dec 2026 (recruiting)
Diabetes			
NCT05413005	Efficacy of Extracorporeal Photopheresis (ECP) in the Treatment of Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus (OPERA)	10	Dec 2026 (ongoing)
Multiple Sclerosis			
NCT05168384	Safety and Efficacy of Extracorporeal Photopheresis (ECP) in the Treatment of Multiple Sclerosis (PHOMS)	15	Dec 2025 (ongoing)
Systemic Sclerosis			
NCT04986605	The Effectiveness of ECP in Diffuse Cutaneous Systemic Sclerosis	15	Jun 2027 (ongoing)
Unpublished			
Solid organ transplants			
NCT05721079	Prophylactic Use of Extracorporeal Photopheresis (ECP) After Lung Transplantation	62	Dec 2022
Graft-versus-host disease			
NCT03204721	Prevention of Graft-versus-host Disease in Patients Treated With Allogeneic Stem Cell Transplantation: Possible Role of Extracorporeal Photopheresis	158	Apr 2021

CTCL: cutaneous T-cell lymphoma; GVHD: graft-versus-host disease; NCT: national clinical trial.

^a Denotes industry-sponsored or cosponsored trial.

Clinical Input from Physician Specialty Societies and Academic Medical Centers

While the various physician specialty societies and academic medical centers may collaborate with and make recommendations during this process, through the provision of appropriate reviewers, input received does not represent an endorsement or position statement by the physician specialty societies or academic medical centers, unless otherwise noted.



2014 Input

In response to requests, input was received through two academic medical centers and five Blue Distinction Centers for Transplant when this policy was under review in 2014. Respondents agreed unanimously that ECP should not be medically necessary for previously untreated acute GVHD but should be medically necessary for acute GVHD that is refractory to medical therapy.

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

The purpose of the following information is to provide reference material. Inclusion does not imply endorsement or alignment with the policy conclusions.

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

Transplant

Lung Transplant

International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation

A 2019 document from the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation addressed the use of ECP in patients with chronic lung allograft dysfunction/bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome.⁹¹ The guideline listed ECP as a therapeutic option and stated that ECP may be most beneficial in patients with a slow decline in forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) and increased neutrophilia on bronchoalveolar lavage. Patients with rapidly declining FEV1, lack of significant neutrophilia, or restrictive allograft syndrome.



Graft Versus Host Disease

Acute Graft-Versus-Host Disease

American Society of Blood and Marrow Transplantation

In 2012, evidence-based recommendations from the American Society of Blood and Marrow Transplantation advised that ECP cannot be considered superior to horse antithymocyte globulin for treatment of acute GVHD.⁹² This conclusion was based on older studies.^{54,93}

Acute and Chronic Graft-Versus-Host Disease

European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation

In 2024, the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation published updated prophylaxis and management guidelines for acute and chronic GVHD.⁹⁴ The guidelines state that while there is no standard second-line treatment for both acute and chronic GVHD, ECP is listed as therapy for use for second-line treatment. The guideline does comment that not enough data exists to compare the efficacy of different second-line treatments.

National Comprehensive Cancer Network

National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines on hematopoietic cell transplantation (v. 3.2025) address management guidelines for acute and chronic GVHD.⁹⁵ The guidelines list ECP as an alternative agent for both acute and chronic steroid-refractory GVHD.

Cutaneous T-Cell Lymphoma

National Comprehensive Cancer Network

National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines on primary cutaneous lymphomas (v.3.2025) list the use of ECP as a category 2A treatment alone or in combination with other agents as first-line systemic therapy for advanced (stages III-IV) disease, as well as for individuals with earlier stage mycosis fungoides with Sézary syndrome involvement. The guidelines add that ECP may be more appropriate as systemic therapy in individuals with or at risk of blood involvement (B1 or B2).⁹⁶



National Cancer Institute

The National Cancer Institute lists ECP (alone or in combination with total-skin electron-beam radiation) as a phototherapeutic option for patients with stage III or IV Sezary syndrome or erythrodermic mycosis fungoides.⁸⁸

Medicare National Coverage

Solid-Organ Transplants

Effective 2006, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) concluded that ECP is reasonable and necessary for persons with “acute cardiac allograft rejection whose disease is refractory to standard immunosuppressive drug treatment”.⁹⁷

Effective 2012, CMS also provided coverage for ECP for the treatment of “BOS following lung allograft transplantation only when extracorporeal photopheresis is provided under a clinical research study” that meets certain conditions.⁹⁷

Graft-Versus-Host Disease

Effective 2006, CMS provided coverage of ECP for individuals with chronic GVHD “whose disease is refractory to standard immunosuppressive drug treatment.”⁹⁷

Autoimmune Disorders

There are no national coverage decisions on the use of ECP for the treatment of autoimmune disease.

Cutaneous T-Cell Lymphoma

Effective 1988, CMS provided coverage for ECP as “palliative treatment of skin manifestations of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma that has not responded to other therapy.”⁹⁷



Regulatory Status

Two photopheresis systems (Therakos; now Mallinckrodt) were approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the premarket approval process. Both systems are approved for use in ultraviolet-A irradiation treatment, in the presence of the photoactive drug 8-methoxypsoralen, of extracorporeally circulating leukocyte-enriched blood, in the palliative treatment of skin manifestations of CTCL, in persons who have not been responsive to other forms of treatment. The two systems are:

- UVAR XTS Photopheresis System (FDA-approved in 1987)
- CELLEX (FDA approved in 2009)

Photoactive 8-methoxypsoralen (UVADEX; Therakos) is FDA-approved for extracorporeal administration with the UVAR XTS or CELLEX Photopheresis System in the palliative treatment of the skin manifestations of CTCL unresponsive to other forms of treatment.

The use of either Therakos photopheresis system or UVADEX for other conditions is off-label.

FDA product code: LNR.

References

1. Marques MB, Tuncer HH. Photopheresis in solid organ transplant rejection. *J Clin Apher.* Apr 2006; 21(1): 72-7. PMID 16619230
2. Costanzo-Nordin MR, Hubbell EA, O'Sullivan EJ, et al. Photopheresis versus corticosteroids in the therapy of heart transplant rejection. Preliminary clinical report. *Circulation.* Nov 1992; 86(5 Suppl): II242-50. PMID 1424007
3. Rose EA, Barr ML, Xu H, et al. Photochemotherapy in human heart transplant recipients at high risk for fatal rejection. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 1992; 11(4 Pt 1): 746-50. PMID 1498142
4. Hivelin M, Siemionow M, Grimbert P, et al. Extracorporeal photopheresis: from solid organs to face transplantation. *Transpl Immunol.* Jul 2009; 21(3): 117-28. PMID 19409991
5. Szczepiorkowski ZM, Bandarenko N, Kim HC, et al. Guidelines on the use of therapeutic apheresis in clinical practice: evidence-based approach from the Apheresis Applications Committee of the American Society for Apheresis. *J Clin Apher.* Jun 2007; 22(3): 106-75. PMID 17394188
6. Carlo WF, Pearce FB, George JF, et al. Single-center experience with extracorporeal photopheresis in pediatric heart transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* Jun 2014; 33(6): 624-8. PMID 24661684
7. Kirklin JK, Brown RN, Huang ST, et al. Rejection with hemodynamic compromise: objective evidence for efficacy of photopheresis. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* Mar 2006; 25(3): 283-8. PMID 16507420



8. Maccherini M, Diciolla F, Laghi Pasini F, et al. Photopheresis immunomodulation after heart transplantation. *Transplant Proc.* 2001; 33(1-2): 1591-4. PMID 11267432
9. Dall'Amico R, Montini G, Murer L, et al. Extracorporeal photochemotherapy after cardiac transplantation: a new therapeutic approach to allograft rejection. *Int J Artif Organs.* Jan 2000; 23(1): 49-54. PMID 12118837
10. Gökler J, Aliabadi-Zuckermann A, Zuckermann A, et al. Extracorporeal Photopheresis With Low-Dose Immunosuppression in High-Risk Heart Transplant Patients-A Pilot Study. *Transpl Int.* 2022; 35: 10320. PMID 35401042
11. Barr ML, Meiser BM, Eisen HJ, et al. Photopheresis for the prevention of rejection in cardiac transplantation. Photopheresis Transplantation Study Group. *N Engl J Med.* Dec 10 1998; 339(24): 1744-51. PMID 9845709
12. Villanueva J, Bhorade SM, Robinson JA, et al. Extracorporeal photopheresis for the treatment of lung allograft rejection. *Ann Transplant.* 2000; 5(3): 44-7. PMID 11233043
13. Benden C, Speich R, Hofbauer GF, et al. Extracorporeal photopheresis after lung transplantation: a 10-year single-center experience. *Transplantation.* Dec 15 2008; 86(11): 1625-7. PMID 19077900
14. Salerno CT, Park SJ, Kreykes NS, et al. Adjuvant treatment of refractory lung transplant rejection with extracorporeal photopheresis. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* Jun 1999; 117(6): 1063-9. PMID 10343253
15. Benden C, Haughton M, Leonard S, et al. Therapy options for chronic lung allograft dysfunction-bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome following first-line immunosuppressive strategies: A systematic review. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* Sep 2017; 36(9): 921-933. PMID 28662986
16. Del Fante C, Scudeller L, Oggionni T, et al. Long-Term Off-Line Extracorporeal Photochemotherapy in Patients with Chronic Lung Allograft Rejection Not Responsive to Conventional Treatment: A 10-Year Single-Centre Analysis. *Respiration.* 2015; 90(2): 118-28. PMID 26112178
17. Jaksch P, Scheed A, Keplinger M, et al. A prospective interventional study on the use of extracorporeal photopheresis in patients with bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome after lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* Sep 2012; 31(9): 950-7. PMID 22884382
18. Leroux J, Hirschi S, Essaydi A, et al. Initiation of extracorporeal photopheresis in lung transplant patients with mild to moderate refractory BOS: A single-center real-life experience. *Respir Med Res.* May 2022; 81: 100913. PMID 35525096
19. Greer M, Dierich M, De Wall C, et al. Phenotyping established chronic lung allograft dysfunction predicts extracorporeal photopheresis response in lung transplant patients. *Am J Transplant.* Apr 2013; 13(4): 911-918. PMID 23406373
20. Lucid CE, Savani BN, Engelhardt BG, et al. Extracorporeal photopheresis in patients with refractory bronchiolitis obliterans developing after allo-SCT. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* Mar 2011; 46(3): 426-9. PMID 20581885
21. Morrell MR, Despotis GJ, Lublin DM, et al. The efficacy of photopheresis for bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome after lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* Apr 2010; 29(4): 424-31. PMID 19853479
22. Urbani L, Mazzoni A, Catalano G, et al. The use of extracorporeal photopheresis for allograft rejection in liver transplant recipients. *Transplant Proc.* Dec 2004; 36(10): 3068-70. PMID 15686696
23. Urbani L, Mazzoni A, De Simone P, et al. Avoiding calcineurin inhibitors in the early post-operative course in high-risk liver transplant recipients: The role of extracorporeal photopheresis. *J Clin Apher.* 2007; 22(4): 187-94. PMID 17294458
24. Urbani L, Mazzoni A, Colombatto P, et al. Potential applications of extracorporeal photopheresis in liver transplantation. *Transplant Proc.* May 2008; 40(4): 1175-8. PMID 18555142
25. Jardine MJ, Bhandari S, Wyburn KR, et al. Photopheresis therapy for problematic renal allograft rejection. *J Clin Apher.* 2009; 24(4): 161-9. PMID 19536814
26. Kumlien G, Genberg H, Shanwell A, et al. Photopheresis for the treatment of refractory renal graft rejection. *Transplantation.* Jan 15 2005; 79(1): 123-5. PMID 15714180
27. Dall'Amico R, Murer L. Extracorporeal photochemotherapy: a new therapeutic approach for allograft rejection. *Transfus Apher Sci.* Jun 2002; 26(3): 197-204. PMID 12126206



28. Dall'Amico R, Murer L, Montini G, et al. Successful treatment of recurrent rejection in renal transplant patients with photopheresis. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* Jan 1998; 9(1): 121-7. PMID 9440096
29. Baron ED, Heeger PS, Hricik DE, et al. Immunomodulatory effect of extracorporeal photopheresis after successful treatment of resistant renal allograft rejection. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed.* Apr 2001; 17(2): 79-82. PMID 11338406
30. Sunder-Plassman G, Druml W, Steininger R, et al. Renal allograft rejection controlled by photopheresis. *Lancet.* Aug 19 1995; 346(8973): 506. PMID 7637500
31. Abu-Dalle I, Reljic T, Nishihori T, et al. Extracorporeal photopheresis in steroid-refractory acute or chronic graft-versus-host disease: results of a systematic review of prospective studies. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant.* Nov 2014; 20(11): 1677-86. PMID 24867779
32. Flowers ME, Apperley JF, van Besien K, et al. A multicenter prospective phase 2 randomized study of extracorporeal photopheresis for treatment of chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Blood.* Oct 01 2008; 112(7): 2667-74. PMID 18621929
33. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Extracorporeal photopheresis for graft-versus-host disease. *TEC Assessments.* 2001;Volume 16:Tab 9.
34. Hautmann AH, Wolff D, Hahn J, et al. Extracorporeal photopheresis in 62 patients with acute and chronic GVHD: results of treatment with the COBE Spectra System. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* Mar 2013; 48(3): 439-45. PMID 22922407
35. Ussowicz M, Musiał J, Mielcarek M, et al. Steroid-sparing effect of extracorporeal photopheresis in the therapy of graft-versus-host disease after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Transplant Proc.* Nov 2013; 45(9): 3375-80. PMID 24182819
36. Weitz M, Strahm B, Meerpohl JJ, et al. Extracorporeal photopheresis versus standard treatment for acute graft-versus-host disease after haematopoietic stem cell transplantation in paediatric patients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* Feb 25 2014; (2): CD009759. PMID 24569960
37. Weitz M, Strahm B, Meerpohl JJ, et al. Extracorporeal photopheresis versus alternative treatment for chronic graft-versus-host disease after haematopoietic stem cell transplantation in paediatric patients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* Feb 25 2014; (2): CD009898. PMID 24569961
38. Buder K, Zirngibl M, Bapistella S, et al. Extracorporeal photopheresis versus alternative treatment for chronic graft-versus-host disease after haematopoietic stem cell transplantation in children and adolescents. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* Jun 09 2022; 6(6): CD009898. PMID 35679154
39. Kitko CL, Abdel-Azim H, Carpenter PA, et al. A Prospective, Multicenter Study of Closed-System Extracorporeal Photopheresis for Children with Steroid-Refractory Acute Graft-versus-Host Disease. *Transplant Cell Ther.* May 2022; 28(5): 261.e1-261.e7. PMID 35124293
40. Perotti C, Del Fante C, Tinelli C, et al. Extracorporeal photochemotherapy in graft-versus-host disease: a longitudinal study on factors influencing the response and survival in pediatric patients. *Transfusion.* Jun 2010; 50(6): 1359-69. PMID 20113452
41. Halle P, Paillard C, D'Incan M, et al. Successful extracorporeal photochemotherapy for chronic graft-versus-host disease in pediatric patients. *J Hematother Stem Cell Res.* Jun 2002; 11(3): 501-12. PMID 12183835
42. Salvaneschi L, Perotti C, Zecca M, et al. Extracorporeal photochemotherapy for treatment of acute and chronic GVHD in childhood. *Transfusion.* Oct 2001; 41(10): 1299-305. PMID 11606832
43. Berger M, Pessolano R, Albiani R, et al. Extracorporeal photopheresis for steroid resistant graft versus host disease in pediatric patients: a pilot single institution report. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* Oct 2007; 29(10): 678-87. PMID 17921848
44. Kozlov A, Estrina M, Paina O, et al. Extracorporeal Photopheresis in Children with Chronic Graft-Versus-Host Disease. *Pharmaceuticals (Basel).* Aug 17 2021; 14(8). PMID 34451905
45. Zhang H, Chen R, Cheng J, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies for ECP treatment in patients with steroid-refractory acute GVHD. *Patient Prefer Adherence.* 2015; 9: 105-11. PMID 25653504



46. Mehta RS, Bassett R, Rondon G, et al. Randomized phase II trial of extracorporeal phototherapy and steroids vs. steroids alone for newly diagnosed acute GVHD. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* Jun 2021; 56(6): 1316-1324. PMID 33398094
47. Solh MM, Farnham C, Solomon SR, et al. Extracorporeal photopheresis (ECP) improves overall survival in the treatment of steroid refractory acute graft-versus-host disease (SR aGVHD). *Bone Marrow Transplant.* Feb 2023; 58(2): 168-174. PMID 36352015
48. Greinix HT, Knobler RM, Worel N, et al. The effect of intensified extracorporeal photochemotherapy on long-term survival in patients with severe acute graft-versus-host disease. *Haematologica.* Mar 2006; 91(3): 405-8. PMID 16531267
49. Battista ML, Facchin G, De Martino M, et al. Real-world study in steroid-refractory acute graft versus host disease: Comparison of efficacy and tolerability of ruxolitinib alone or ruxolitinib in association with extracorporeal photopheresis or extracorporeal photopheresis monotherapy. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* Oct 2025; 60(10): 1406-1409. PMID 40715542
50. Batgi H, Dal MS, Erkurt MA, et al. Extracorporeal photopheresis in the treatment of acute graft-versus-host disease: A multicenter experience. *Transfus Apher Sci.* Oct 2021; 60(5): 103242. PMID 34420882
51. Jagasia M, Greinix H, Robin M, et al. Extracorporeal photopheresis versus anticytokine therapy as a second-line treatment for steroid-refractory acute GVHD: a multicenter comparative analysis. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant.* Jul 2013; 19(7): 1129-33. PMID 23623892
52. Rubegni P, Feci L, Poggiali S, et al. Extracorporeal photopheresis: a useful therapy for patients with steroid-refractory acute graft-versus-host disease but not for the prevention of the chronic form. *Br J Dermatol.* Aug 2013; 169(2): 450-7. PMID 23534380
53. Shaughnessy PJ, Bolwell BJ, van Besien K, et al. Extracorporeal photopheresis for the prevention of acute GVHD in patients undergoing standard myeloablative conditioning and allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* Jun 2010; 45(6): 1068-76. PMID 19915634
54. Perfetti P, Carlier P, Strada P, et al. Extracorporeal photopheresis for the treatment of steroid refractory acute GVHD. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* Nov 2008; 42(9): 609-17. PMID 18660840
55. DeFilipp Z, Fox L, Holderried TAW, et al. Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Extracorporeal Photopheresis for the Treatment of Steroid-Refractory Chronic Graft-Versus-Host Disease. *Transplant Cell Ther.* Feb 2025; 31(2): 76.e1-76.e13. PMID 39536878
56. Malik MI, Litzow M, Hogan W, et al. Extracorporeal photopheresis for chronic graft-versus-host disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Blood Res.* Jun 2014; 49(2): 100-6. PMID 25025011
57. Ontario Health Technology Advisory Committee. OHTAC Recommendation: Extracorporeal Photopheresis. 2006; http://www.hqontario.ca/english/providers/program/ohtac/tech/recommend/rec_ecp_032806.pdf. Accessed February 26, 2026.
58. Foss FM, DiVenuti GM, Chin K, et al. Prospective study of extracorporeal photopheresis in steroid-refractory or steroid-resistant extensive chronic graft-versus-host disease: analysis of response and survival incorporating prognostic factors. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* Jun 2005; 35(12): 1187-93. PMID 15852025
59. Dignan FL, Aguilar S, Scarisbrick JJ, et al. Impact of extracorporeal photopheresis on skin scores and quality of life in patients with steroid-refractory chronic GVHD. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* May 2014; 49(5): 704-8. PMID 24566709
60. Kansu E, Ward D, Sanchez AP, et al. Extracorporeal photopheresis for the treatment of chronic graft versus host disease. *Hematology.* Dec 2022; 27(1): 785-794. PMID 35802815
61. Dal MS, Batgi H, Erkurt MA, et al. Extracorporeal photopheresis in steroid-refractory chronic graft-versus-host disease: A retrospective multicenter study. *Transfus Apher Sci.* Oct 2021; 60(5): 103243. PMID 34420879
62. Greinix HT, Volc-Platzer B, Knobler R. Criteria for assessing chronic GVHD. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* Mar 2000; 25(5): 575. PMID 10713639
63. Rubegni P, Cuccia A, Sbano P, et al. Role of extracorporeal photochemotherapy in patients with refractory chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Br J Haematol.* Jul 2005; 130(2): 271-5. PMID 16029456



64. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Extracorporeal photopheresis for autoimmune disease. TEC Assessments. 2001;Volume 16:Tab 10.
65. Rook AH, Freundlich B, Jegasothy BV, et al. Treatment of systemic sclerosis with extracorporeal photochemotherapy. Results of a multicenter trial. *Arch Dermatol*. Mar 1992; 128(3): 337-46. PMID 1550365
66. Fries JF, Seibold JR, Medsger TA. Photopheresis for scleroderma? No!. *J Rheumatol*. Jul 1992; 19(7): 1011-3. PMID 1512753
67. Melski JW. Price of technology. A blind spot. *JAMA*. Mar 18 1992; 267(11): 1516-8. PMID 1538542
68. Trentham DE. Photochemotherapy in systemic sclerosis. The stage is set. *Arch Dermatol*. Mar 1992; 128(3): 389-90. PMID 1550373
69. Papp G, Horvath IF, Barath S, et al. Immunomodulatory effects of extracorporeal photochemotherapy in systemic sclerosis. *Clin Immunol*. Feb 2012; 142(2): 150-9. PMID 22036269
70. Cavaletti G, Perseghin P, Dassi M, et al. Extracorporeal photochemotherapy: a safety and tolerability pilot study with preliminary efficacy results in refractory relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis. *Neurol Sci*. Apr 2006; 27(1): 24-32. PMID 16688596
71. Ludvigsson J, Samuelsson U, Ernerudh J, et al. Photopheresis at onset of type 1 diabetes: a randomised, double blind, placebo controlled trial. *Arch Dis Child*. Aug 2001; 85(2): 149-54. PMID 11466190
72. Sanli H, Akay BN, Ayyildiz E, et al. Remission of severe autoimmune bullous disorders induced by long-term extracorporeal photochemotherapy. *Transfus Apher Sci*. Dec 2010; 43(3): 353-359. PMID 21035398
73. Rubegni P, Poggiali S, Cevenini G, et al. Long term follow-up results on severe recalcitrant atopic dermatitis treated with extracorporeal photochemotherapy. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. Apr 2013; 27(4): 523-6. PMID 22540319
74. Wolf P, Georgas D, Tomi NS, et al. Extracorporeal photochemotherapy as systemic monotherapy of severe, refractory atopic dermatitis: results from a prospective trial. *Photochem Photobiol Sci*. Jan 2013; 12(1): 174-81. PMID 22948099
75. Reinisch W, Knobler R, Rutgeerts PJ, et al. Extracorporeal photopheresis (ECP) in patients with steroid-dependent Crohn's disease: an open-label, multicenter, prospective trial. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. Feb 2013; 19(2): 293-300. PMID 22573600
76. Edelson R, Berger C, Gasparro F, et al. Treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma by extracorporeal photochemotherapy. Preliminary results. *N Engl J Med*. Feb 05 1987; 316(6): 297-303. PMID 3543674
77. Knobler R, Duvic M, Querfeld C, et al. Long-term follow-up and survival of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma patients treated with extracorporeal photopheresis. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed*. Oct 2012; 28(5): 250-7. PMID 22971190
78. Weiner DM, Kumar P, Varadhan R, et al. Outcomes of extracorporeal photopheresis in a diverse cohort of patients with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma: a retrospective study at a tertiary care hospital. *J Dermatolog Treat*. Dec 2024; 35(1): 2312250. PMID 38331434
79. Freiman A, Sasseville D. Treatment of mycosis fungoides: overview. *J Cutan Med Surg*. 2006; 10(5): 228-33. PMID 17234106
80. Keehn CA, Belongie IP, Shistik G, et al. The diagnosis, staging, and treatment options for mycosis fungoides. *Cancer Control*. Apr 2007; 14(2): 102-11. PMID 17387295
81. Knobler E. Current management strategies for cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Clin Dermatol*. 2004; 22(3): 197-208. PMID 15262305
82. Scarisbrick JJ. Staging and management of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Clin Exp Dermatol*. Mar 2006; 31(2): 181-6. PMID 16487086
83. Whittaker SJ, Foss FM. Efficacy and tolerability of currently available therapies for the mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome variants of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Cancer Treat Rev*. Apr 2007; 33(2): 146-60. PMID 17275192
84. Gao C, McCormack C, van der Weyden C, et al. Prolonged survival with the early use of a novel extracorporeal photopheresis regimen in patients with Sézary syndrome. *Blood*. Oct 17 2019; 134(16): 1346-1350. PMID 31467061
85. Scarisbrick JJ, Taylor P, Holtick U, et al. U.K. consensus statement on the use of extracorporeal photopheresis for treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma and chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Br J Dermatol*. Apr 2008; 158(4): 659-78. PMID 18241274



86. Trautinger F, Knobler R, Willemze R, et al. EORTC consensus recommendations for the treatment of mycosis fungoides/Sézary syndrome. *Eur J Cancer*. May 2006; 42(8): 1014-30. PMID 16574401
87. Whittaker SJ, Marsden JR, Spittle M, et al. Joint British Association of Dermatologists and U.K. Cutaneous Lymphoma Group guidelines for the management of primary cutaneous T-cell lymphomas. *Br J Dermatol*. Dec 2003; 149(6): 1095-1107. PMID 14696593
88. National Cancer Institute. Mycosis Fungoides (Including Sezary Syndrome) Treatment (PDQ) Health Professional Version. February 19, 2025; <https://www.cancer.gov/types/lymphoma/hp/mycosis-fungoides-treatment-pdq>. Accessed February 26, 2026.
89. Miller JD, Kirkland EB, Domingo DS, et al. Review of extracorporeal photopheresis in early-stage (IA, IB, and IIA) cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed*. Oct 2007; 23(5): 163-71. PMID 17803594
90. Willemze R, Jaffe ES, Burg G, et al. WHO-EORTC classification for cutaneous lymphomas. *Blood*. May 15 2005; 105(10): 3768-85. PMID 15692063
91. Verleden GM, Glanville AR, Lease ED, et al. Chronic lung allograft dysfunction: Definition, diagnostic criteria, and approaches to treatment-A consensus report from the Pulmonary Council of the ISHLT. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. May 2019; 38(5): 493-503. PMID 30962148
92. Martin PJ, Rizzo JD, Wingard JR, et al. First- and second-line systemic treatment of acute graft-versus-host disease: recommendations of the American Society of Blood and Marrow Transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. Aug 2012; 18(8): 1150-63. PMID 22510384
93. Messina C, Locatelli F, Lanino E, et al. Extracorporeal photochemotherapy for paediatric patients with graft-versus-host disease after haematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Br J Haematol*. Jul 2003; 122(1): 118-27. PMID 12823353
94. Penack O, Marchetti M, Aljurf M, et al. Prophylaxis and management of graft-versus-host disease after stem-cell transplantation for haematological malignancies: updated consensus recommendations of the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. *Lancet Haematol*. Feb 2024; 11(2): e147-e159. PMID 38184001
95. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical practice Guidelines in Oncology: Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation. Version 3.2025. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/primary_cutaneous.pdf. Accessed February 26, 2026.
96. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical practice Guidelines in Oncology: Primary Cutaneous Lymphomas. Version 3.2025. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/primary_cutaneous.pdf. Accessed February 26, 2026.
97. Center for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Extracorporeal Photopheresis (110.4). 2012; <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=113>. Accessed February 26, 2026.
98. Alemanno S, Jaksch P, Benazzo A. Extracorporeal Photopheresis in Lung Transplantation: Present Applications and Emerging Research. *Transplant Direct*. 2025;11(9):e1831. Published 2025 Sep 2. doi:10.1097/TXD.0000000000001831. PMID: 40919454.
99. Arella F, Schlitt HJ, Riquelme P. Extracorporeal Photopheresis Stimulates Tissue Repair after Transplantation. *Transplant Direct*. 2025;11(9):e1812. Published 2025 Sep 2. doi:10.1097/TXD.0000000000001812. PMID: 40919459.
100. Nicoli M, Rovira J, Diekmann F. Exploring the Role of Extracorporeal Photopheresis in Kidney Transplant Management. *Transplant Direct*. 2025;11(9):e1809. Published 2025 Sep 2. doi:10.1097/TXD.0000000000001809. PMID: 40919452.
101. Melchers S, Tengler L, Şener ÖÇ, et al. Extracorporeal photopheresis therapy rapidly changes the cytokine profile and tumor microenvironment in cutaneous T cell lymphoma. *Front Immunol*. 2025;16:1669015. Published 2025 Sep 25. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2025.1669015. PMID: 41080600.



History

Date	Comments
11/16/00	Add to Therapy Section - New Policy.
01/08/02	Replace Policy - Revised; added information on photopheresis for graft vs. host disease; considered medically necessary for chronic disease.
05/11/04	Replace Policy - Policy reviewed; literature updated; no change in policy statement.
06/14/05	Replace Policy - Policy reviewed with literature search; no change in policy statement.
06/16/06	Replace Policy - Policy updated with literature search; policy statement unchanged. Scope and Disclaimer updated.
01/08/08	Replace Policy - Policy updated with literature search; no change in policy statement. "Extracorporeal" added to the title. References and code added.
12/16/08	Minor Updates - Spelling Corrected.
06/09/09	Replace Policy - Policy updated with literature search. Three new policy statements for CTCL added (two medically necessary statements). Title changed to reflect cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL) indication. References added. Policy reviewed and recommended by Oncology Advisory Panel on May 21, 2009. OAP recommended removing chronic from first policy statement.
02/09/10	Replace Policy - Policy updated with literature search. Policy statement minor update "chronic" added to medically necessary statement, no other changes. References added.
06/10/10	Delete Policy - Policy deleted from active status.
11/09/10	Reinstate Policy - Policy reinstated. Requests are being received.
03/08/11	Replace Policy - Policy updated with literature search; reference numbers 8, 9 and 17 added; autoimmune bullous disorders added as investigational. No other changes to policy statements.
05/12/11	Reviewed by OAP - No changes recommend to the policy.
04/10/12	Replace policy. Policy updated with literature search; reference numbers 8, 9, 17 and 28 added; autoimmune bullous disorders added as investigational; no other changes to policy statements. Policy 8.01.51 (Extracorporeal Photopheresis as Treatment for and Prevention of Organ Rejection after Solid-Organ Transplant) combined with this policy; 8.01.51 deleted as a separate policy. Title changed to reflect combined policies and coding updated.
09/10/12	Update Coding Section – ICD-10 codes are now effective 10/01/2014.
09/21/12	Update Related Policy, add 5.01.532.
02/12/13	Update Related Policies, change title on Policy 8.02.02.



Date	Comments
04/08/13	Replace policy. Policy updated with literature search; policy statement added that ECP is investigational for any other indications; references 6, 15, 60, 61, 62 added. Title changed to "Extracorporeal Photopheresis".
03/11/14	Coding Update. Code 99.88 was removed per ICD-10 mapping project; this code is not utilized for adjudication of policy.
07/14/14	Annual Review. Policy updated with literature review through April 16, 2014; references 15, 35-36, 39, 43-45, 51-56, 65-68, 70, and 84 added; references 6, 29, 37, and 78 updated. Clinical input reviewed. New policy statement added that ECP is medically necessary in refractory acute graft-versus-host disease. For autoimmune diseases, Investigational policy statement updated to include severe atopic dermatitis and Crohn disease; no other changes to policy statements.
06/17/15	Annual Review. Policy updated with literature review through March 2, 2015; references 11, 39-40, 43, 49, 54, and 63 added. Policy statements unchanged. Benefit Application clarified. ICD-9 and ICD-10 diagnosis and procedure codes removed; these do not facilitate adjudication.
12/01/16	Annual Review, approved November 8, 2016. Policy updated with literature review. Added reference 38. No changes to policy statements.
01/01/18	Annual Review, approved December 6, 2017. Policy updated with literature review through August 2017; no references added. Policy statement unchanged.
11/01/18	Minor update, removed 8.02.02 from related policies as it was archived.
01/01/19	Annual Review, approved December 19, 2018. Policy updated with literature review through August 2018; no references added. Policy statement unchanged.
04/01/19	Minor update, added Documentation Requirements section.
01/01/20	Annual Review, approved December 10, 2019. Policy updated with literature review through August 2019; no references added. Policy statements unchanged.
04/01/20	Delete policy, approved March 10, 2020. This policy will be deleted effective July 2, 2020, and replaced with InterQual criteria for dates of service on or after July 2, 2020.
06/12/20	Correction: This policy is reinstated immediately and will no longer be deleted or replaced with InterQual criteria on July 2, 2020, as this policy was deleted in error.
01/01/21	Annual Review, approved December 1, 2020. Policy updated with literature review through September 23, 2020; reference added. Policy statements unchanged.
04/01/21	Interim Review, approved March 23, 2021. Organ rejection after solid organ transplant policy statements edited for greater clarity; policy intent unchanged.
01/01/22	Annual Review, approved December 2, 2021. Policy updated with literature review through August 31, 2021; references added. Policy statements unchanged.



Date	Comments
01/01/23	Annual Review, approved December 12, 2022. Policy updated with literature review through August 27, 2022; references added. Policy statements unchanged. Changed the wording from "patient" to "individual" throughout the policy for standardization.
01/01/24	Annual Review, approved December 11, 2023. Policy updated with literature review through September 1, 2023; references added. Policy statements unchanged.
01/01/25	Annual Review, approved December 9, 2024. Policy updated with literature review through August 27, 2024; references added. Policy statements unchanged.
01/01/26	Annual Review, approved December 22, 2025. Policy updated with literature review through November 7, 2025; references added. Policy statements unchanged.
04/01/26	Annual Review, approved March 23, 2026. Policy updated with literature review through December 8, 2025; references added. Policy statements unchanged.

Disclaimer: This medical policy is a guide in evaluating the medical necessity of a particular service or treatment. The Company adopts policies after careful review of published peer-reviewed scientific literature, national guidelines and local standards of practice. Since medical technology is constantly changing, the Company reserves the right to review and update policies as appropriate. Member contracts differ in their benefits. Always consult the member benefit booklet or contact a member service representative to determine coverage for a specific medical service or supply. CPT codes, descriptions and materials are copyrighted by the American Medical Association (AMA). ©2026 Premera All Rights Reserved.

Scope: Medical policies are systematically developed guidelines that serve as a resource for Company staff when determining coverage for specific medical procedures, drugs or devices. Coverage for medical services is subject to the limits and conditions of the member benefit plan. Members and their providers should consult the member benefit booklet or contact a customer service representative to determine whether there are any benefit limitations applicable to this service or supply. This medical policy does not apply to Medicare Advantage.

