

MEDICAL POLICY – 7.01.20

Vagus Nerve Stimulation

BCBSA Ref. Policy: 7.01.20

Effective Date: May 1, 2023
Last Revised: Jan. 1, 2024
Replaces: N/A

RELATED MEDICAL POLICIES:

2.01.526 Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation as a Treatment of Depression and Other Psychiatric/Neurologic Disorders
7.01.516 Bariatric Surgery
7.01.522 Gastric Electrical Stimulation
7.01.546 Spinal Cord and Dorsal Root Ganglion Stimulation

Select a hyperlink below to be directed to that section.

[POLICY CRITERIA](#) | [DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS](#) | [CODING](#)
[RELATED INFORMATION](#) | [EVIDENCE REVIEW](#) | [REFERENCES](#) | [HISTORY](#)

 Clicking this icon returns you to the hyperlinks menu above.

Introduction

The vagus nerve starts in the brain stem and runs down the neck, into the chest, and then down to the stomach area. Stimulating this nerve has been studied as a way to treat several different types of conditions. A small device that generates electricity is surgically placed in a person's chest. A thin wire leads from the device to the vagus nerve. Vagus nerve stimulation may be used to treat seizures that don't respond to medication. However, for other conditions it's considered investigational (unproven). There is not yet enough information in published medical studies to show how well it works for other conditions. Similarly, non-implanted devices to stimulate the vagus nerve for treatment of any condition are also investigational due to lack of evidence that they improve one's health.

Note: The Introduction section is for your general knowledge and is not to be taken as policy coverage criteria. The rest of the policy uses specific words and concepts familiar to medical professionals. It is intended for providers. A provider can be a person, such as a doctor, nurse, psychologist, or dentist. A provider also can be a place where medical care is given, like a hospital, clinic, or lab. This policy informs them about when a service may be covered.

Policy Coverage Criteria

Service	Medical Necessity
Vagus nerve stimulation e.g., NeuroCybernetic Prosthesis (NCP®) (Cyberonics)	<p>Vagus nerve stimulation may be considered medically necessary as a treatment of medically refractory seizures*.</p> <p>Note: *Medically refractory seizures are defined as seizures that occur despite therapeutic levels of antiepileptic drugs or seizures that cannot be treated with therapeutic levels of antiepileptic drugs because of intolerable adverse events of these drugs. This indication is applicable for both pediatric and adult individuals.</p>

Service	Investigational
Vagus nerve stimulation	<p>Vagus nerve stimulation is considered investigational as a treatment of other conditions, including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Depression • Essential tremor • Fibromyalgia • Headaches • Heart failure • Obesity (see Related Policy 7.01.516) • Tinnitus • Traumatic brain injury • Upper-limb impairment due to stroke
Non-implantable vagus nerve stimulation devices eg, gammaCore® (ElectroCore)	<p>Non-implantable (transcutaneous) vagus nerve stimulation devices are considered investigational for all indications.</p>

Documentation Requirements

The medical records submitted for review should document that medical necessity criteria are met. The record should include documentation that member has medically refractory seizures as evidenced by:

- Persistent seizures in spite of therapeutic levels of antiepileptic medications

OR



Documentation Requirements

- Member has intolerable side effects of drug therapy

Vagus nerve stimulation has been evaluated for the treatment of obesity. This indication is addressed in a separate policy (see [Related Policies](#)).

Coding

Code	Description
CPT	
61885	Insertion or replacement of cranial neurostimulator pulse generator or receiver, direct or inductive coupling; with connection to a single electrode array
61886	Insertion or replacement of cranial neurostimulator pulse generator or receiver, direct or inductive coupling; with connection to 2 or more electrode arrays
64553	Percutaneous implantation of neurostimulator electrodes; cranial nerve
64568	Open implantation of cranial nerve (eg, vagus nerve) neurostimulator electrode array and pulse generator
64569	Revision or replacement of cranial nerve (eg, vagus nerve) neurostimulator electrode array, including connection to existing pulse generator
HCPCS	
C1767	Generator, neurostimulator (implantable), nonrechargeable
C1778	Lead, neurostimulator (implantable)
E0735	Noninvasive vagus nerve stimulator (new code effective 1/1/2024)
K1020	Non-invasive vagus nerve stimulator (code termed 1/1/2024)
L8680	Implantable neurostimulator electrode, each
L8681	Patient programmer (external) for use with implantable programmable neurostimulator pulse generator
L8682	Implantable neurostimulator radiofrequency receiver
L8683	Radiofrequency transmitter (external) for use with implantable neurostimulator radiofrequency receiver
L8684	Radiofrequency transmitter (external) for use with implantable sacral root neurostimulator receiver for bowel and bladder management, replacement



Code	Description
L8685	Implantable neurostimulator pulse generator, single array, rechargeable, includes extension
L8686	Implantable neurostimulator pulse generator, single array, non-rechargeable, includes extension
L8687	Implantable neurostimulator pulse generator, dual array, rechargeable, includes extension
L8688	Implantable neurostimulator pulse generator, dual array, non-rechargeable, includes extension
L8689	External recharging system for battery (internal) for use with implantable neurostimulator

Note: CPT codes, descriptions and materials are copyrighted by the American Medical Association (AMA). HCPCS codes, descriptions and materials are copyrighted by Centers for Medicare Services (CMS).

Related Information

Definition of Terms

Medically refractory seizures are defined as:

- Seizures that occur in spite of therapeutic levels of antiepileptic drugs or
- Seizures that cannot be treated with therapeutic levels of antiepileptic drugs because of intolerable adverse effects of these drugs.

Evidence Review

Description

Stimulation of the vagus nerve can be performed by using a pulsed electrical stimulator implanted within the carotid artery sheath. This technique has been proposed as a treatment for refractory seizures, depression, and other disorders. There are also devices available that are implanted at different areas of the vagus nerve. This policy also addresses devices that stimulate the vagus nerve transcutaneously.

Background

Vagus nerve stimulation (VNS) was initially investigated as a treatment alternative in individuals with medically refractory partial-onset seizures for whom surgery is not recommended or for whom surgery has failed. Over time, the use of VNS has expanded to include generalized seizures, and it has been investigated for a range of other conditions.

While the mechanisms for the therapeutic effects of VNS are not fully understood, the basic premise of VNS in the treatment of various conditions is that vagal visceral afferents have a diffuse central nervous system projection, and activation of these pathways has a widespread effect on neuronal excitability. An electrical stimulus is applied to axons of the vagus nerve, which have their cell bodies in the nodose and junctional ganglia and synapse on the nucleus of the solitary tract in the brainstem. From the solitary tract nucleus, vagal afferent pathways project to multiple areas of the brain. VNS may also stimulate vagal efferent pathways that innervate the heart, vocal cords, and other laryngeal and pharyngeal muscles, and provide parasympathetic innervation to the gastrointestinal tract.

Other types of implantable vagus nerve stimulators that are placed in contact with the trunks of the vagus nerve at the gastroesophageal junction are not addressed in this policy.

Summary of Evidence

Vagus Nerve Stimulation

For individuals who have seizures refractory to medical treatment who receive VNS, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and multiple observational studies. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. The RCTs have reported significant reductions in seizure frequency for individuals with partial-onset seizures. The uncontrolled studies have consistently reported large reductions in a broader range of seizure types in both adults and children. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have treatment-resistant depression who receive VNS, the evidence includes two RCTs evaluating the efficacy of implanted VNS for treatment-resistant depression compared to sham, one RCT comparing therapeutic to low-dose implanted VNS, nonrandomized comparative studies, and case series. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. The sham-controlled RCTs only reported short-term results and



found no significant improvement in the primary outcome. The low-dose VNS controlled trial reported no statistically significant differences between the dose groups for change in depression symptom score from baseline. Other available studies are limited by small sample sizes, potential selection bias, and confounding biases, and lack of a control group in the case series. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have chronic heart failure who receive VNS, the evidence includes a systematic review including four RCTs and case series. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. Meta-analyses of the RCTs evaluating chronic heart failure found significant improvements in New York Heart Association functional class, quality of life, 6-minute walk-test, and N-terminal-pro brain natriuretic peptide levels in individuals treated with VNS compared to control. An analysis of the ANTHEM-HF uncontrolled trial evaluated longer-term outcomes of VNS use in chronic heart failure. They found that left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction improved by 18.7%, 19.3%, and 34.4% at 12, 24, and 36 months, respectively, with high-intensity VNS. Individuals with low-intensity VNS only had significant improvement in LV ejection fraction at 24 months (12.3%). Although this data is promising, a lack of a no-VNS comparator group precludes drawing conclusions based on findings from the uncontrolled studies. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have upper-limb impairment due to stroke who receive VNS, the evidence includes three pilot RCTs. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. Two RCTs compared VNS plus rehabilitation to rehabilitation alone; one failed to show significant improvements for the VNS group on response and function outcomes, but the other, which had a larger patient population, found a significant difference in response and function outcomes. The other RCT compared VNS to sham and found that although VNS significantly improved response rate, there were three serious adverse events related to surgery. A systematic review pooling these data found that implanted VNS improved upper limb motor function based on Fugl-Meyer Assessment-Upper Extremity score when compared to control. Longer-term follow-up studies are needed to evaluate long-term efficacy and safety. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have other neurologic conditions (e.g., essential tremor, headache, fibromyalgia, tinnitus, or autism) who receive VNS, the evidence includes case series. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. Case series are insufficient to draw conclusions regarding efficacy. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Transcutaneous Vagus Nerve Stimulation

For individuals with cluster headaches who receive transcutaneous VNS (tVNS; also referred to as noninvasive VNS [nVNS]) to prevent cluster headache, the evidence includes one RCT. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, quality of life, and functional outcomes. One RCT for prevention of cluster headache showed a reduction in headache frequency but did not include a sham treatment group. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with cluster headache who receive nVNS to treat acute cluster headache, the evidence includes RCTs. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, quality of life and functional outcomes. The Non-invasive Neurostimulation of the Vagus Nerve With the GammaCore Device for the Treatment of Cluster Headache (ACT1) and A Randomized, Multicentre, Double-blind, Parallel, Sham-controlled Study of GammaCore®, a Non-invasive Neurostimulator Device for the Acute Relief of Episodic and Chronic Cluster Headache (ACT2) RCTs compared nVNS to sham for treatment of acute cluster headache in individuals including both chronic and episodic cluster headache. In ACT1, there was no statistically significant difference in the overall population in the proportion of individuals with pain score of 0 or 1 at 15 minutes into the first attack and no difference in the proportion of individuals who were pain-free at 15 minutes in 50% or more of the attacks. In the episodic cluster headache subgroup (n=85) both outcomes were statistically significant favoring nVNS although the interaction p-value was not reported. In ACT2, the proportion of attacks with pain intensity score of 0 or 1 at 30 minutes was higher for nVNS in the overall population (43% vs. 28%, $p=0.05$) while the proportion of attacks that were pain-free at 15 minutes was similar in the 2 treatment groups in the overall population (14% vs. 12%). However, a statistically significantly higher proportion of attacks in the episodic subgroup (n=27) were pain-free at 15 minutes in the nVNS group compared to sham (48% vs. 6%, $p<0.01$). These studies suggest that people with episodic and chronic cluster headaches may respond differently to acute treatment with nVNS. Studies designed to focus on episodic cluster headache are needed. Quality of life and functional outcomes have not been reported. Treatment periods ranged from only two weeks to one month with extended open-label follow-up of up to three months. There are few adverse events of nVNS and they are mild and transient. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with migraine headache who receive nVNS to treat acute migraine headache, the evidence includes one RCT. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, quality of life and functional outcomes. One RCT has evaluated nVNS for acute treatment of



migraine with nVNS in 248 individuals with episodic migraine with/without aura. There was not a statistically significant difference in the primary outcome of the proportion of participants who were pain-free without using rescue medication at 120 minutes (30% vs 20%; $p = 0.07$). However, the nVNS group had a higher proportion of individuals with decrease in pain from moderate or severe to mild or no pain at 120 minutes (41% vs 28%; $p=0.03$) and a higher proportion of individuals who were pain-free at 120 for 50% or more of their attacks (32% vs 18%; $p=0.02$). There are few adverse events of nVNS and they are mild and transient. Quality of life and functional outcomes were not reported, and the double-blind treatment period was four weeks with an additional four weeks of open-label treatment. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with chronic migraine headache who receive nVNS to prevent migraine headache, the evidence includes three RCTs. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, quality of life and functional outcomes. The Non-Invasive Neurostimulation of the Vagus Nerve With the GammaCore Device for the Prevention of Chronic Migraine; nVNS: noninvasive transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation (EVENT) RCT was a feasibility study of prevention of migraine that was not powered to detect differences in efficacy outcomes. It does not demonstrate the efficacy of nVNS for prevention of migraine. The Randomized, Multicentre, Double-blind, Parallel, Sham-controlled Study of gammaCore®, a Non-invasive Vagal Nerve Stimulator (nVNS), for Prevention of Episodic Migraine (PREMIUM) RCT was a phase 3, multicenter, sham-controlled RCT including 341 randomized participants with a 12-week double-blind treatment period. The results of PREMIUM demonstrated that nVNS was not statistically significantly superior to sham with respect to the outcomes of reduction of at least 50% in migraine days from baseline to the last four weeks, reduction in number of migraine days from baseline to the last four weeks, or acute medication days. The PREMIUM II trial was a multicenter, sham-controlled RCT including 231 randomized participants with a 12-week double-blind treatment period. The trial was terminated early due to the COVID-19 pandemic and results were based on an intention to treat (mITT) population that included 113 total participants. Results demonstrated that treatment with nVNS was not statistically significantly superior to sham with respect to the primary outcome of reduction in the number of migraine days per month during weeks 9 through 12, nor other outcomes such as mean change in the number of headache days or acute medication days. However, the percentage of individuals with at least a 50% reduction in the number of migraine days was significantly greater in the nVNS group than in the sham group. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have other neurologic, psychiatric, or metabolic disorders (e.g., epilepsy, depression, schizophrenia, noncluster headache, impaired glucose tolerance, fibromyalgia, stroke) who receive tVNS, the evidence includes RCTs and case series for some of the conditions.

The relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. The RCTs are all small and have various methodologic problems. None showed definitive efficacy of tVNS in improving patient outcomes. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials

Some currently ongoing and unpublished trials that might influence this policy are listed in [Table 1](#).

Table 1. Summary of Key Trials

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
Ongoing			
NCT03320304^a	A Global Prospective, Multi-center, Observational Post-market Study to Assess short, Mid and Long-term Effectiveness and Efficiency of VNS Therapy® as Adjunctive Therapy in real-world patients with difficult to Treat dEpression	500	Dec 2028
NCT03887715	A Prospective, Multi-center, Randomized Controlled Blinded Trial Demonstrating the Safety and Effectiveness of VNS Therapy® System as Adjunctive Therapy Versus a No Stimulation Control in Subjects With Treatment-Resistant Depression (RECOVER)	6800	Dec 2030
NCT04935567	PRediction of Vagal Nerve Stimulation Efficacy In Drug-resistant Epilepsy: Prospective Study for Pre-implantation Prediction	120	Dec 2024
NCT04777500	Applying Transcutaneous Auricular Vagus Nerve Stimulation to Treat Fibromyalgia	60	Mar 2023
NCT04534556	Wireless Nerve Stimulation Device To Enhance Recovery After Stroke	20	Nov 2022
NCT04448327	Sex-Dependent Impact of Transcutaneous Vagal Nerve Stimulation on the Stress Response Circuitry and Autonomic Dysregulation in Major Depression	80	Jul 2024

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
NCT04539964	Vagus Nerve Stimulation Using the SetPoint System for Moderate to Severe Rheumatoid Arthritis: The RESET-RA Study	250	Nov 2023
Unpublished			
NCT03163030^a	Autonomic Neural Regulation Therapy to Enhance Myocardial Function in Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction (ANTHEM-HFpEF) Study	50	Dec 2018 (unknown)
NCT02562703	Transcutaneous Vagus Nerve Stimulation for Treating Major Depressive Disorder: a Phase II, Randomized, Double-blind Clinical Trial	40	Jul 2016 (unknown)
NCT02089243	Prospective Randomized Controlled Study of Vagus Nerve Stimulation Therapy in the Patients With Medically Refractory Medial Temporal Lobe Epilepsy; Controlled Randomized Vagus Nerve Stimulation Versus Resection (CoRaVNStiR)	40	Jul 2017 (unknown)
NCT01281293^a	A Post Market, Long Term, Observational, Multi-site Outcome Study to Follow the Clinical Course and Seizure Reduction of Patients With Refractory Seizures Who Are Being Treated With Adjunctive VNS Therapy	124	Aug 2018
NCT03062514^a	Vagus Nerve Stimulation for Pediatric Intractable Epilepsy (VNS-PIE)	84	Dec 2019 (unknown status)
NCT03380156	Effect of Transcutaneous Vagal Stimulation (TVS) on Endothelial Function and Arterial Stiffness in Patients With Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction	50	May 2020
NCT04926415	Effects of Transcutaneous Auricular Vagus Nerve Stimulation on Obesity and Insulin Resistance	42	Apr 2022

NCT: national clinical trial.

^a Denotes industry-sponsored or cosponsored trial.

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

The purpose of the following information is to provide reference material. Inclusion does not imply endorsement or alignment with the policy conclusions.

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National

Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

American Academy of Neurology

In 1999, the American Academy of Neurology released a consensus statement on the use of VNS in adults, which stated: "VNS is indicated for adults and adolescents over 12 years of age with medically intractable partial seizures who are not candidates for potentially curative surgical resections, such as lesionectomies or mesial temporal lobectomies."⁸⁴ The guidelines were updated in 2013 and reaffirmed in 2019, stating: "VNS may be considered for seizures in children, for LGS [Lennox-Gastaut syndrome]-associated seizures, and for improving mood in adults with epilepsy (Level C). VNS may be considered to have improved efficacy over time (Level C)."⁸⁵

American Psychiatric Association

Updated in 2010, the American Psychiatric Association guidelines for the treatment of major depressive disorder in adults included the following statement on the use of VNS: "Vagus nerve stimulation (VNS) may be an additional option for individuals who have not responded to at least four adequate trials of antidepressant treatment, including ECT [electroconvulsive therapy]," with a level of evidence III (may be recommended on the basis of individual circumstances).⁸⁶

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

In 2016, the NICE issued guidance on use of transcutaneous stimulation of the cervical branch of the vagus nerve for cluster headache and migraine (IPG552).⁸⁷ The guidance states: "Current evidence on the safety of transcutaneous stimulation of the cervical branch of the vagus nerve for cluster headache and migraine raises no major concerns. The evidence on efficacy is limited in quantity and quality." The guidance also comments that further research is needed to clarify whether the procedure is used for treatment or prevention, for cluster headache or migraine, appropriate patient selection, and treatment regimen and suggests that outcome measures should include changes in the number and severity of cluster headache or migraine episodes,



medication use, quality of life in the short and long term, side effects, acceptability, and device durability.

In 2018, the NICE also published a Medtech innovation briefing on nVNS for cluster headache (MIB162).⁸⁸ The briefing states that the “intended place in therapy would be as well as standard care, most likely where standard treatments for cluster headache are ineffective, not tolerated or contraindicated” and that key uncertainties around the evidence are that “people with episodic and chronic cluster headaches respond differently to treatment with gammaCore. The optimal use of gammaCore in the different populations is unclear. The NICE published a Medical technologies guidance [MTG46] on gammaCore for cluster headache in December 2019⁸⁹. The recommendations state that evidence supports using gammaCore to treat cluster headache and that gammaCore is not effective in everyone with cluster headache.

In 2020, the NICE published an Interventional Procedure Overview on implanted vagus nerve stimulation for treatment-resistant depression (IPG679).⁹⁰ The guidance states: “Evidence on the safety of implanted vagus nerve stimulation for treatment-resistant depression raises no major safety concerns, but there are frequent, well-recognized side effects. Evidence on its efficacy is limited in quality. Therefore, this procedure should only be used with special arrangements for clinical governance, consent, and audit or research.” The guidance further states that “NICE encourages further research into implanted vagus nerve stimulation for treatment-resistant depression, in the form of randomized controlled trials with a placebo or sham stimulation arm. Studies should report details of patient selection. Outcomes should include validated depression rating scales, patient-reported quality of life, time to onset of effect and duration of effect, and any changes in concurrent treatment.”

Medicare National Coverage

Medicare has a national coverage determination for VNS. Medicare coverage policy notes that “Clinical evidence has shown that vagus nerve stimulation is safe and effective treatment for patients with medically refractory partial onset seizures, for whom surgery is not recommended or for whom surgery has failed. Vagus nerve stimulation is not covered for patients with other types of seizure disorders that are medically refractory and for whom surgery is not recommended or for whom surgery has failed.”⁹¹

In response to a request from LivaNova, on May 30, 2018 the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) initiated its second reconsideration of its national coverage decision on VNS for Treatment Resistant Depression (TRD). Based on an internal literature review (search dates unspecified), CMS concluded that although the published evidence suggests that VNS is a



promising treatment for patients with treatment resistant depression, the reviewed studies have important flaws that leave uncertainty about its true benefits and harms.⁹² Thus, effective February 15, 2019, the CMS expanded Medicare coverage to "cover U.S. Food and Drug Administration approved vagus nerve stimulation devices for TRD through Coverage with Evidence Development when offered in a CMS approved, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial with a follow-up duration of at least one year with the possibility of extending the study to a prospective longitudinal study when the CMS approved, double-blind, randomized placebo-controlled trial has completed enrollment, and there are positive interim findings." CMS approval of a Coverage with Evidence Development study requires answering nine research questions specifying measurement of response, remission, harms and other health outcome variables, use of specific eligibility criteria for TRD diagnosis as described in an Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Technology Assessment conducted by Gaynes et al (2018),⁹³ as well as 13 additional operational criteria. CMS has approved one ongoing study for Coverage with Evidence Development - A Prospective, Multi-center, Randomized Controlled Blinded Trial Demonstrating the Safety and Effectiveness of VNS Therapy® System as Adjunctive Therapy Versus a No Stimulation Control in Subjects With Treatment-Resistant Depression (RECOVER) (NCT03887715).⁹⁴ Conway et al (2020) have published a detailed description of the RECOVER study rationale and design.⁵

Regulatory Status

Table 2 includes updates on the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval and clearance for VNS devices pertinent to this policy.

Table 2. FDA-Approved or FDA-Cleared Vagus Nerve Stimulators

Device Name	Manufacturer	Approved/ Cleared	PMA / 510(k)	Product Code(s)	Indications
NeuroCybernetic Prosthesis (NCP®)/VNS Therapy®	IlivaNov (Cyberonics)	1997	P970003	LYJ, MUZ	Indicated or adjunctive treatment of adults and adolescents >12 years of age with medically refractory partial onset seizures
		2005	P970003 / S50		Expanded indication for adjunctive long-term

Device Name	Manufacturer	Approved/ Cleared	PMA / 510(k)	Product Code(s)	Indications
					treatment of chronic or recurrent depression for patients ≥ 18 years of age experiencing a major depressive episode and have not had an adequate response to ≥ 4 adequate antidepressant treatments
		2017	P970003 / S207		Expanded indicated use as adjunctive therapy for seizures in patients ≥ 4 years of age with partial-onset seizures that are refractory to antiepileptic medications
gammaCore®	ElectroCore	2017/2018	DEN150048 / K171306 / K173442	PKR, QAK	Indicated for acute treatment of pain associated with episodic cluster and migraine headache in adults using noninvasive VNS on the side of the neck
gammaCore-2®, gammaCore-Sapphire®	ElectroCore	2017/2018 /2021	K172270 / K180538 / K182369 / K191830/ K203456 / K211856	PKR	<p>Indicated for: Adjunctive use for the preventive treatment of cluster headache in adult patients.</p> <p>The acute treatment of pain associated with episodic cluster headache in adult patients.</p> <p>The acute treatment of pain associated with migraine headache in adult individuals.</p> <p>The preventive treatment of migraine headache in adult individuals.</p>

FDA: U.S. Food and Drug Administration; PMA: premarket approval; VNS: vagus nerve stimulation.



References

1. Panebianco M, Rigby A, Weston J, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation for partial seizures. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. Apr 03 2015; 2015(4): CD002896. PMID 25835947
2. Panebianco M, Rigby A, Marson AG. Vagus nerve stimulation for focal seizures. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. Jul 14 2022; 7(7): CD002896. PMID 35833911
3. Englot DJ, Chang EF, Auguste KI. Vagus nerve stimulation for epilepsy: a meta-analysis of efficacy and predictors of response. *J Neurosurg*. Dec 2011; 115(6): 1248-55. PMID 21838505
4. Ben-Menachem E, Hellström K, Waldton C, et al. Evaluation of refractory epilepsy treated with vagus nerve stimulation for up to 5 years. *Neurology*. Apr 12 1999; 52(6): 1265-7. PMID 10214754
5. Parker AP, Polkey CE, Binnie CD, et al. Vagal nerve stimulation in epileptic encephalopathies. *Pediatrics*. Apr 1999; 103(4 Pt 1): 778-82. PMID 10103302
6. Labar D, Murphy J, Tecoma E. Vagus nerve stimulation for medication-resistant generalized epilepsy. E04 VNS Study Group. *Neurology*. Apr 22 1999; 52(7): 1510-2. PMID 10227649
7. DeGiorgio C, Heck C, Bunch S, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation for epilepsy: randomized comparison of three stimulation paradigms. *Neurology*. Jul 26 2005; 65(2): 317-9. PMID 16043810
8. Chavel SM, Westerveld M, Spencer S. Long-term outcome of vagus nerve stimulation for refractory partial epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav*. Jun 2003; 4(3): 302-9. PMID 12791333
9. Vonck K, Boon P, D'Havé M, et al. Long-term results of vagus nerve stimulation in refractory epilepsy. *Seizure*. Sep 1999; 8(6): 328-34. PMID 10512772
10. Vonck K, Thadani V, Gilbert K, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation for refractory epilepsy: a transatlantic experience. *J Clin Neurophysiol*. 2004; 21(4): 283-9. PMID 15509917
11. Majoie HJ, Berfelo MW, Aldenkamp AP, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation in children with therapy-resistant epilepsy diagnosed as Lennox-Gastaut syndrome: clinical results, neuropsychological effects, and cost-effectiveness. *J Clin Neurophysiol*. Sep 2001; 18(5): 419-28. PMID 11709647
12. Marangell LB, Rush AJ, George MS, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation (VNS) for major depressive episodes: one year outcomes. *Biol Psychiatry*. Feb 15 2002; 51(4): 280-7. PMID 11958778
13. Huf RL, Mamelak A, Kneedy-Cayem K. Vagus nerve stimulation therapy: 2-year prospective open-label study of 40 subjects with refractory epilepsy and low IQ who are living in long-term care facilities. *Epilepsy Behav*. May 2005; 6(3): 417-23. PMID 15820352
14. Kang HC, Hwang YS, Kim DS, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation in pediatric intractable epilepsy: a Korean bicentric study. *Acta Neurochir Suppl*. 2006; 99: 93-6. PMID 17370772
15. Ardesch JJ, Buschman HP, Wagener-Schimmel LJ, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation for medically refractory epilepsy: a long-term follow-up study. *Seizure*. Oct 2007; 16(7): 579-85. PMID 17543546
16. Michael JE, Wegener K, Barnes DW. Vagus nerve stimulation for intractable seizures: one year follow-up. *J Neurosci Nurs*. Dec 1993; 25(6): 362-6. PMID 8106830
17. Ben-Menachem E, Mañon-Espaillet R, Ristanovic R, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation for treatment of partial seizures: 1. A controlled study of effect on seizures. First International Vagus Nerve Stimulation Study Group. *Epilepsia*. 1994; 35(3): 616-26. PMID 8026408
18. Handforth A, DeGiorgio CM, Schachter SC, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation therapy for partial-onset seizures: a randomized active-control trial. *Neurology*. Jul 1998; 51(1): 48-55. PMID 9674777



19. Klinkenberg S, Aalbers MW, Vles JS, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation in children with intractable epilepsy: a randomized controlled trial. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. Sep 2012; 54(9): 855-61. PMID 22540141
20. Ryvlin P, Gilliam FG, Nguyen DK, et al. The long-term effect of vagus nerve stimulation on quality of life in patients with pharmacoresistant focal epilepsy: the PuLSE (Open Prospective Randomized Long-term Effectiveness) trial. *Epilepsia*. Jun 2014; 55(6): 893-900. PMID 24754318
21. Englot DJ, Rolston JD, Wright CW, et al. Rates and Predictors of Seizure Freedom With Vagus Nerve Stimulation for Intractable Epilepsy. *Neurosurgery*. Sep 2016; 79(3): 345-53. PMID 26645965
22. García-Navarrete E, Torres CV, Gallego I, et al. Long-term results of vagal nerve stimulation for adults with medication-resistant epilepsy who have been on unchanged antiepileptic medication. *Seizure*. Jan 2013; 22(1): 9-13. PMID 23041031
23. Hornig GW, Murphy JV, Schallert G, et al. Left vagus nerve stimulation in children with refractory epilepsy: an update. *South Med J*. May 1997; 90(5): 484-8. PMID 9160063
24. Murphy JV. Left vagal nerve stimulation in children with medically refractory epilepsy. The Pediatric VNS Study Group. *J Pediatr*. May 1999; 134(5): 563-6. PMID 10228290
25. Patwardhan RV, Stong B, Bebin EM, et al. Efficacy of vagal nerve stimulation in children with medically refractory epilepsy. *Neurosurgery*. Dec 2000; 47(6): 1353-7; discussion 1357-8. PMID 11126906
26. Frost M, Gates J, Helmers SL, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation in children with refractory seizures associated with Lennox-Gastaut syndrome. *Epilepsia*. Sep 2001; 42(9): 1148-52. PMID 11580762
27. You SJ, Kang HC, Kim HD, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation in intractable childhood epilepsy: a Korean multicenter experience. *J Korean Med Sci*. Jun 2007; 22(3): 442-5. PMID 17596651
28. Cukiert A, Cukiert CM, Burattini JA, et al. A prospective long-term study on the outcome after vagus nerve stimulation at maximally tolerated current intensity in a cohort of children with refractory secondary generalized epilepsy. *Neuromodulation*. 2013; 16(6): 551-6; discussion 556. PMID 23738578
29. Healy S, Lang J, Te Water Naude J, et al. Vagal nerve stimulation in children under 12 years old with medically intractable epilepsy. *Childs Nerv Syst*. Nov 2013; 29(11): 2095-9. PMID 23681311
30. Terra VC, Furlanetti LL, Nunes AA, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation in pediatric patients: Is it really worthwhile?. *Epilepsy Behav*. Feb 2014; 31: 329-33. PMID 24210463
31. Yu C, Ramgopal S, Libenson M, et al. Outcomes of vagal nerve stimulation in a pediatric population: a single center experience. *Seizure*. Feb 2014; 23(2): 105-11. PMID 24309238
32. Daban C, Martinez-Aran A, Cruz N, et al. Safety and efficacy of Vagus Nerve Stimulation in treatment-resistant depression. A systematic review. *J Affect Disord*. Sep 2008; 110(1-2): 1-15. PMID 18374988
33. Rush AJ, Marangell LB, Sackeim HA, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation for treatment-resistant depression: a randomized, controlled acute phase trial. *Biol Psychiatry*. Sep 01 2005; 58(5): 347-54. PMID 16139580
34. Food and Drug Administration. Summary of Safety and Effectiveness Data: VNS Therapy TM System. 2005; https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf/p970003s050b.pdf. Accessed March 10, 2023.
35. Martin JL, Martín-Sánchez E. Systematic review and meta-analysis of vagus nerve stimulation in the treatment of depression: variable results based on study designs. *Eur Psychiatry*. Apr 2012; 27(3): 147-55. PMID 22137776
36. Berry SM, Broglio K, Bunker M, et al. A patient-level meta-analysis of studies evaluating vagus nerve stimulation therapy for treatment-resistant depression. *Med Devices (Auckl)*. 2013; 6: 17-35. PMID 23482508
37. Bajbouj M, Merkl A, Schlaepfer TE, et al. Two-year outcome of vagus nerve stimulation in treatment-resistant depression. *J Clin Psychopharmacol*. Jun 2010; 30(3): 273-81. PMID 20473062
38. Aaronson ST, Carpenter LL, Conway CR, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation therapy randomized to different amounts of electrical charge for treatment-resistant depression: acute and chronic effects. *Brain Stimul*. Jul 2013; 6(4): 631-40. PMID 23122916



39. Bottomley JM, LeReun C, Diamantopoulos A, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation (VNS) therapy in patients with treatment resistant depression: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Compr Psychiatry*. Dec 12 2019; 98: 152156. PMID 31978785
40. George MS, Rush AJ, Marangell LB, et al. A one-year comparison of vagus nerve stimulation with treatment as usual for treatment-resistant depression. *Biol Psychiatry*. Sep 01 2005; 58(5): 364-73. PMID 16139582
41. De Ferrari GM, Crijns HJ, Borggrefe M, et al. Chronic vagus nerve stimulation: a new and promising therapeutic approach for chronic heart failure. *Eur Heart J*. Apr 2011; 32(7): 847-55. PMID 21030409
42. Aaronson ST, Sears P, Ruvuna F, et al. A 5-Year Observational Study of Patients With Treatment-Resistant Depression Treated With Vagus Nerve Stimulation or Treatment as Usual: Comparison of Response, Remission, and Suicidality. *Am J Psychiatry*. Jul 01 2017; 174(7): 640-648. PMID 28359201
43. McAllister-Williams RH, Sousa S, Kumar A, et al. The effects of vagus nerve stimulation on the course and outcomes of patients with bipolar disorder in a treatment-resistant depressive episode: a 5-year prospective registry. *Int J Bipolar Disord*. May 02 2020; 8(1): 13. PMID 32358769
44. Rush AJ, George MS, Sackeim HA, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation (VNS) for treatment-resistant depressions: a multicenter study. *Biol Psychiatry*. Feb 15 2000; 47(4): 276-86. PMID 10686262
45. Sackeim HA, Rush AJ, George MS, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation (VNS) for treatment-resistant depression: efficacy, side effects, and predictors of outcome. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. Nov 2001; 25(5): 713-28. PMID 11682255
46. Marangell LB, Suppes T, Zboyan HA, et al. A 1-year pilot study of vagus nerve stimulation in treatment-resistant rapid-cycling bipolar disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry*. Feb 2008; 69(2): 183-9. PMID 18211128
47. Tisi G, Franzini A, Messina G, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation therapy in treatment-resistant depression: a series report. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. Aug 2014; 68(8): 606-11. PMID 25215365
48. Sant'Anna LB, Couceiro SLM, Ferreira EA, et al. Vagal Neuromodulation in Chronic Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Front Cardiovasc Med*. 2021; 8: 766676. PMID 34901227
49. Premchand RK, Sharma K, Mittal S, et al. Autonomic regulation therapy via left or right cervical vagus nerve stimulation in patients with chronic heart failure: results of the ANTHEM-HF trial. *J Card Fail*. Nov 2014; 20(11): 808-16. PMID 25187002
50. Nearing BD, Libbus I, Carlson GM, et al. Chronic vagus nerve stimulation is associated with multi-year improvement in intrinsic heart rate recovery and left ventricular ejection fraction in ANTHEM-HF. *Clin Auton Res*. Jun 2021; 31(3): 453-462. PMID 33590355
51. Ramos-Castaneda JA, Barreto-Cortes CF, Losada-Floriano D, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Vagus Nerve Stimulation on Upper Limb Motor Recovery After Stroke. A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Front Neurol*. 2022; 13: 889953. PMID 35847207
52. Dawson J, Pierce D, Dixit A, et al. Safety, Feasibility, and Efficacy of Vagus Nerve Stimulation Paired With Upper-Limb Rehabilitation After Ischemic Stroke. *Stroke*. Jan 2016; 47(1): 143-50. PMID 26645257
53. Dawson J, Liu CY, Francisco GE, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation paired with rehabilitation for upper limb motor function after ischaemic stroke (VNS-REHAB): a randomised, blinded, pivotal, device trial. *Lancet*. Apr 24 2021; 397(10284): 1545-1553. PMID 33894832
54. Kimberley TJ, Pierce D, Prudente CN, et al. Vagus Nerve Stimulation Paired With Upper Limb Rehabilitation After Chronic Stroke. *Stroke*. Nov 2018; 49(11): 2789-2792. PMID 30355189
55. Lange G, Janal MN, Maniker A, et al. Safety and efficacy of vagus nerve stimulation in fibromyalgia: a phase I/II proof of concept trial. *Pain Med*. Sep 2011; 12(9): 1406-13. PMID 21812908
56. De Ridder D, Vanneste S, Engineer ND, et al. Safety and efficacy of vagus nerve stimulation paired with tones for the treatment of tinnitus: a case series. *Neuromodulation*. Feb 2014; 17(2): 170-9. PMID 24255953
57. Engineer CT, Hays SA, Kilgard MP. Vagus nerve stimulation as a potential adjuvant to behavioral therapy for autism and other neurodevelopmental disorders. *J Neurodev Disord*. 2017; 9: 20. PMID 28690686



58. International Headache Society. International Classification of Headache Disorders. 2018; <https://www.ichd-3.org>. Accessed March 10, 2023.
59. Gaul C, Diener HC, Silver N, et al. Non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation for PREvention and Acute treatment of chronic cluster headache (PREVA): A randomised controlled study. *Cephalalgia*. May 2016; 36(6): 534-46. PMID 26391457
60. Gaul C, Magis D, Liebler E, et al. Effects of non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation on attack frequency over time and expanded response rates in patients with chronic cluster headache: a post hoc analysis of the randomised, controlled PREVA study. *J Headache Pain*. Dec 2017; 18(1): 22. PMID 28197844
61. Tfelt-Hansen P, Pascual J, Ramadan N, et al. Guidelines for controlled trials of drugs in migraine: third edition. A guide for investigators. *Cephalalgia*. Jan 2012; 32(1): 6-38. PMID 22384463
62. Silberstein SD, Mechtler LL, Kudrow DB, et al. Non-Invasive Vagus Nerve Stimulation for the ACute Treatment of Cluster Headache: Findings From the Randomized, Double-Blind, Sham-Controlled ACT1 Study. *Headache*. Sep 2016; 56(8): 1317-32. PMID 27593728
63. Goadsby PJ, de Coo IF, Silver N, et al. Non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation for the acute treatment of episodic and chronic cluster headache: A randomized, double-blind, sham-controlled ACT2 study. *Cephalalgia*. Apr 2018; 38(5): 959-969. PMID 29231763
64. de Coo IF, Marin JC, Silberstein SD, et al. Differential efficacy of non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation for the acute treatment of episodic and chronic cluster headache: A meta-analysis. *Cephalalgia*. Jul 2019; 39(8): 967-977. PMID 31246132
65. Tassorelli C, Grazi L, de Tommaso M, et al. Noninvasive vagus nerve stimulation as acute therapy for migraine: The randomized PRESTO study. *Neurology*. Jul 24 2018; 91(4): e364-e373. PMID 29907608
66. Grazi L, Tassorelli C, de Tommaso M, et al. Practical and clinical utility of non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation (nVNS) for the acute treatment of migraine: a post hoc analysis of the randomized, sham-controlled, double-blind PRESTO trial. *J Headache Pain*. Oct 19 2018; 19(1): 98. PMID 30340460
67. Martelletti P, Barbanti P, Grazi L, et al. Consistent effects of non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation (nVNS) for the acute treatment of migraine: additional findings from the randomized, sham-controlled, double-blind PRESTO trial. *J Headache Pain*. Nov 01 2018; 19(1): 101. PMID 30382909
68. Trimboli M, Al-Kaisy A, Andreou AP, et al. Non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation for the management of refractory primary chronic headaches: A real-world experience. *Cephalalgia*. Jun 2018; 38(7): 1276-1285. PMID 28899205
69. Silberstein SD, Calhoun AH, Lipton RB, et al. Chronic migraine headache prevention with noninvasive vagus nerve stimulation: The EVENT study. *Neurology*. Aug 02 2016; 87(5): 529-38. PMID 27412146
70. Diener HC, Goadsby PJ, Ashina M, et al. Non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation (nVNS) for the preventive treatment of episodic migraine: The multicentre, double-blind, randomised, sham-controlled PREMIUM trial. *Cephalalgia*. Oct 2019; 39(12): 1475-1487. PMID 31522546
71. Najib U, Smith T, Hindiyyeh N, et al. Non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation for prevention of migraine: The multicenter, randomized, double-blind, sham-controlled PREMIUM II trial. *Cephalalgia*. Jun 2022; 42(7): 560-569. PMID 35001643
72. Grazi L, Egeo G, Calhoun AH, et al. Non-invasive Vagus Nerve Stimulation (nVNS) as mini-prophylaxis for menstrual/menstrually related migraine: an open-label study. *J Headache Pain*. Dec 2016; 17(1): 91. PMID 27699586
73. Kinf TM, Pintea B, Muhammad S, et al. Cervical non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation (nVNS) for preventive and acute treatment of episodic and chronic migraine and migraine-associated sleep disturbance: a prospective observational cohort study. *J Headache Pain*. 2015; 16: 101. PMID 26631234
74. Aihua L, Lu S, Liping L, et al. A controlled trial of transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation for the treatment of pharmacoresistant epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav*. Oct 2014; 39: 105-10. PMID 25240121
75. Bauer S, Baier H, Baumgartner C, et al. Transcutaneous Vagus Nerve Stimulation (tvNS) for Treatment of Drug-Resistant Epilepsy: A Randomized, Double-Blind Clinical Trial (cMPsE02). *Brain Stimul*. 2016; 9(3): 356-363. PMID 27033012



76. Rong P, Liu A, Zhang J, et al. Transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation for refractory epilepsy: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Sci (Lond)*. Apr 01 2014. PMID 24684603
77. Wu K, Wang Z, Zhang Y, et al. Transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation for the treatment of drug-resistant epilepsy: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *ANZ J Surg*. Apr 2020; 90(4): 467-471. PMID 32052569
78. Hein E, Nowak M, Kiess O, et al. Auricular transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in depressed patients: a randomized controlled pilot study. *J Neural Transm (Vienna)*. May 2013; 120(5): 821-7. PMID 23117749
79. Hasan A, Wolff-Menzler C, Pfeiffer S, et al. Transcutaneous noninvasive vagus nerve stimulation (tVNS) in the treatment of schizophrenia: a bicentric randomized controlled pilot study. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. Oct 2015; 265(7): 589-600. PMID 26210303
80. Shiozawa P, Silva ME, Carvalho TC, et al. Transcutaneous vagus and trigeminal nerve stimulation for neuropsychiatric disorders: a systematic review. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr*. Jul 2014; 72(7): 542-7. PMID 25054988
81. Huang F, Dong J, Kong J, et al. Effect of transcutaneous auricular vagus nerve stimulation on impaired glucose tolerance: a pilot randomized study. *BMC Complement Altern Med*. Jun 26 2014; 14: 203. PMID 24968966
82. Wu D, Ma J, Zhang L, et al. Effect and Safety of Transcutaneous Auricular Vagus Nerve Stimulation on Recovery of Upper Limb Motor Function in Subacute Ischemic Stroke Patients: A Randomized Pilot Study. *Neural Plast*. 2020; 2020: 8841752. PMID 32802039
83. Kutlu N, Özden AV, Alptekin HK, et al. The Impact of Auricular Vagus Nerve Stimulation on Pain and Life Quality in Patients with Fibromyalgia Syndrome. *Biomed Res Int*. 2020; 2020: 8656218. PMID 32190684
84. Fisher RS, Handforth A. Reassessment: vagus nerve stimulation for epilepsy: a report of the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology*. Sep 11 1999; 53(4): 666-9. PMID 10489023
85. Morris GL, Gloss D, Buchhalter J, et al. Evidence-based guideline update: vagus nerve stimulation for the treatment of epilepsy: report of the Guideline Development Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology*. Oct 15 2013; 81(16): 1453-9. PMID 23986299
86. American Psychiatric Association, Work Group on Major Depressive Disorder, Gelenberg AJ, et al. Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients with Major Depressive Disorder. Third Edition. 2010; 3rd ed.: https://psychiatryonline.org/pb/assets/raw/sitewide/practice_guidelines/guidelines/mdd.pdf. Accessed March 10, 2023.
87. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Transcutaneous stimulation of the cervical branch of the vagus nerve for cluster headache and migraine (IPG552). 2016; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg552>. Accessed March 10, 2023.
88. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. gammaCore for cluster headache (MIB162). 2018. <https://www.nice.org.uk/advice/mib162>. Accessed March 10, 2023.
89. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Medical technologies guidance [MTG46]: gammaCore for cluster headache. December 2019. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/MTG46>. Accessed March 10, 2023.
90. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Implanted vagus nerve stimulation for treatment-resistant depression - Interventional Procedures Guidance (IPG679). 2020; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg679/chapter/1-Recommendations>. Accessed March 10, 2023.
91. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). National Coverage Determination (NCD) for VAGUS Nerve Stimulation (VNS) (160.18). 2007; <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=230&ncdver=2&bc=AAAAEAAAAQAA&>. Accessed March 10, 2023.
92. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Decision Memo for Vagus Nerve Stimulation for Treatment Resistant Depression (TRD) (CAG-00313R2). February 2019; <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/nca-decision-memo.aspx?NCAId=292&NCDId=230&ncdver=3&IsPopup=y&bc=AAAAAAACAAA&> Accessed March 10, 2023.



93. Gaynes BN, Asher G, Gartlehner G, Hoffman V, Green J, Bolland E, Lux L, Weber RP, Randolph C, Bann C, Coker-Schwimmer E, Viswanathan M, Lohr KN. Definition of Treatment-Resistant Depression in the Medicare Population [Internet]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); 2018 Feb 9. PMID: 30260611.
94. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Coverage with Evidence Development for Vagus Nerve Stimulation for Treatment Resistant Depression. 2021. <https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Coverage/Coverage-with-Evidence-Development/VNS>. Accessed March 10, 2023.
95. Conway CR, Olin B, Aaronson ST, et al. A prospective, multi-center randomized, controlled, blinded trial of vagus nerve stimulation for difficult to treat depression: A novel design for a novel treatment. Contemp Clin Trials. Aug 2020; 95: 106066. PMID 32569757

History

Date	Comments
06/25/98	Add to Surgery Section - New Policy
01/07/99	Coding Update - 1999 CPT coding release.
06/02/00	Replace Policy - Added cross-references to other stimulation policies.
01/08/02	Replace Policy - Title change; revised new indication for children, investigational as a treatment for depression. Held for notification, published 4/15/02.
09/12/03	Replace Policy - Information update; policy statement unchanged.
10/12/04	Replace Policy - Policy reviewed with literature search. FDA information and a reference added. Statement on investigational status of VNS treatment for essential tremor added.
09/13/05	Replace Policy - Policy updated with literature review and FDA approval of VNS for depression. Added headaches and essential tremor as investigational in the policy statement; remaining policy statements unchanged.
02/06/06	Codes updated - No other changes.
06/09/06	Disclaimer and Scope update - No other changes.
09/12/06	Replace Policy - Policy updated with June 2006 TEC Assessment (treatment-resistant depression) and literature review for other indications; policy statement unchanged; references added.
01/08/08	Replace Policy - Policy updated with literature search; no change in policy statement. References and codes added.
10/14/08	Replace Policy - Policy updated with literature search; no change in policy statement. References and codes added.
01/13/09	Replace Policy - Policy updated with literature search. Policy statement revised to indicate the VNS may be considered medically necessary in refractory seizures (both



Date	Comments
	partial and generalized) and is investigational in treatment of obesity. References added.
01/12/10	Replace Policy - Policy updated with literature search; no change to the policy statements. Rationale extensively reorganized and condensed. References added.
03/08/11	Replace Policy - Policy updated with literature search; references 30-32 have been added. No change to policy statements. ICD-10 codes added.
01/03/12	Deleted codes 64568, 64569, 64570 and 64573 removed.
06/26/12	Replace policy. Policy updated with literature search, references 26-28, 33, 34 added. Policy statement updated to include the addition of heart failure and fibromyalgia to the list of investigational conditions.
08/27/12	Update Related Policy – Add 2.01.50. Update coding section – ICD-10 codes are now effective 10/01/2014.
01/10/13	Coding update. New CPT codes 0312T – 0318T, effective 1/1/13, added to policy.
01/22/13	Update Related Policies. 2.01.50 replaced with 2.01.526.
02/15/13	Update Related Policies. Change title to policy 2.01.526.
05/28/13	Replace policy. Policy reviewed. Rationale section reformatted for readability, references renumbered to match the changes. A literature search through January 2013 did not prompt additions to the reference list. Vagus nerve blocking therapy codes (0312T-03127T) removed as inappropriate for this policy. Policy statement unchanged.
06/13/14	Annual Review. Policy updated with literature review through February 5, 2014. References 7, 13-17, 29-31, and 41-44 added. Policy statement updated to include the addition of tinnitus and traumatic brain injury to the list of investigational conditions. Rationale section reorganized.
01/26/15	Update Related Policy. Add 7.01.143.
03/13/15	Update Related Policies. Add 7.01.522.
05/27/15	Annual Review. Policy updated with literature review through January 27, 2015. Added vBloc Maestro system to Regulatory Status section. References 2, 14-17, 35, 40, 45-46, 51, 54-58, 62 added; others renumbered. Policy statements unchanged. Coding update: ICD-9 and ICD-10 diagnosis codes removed; ICD-9 procedure codes 02.93, 86.96, 86.97, and 86.98 removed; ICD-10 codes added for purposes of remediation.
09/01/15	Update Related Policies. Add 7.01.150.
05/01/16	Annual Review, approved April 12, 2016. Policy updated with literature review through January 20, 2016; references 44, 55, and 57 added. Regulatory Status section revised with device information. Policy statements unchanged.
03/01/17	Coding Update. Removed CPT code 95973 as it was deleted as of 01/01/2016.



Date	Comments
08/25/17	Coding update, removed CPT codes 95971, 95972, 95974, and 95975. Policy moved to new format, no changes to policy statement.
12/01/17	Annual Review, approved November 9, 2017. Policy updated with literature review through August 31, 2017. Multiple references added. Policy statements edited for clarity. The intent of policy statements unchanged. Removed CPT codes 61888 and 64570.
05/01/18	Annual Review, approved April 3, 2018. Policy updated with literature review through December 2017; references 2, 67-68, 77 and 84 added; reference 44 updated. Added information regarding transcutaneous device for treatment of migraine headache pain. Added note that VNS medical necessity criteria statement applies to both pediatric and adult patients. Policy statements unchanged.
05/01/19	Annual Review, approved April 2, 2019. Policy updated with literature review through December 2018; several references added. Indications 7 and 8 added. Policy statements unchanged.
04/01/20	Delete policy, approved March 10, 2020. This policy will be deleted effective July 2, 2020, and replaced with InterQual criteria for dates of service on or after July 2, 2020.
07/02/20	Delete policy.
11/01/20	Policy reinstated effective February 5, 2021, approved October 13, 2020. Policy updated with literature review through December, 2019; references added. Policy statements unchanged.
05/01/21	Annual Review, approved April 1, 2021. Policy updated with literature review through December 17, 2020; references added. Policy statements unchanged. Related policies updated. Update Related Policies, removed policy 7.01.150 as it was archived.
06/01/21	Coding update. Added HCPC codes C1767 and C1778.
01/01/22	Coding update, updated code description for CPT 64568.
05/01/22	Annual Review, approved April 11, 2022. Policy updated with literature review through January 3, 2022; references added. Policy statements unchanged. Added HCPCS code K1020.
05/01/23	Annual Review, approved April 10, 2023. Policy updated with literature review through December 22, 2022; references added. Policy statements unchanged. Changed the wording from "patient" to "individual" throughout the policy for standardization.
01/01/24	Coding update. Added new HCPCS code E0735 and added term date to HCPCS code K1020.

Disclaimer: This medical policy is a guide in evaluating the medical necessity of a particular service or treatment. The Company adopts policies after careful review of published peer-reviewed scientific literature, national guidelines and local standards of practice. Since medical technology is constantly changing, the Company reserves the right to review and update policies as appropriate. Member contracts differ in their benefits. Always consult the member benefit



booklet or contact a member service representative to determine coverage for a specific medical service or supply. CPT codes, descriptions and materials are copyrighted by the American Medical Association (AMA). ©2024 Premera All Rights Reserved.

Scope: Medical policies are systematically developed guidelines that serve as a resource for Company staff when determining coverage for specific medical procedures, drugs or devices. Coverage for medical services is subject to the limits and conditions of the member benefit plan. Members and their providers should consult the member benefit booklet or contact a customer service representative to determine whether there are any benefit limitations applicable to this service or supply. This medical policy does not apply to Medicare Advantage.



Discrimination is Against the Law

Premera Blue Cross HMO (Premera HMO) complies with applicable Federal and Washington state civil rights laws and does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, sex, gender identity, or sexual orientation. Premera HMO does not exclude people or treat them differently because of race, color, national origin, age, disability, sex, gender identity, or sexual orientation. Premera HMO provides free aids and services to people with disabilities to communicate effectively with us, such as qualified sign language interpreters and written information in other formats (large print, audio, accessible electronic formats, other formats). Premera HMO provides free language services to people whose primary language is not English, such as qualified interpreters and information written in other languages. If you need these services, contact the Civil Rights Coordinator. If you believe that Premera HMO has failed to provide these services or discriminated in another way on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, sex, gender identity, or sexual orientation, you can file a grievance with: Civil Rights Coordinator — Complaints and Appeals, PO Box 91102, Seattle, WA 98111, Toll free: 855-332-4535, Fax: 425-918-5592, TTY: 711, Email AppealsDepartmentInquiries@Premera.com. You can file a grievance in person or by mail, fax, or email. If you need help filing a grievance, the Civil Rights Coordinator is available to help you. You can also file a civil rights complaint with the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office for Civil Rights, electronically through the Office for Civil Rights Complaint Portal, available at <https://ocrportal.hhs.gov/ocr/portal/lobby.jsf>, or by mail or phone at: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 200 Independence Ave SW, Room 509F, HHH Building, Washington, D.C. 20201, 1-800-368-1019, 800-537-7697 (TDD). Complaint forms are available at <http://www.hhs.gov/ocr/office/file/index.html>. You can also file a civil rights complaint with the Washington State Office of the Insurance Commissioner, electronically through the Office of the Insurance Commissioner Complaint Portal available at <https://www.insurance.wa.gov/file-complaint-or-check-your-complaint-status>, or by phone at 800-562-6900, 360-586-0241 (TDD). Complaint forms are available at <https://fortress.wa.gov/oic/online-services/cc/pub/complaintinformation.aspx>.

Language Assistance

ATENCIÓN: si habla español, tiene a su disposición servicios gratuitos de asistencia lingüística. Llame al 844-722-4661 (TTY: 711).

注意: 如果您使用繁體中文，您可以免費獲得語言援助服務。請致電 844-722-4661 (TTY: 711)。

CHÚ Ý: Nếu bạn nói Tiếng Việt, có các dịch vụ hỗ trợ ngôn ngữ miễn phí dành cho bạn. Gọi số 844-722-4661 (TTY: 711).

주의: 한국어를 사용하시는 경우, 언어 지원 서비스를 무료로 이용하실 수 있습니다. 844-722-4661 (TTY: 711) 번으로 전화해 주십시오.

ВНИМАНИЕ: Если вы говорите на русском языке, то вам доступны бесплатные услуги перевода. Звоните 844-722-4661 (телетайп: 711).

PAUNAWA: Kung nagsasalita ka ng Tagalog, maaari kang gumamit ng mga serbisyo ng tulong sa wika nang walang bayad. Tumawag sa 844-722-4661 (TTY: 711).

УВАГА! Якщо ви розмовляєте українською мовою, ви можете звернутися до безкоштовної служби мовної підтримки.

Телефонуйте за номером 844-722-4661 (телетайп: 711).

ប្រយ័ត្ន: បើសិនជាអ្នកនិយាយ ភាសាខ្មែរ, សេវាជំនួយផ្នែកភាសា ដោយមិនគិតថ្លៃ គឺអាចមានសំរាប់អ្នក។ ចូរ ទូរស័ព្ទ 844-722-4661 (TTY: 711)។

注意事項: 日本語を話される場合、無料の言語支援をご利用いただけます。844-722-4661 (TTY: 711) まで、お電話にてご連絡ください。

ማስታወሻ: የሚናገሩት ቋንቋ ኣማርኛ ከሆነ የትርጉም ኣርዳታ ድርጅቶች፣ በኣኦ.ሊያግዝዎት ተዘጋጅተዋል። ወደ ሚከተለው ቁጥር ይደውሉ 844-722-4661 (መስማት ለተሳናቸው: 711)።

XIYYEEFFANNAA: Afaan dubbattu Oroomiffa, tajaajila gargaarsa afaanii, kanfaltiidhaan ala, ni argama. Bilbilaa 844-722-4661 (TTY: 711).

ملحوظة: إذا كنت تتحدث اذكر اللغة، فإن خدمات المساعدة اللغوية تتوافر لك بالمجان. اتصل برقم 844-722-4661 (رقم هاتف الصم والبكم: 711).

ਧਿਆਨ ਦਿਓ: ਜੇ ਤੁਸੀਂ ਪੰਜਾਬੀ ਬੋਲਦੇ ਹੋ, ਤਾਂ ਭਾਸ਼ਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਸਹਾਇਤਾ ਸੇਵਾ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਲਈ ਮੁਫਤ ਉਪਲਬਧ ਹੈ। 844-722-4661 (TTY: 711) 'ਤੇ ਕਾਲ ਕਰੋ।

ACHTUNG: Wenn Sie Deutsch sprechen, stehen Ihnen kostenlos sprachliche Hilfsdienstleistungen zur Verfügung. Rufnummer: 844-722-4661 (TTY: 711).

ໂປດຊາບ: ຖ້າວ່າ ທ່ານເວົ້າພາສາ ລາວ, ການບໍລິການຊ່ວຍເຫຼືອດ້ານພາສາ, ໂດຍບໍ່ຄ່າ, ຄວນມີພ້ອມໃຫ້ທ່ານ. ໂທ 844-722-4661 (TTY: 711).

ATANSYON: Si w pale Kreyòl Ayisyen, gen sèvis èd pou lang ki disponib gratis pou ou. Rele 844-722-4661 (TTY: 711).

ATTENTION: Si vous parlez français, des services d'aide linguistique vous sont proposés gratuitement. Appelez le 844-722-4661 (ATS: 711).

UWAGA: Jeżeli mówisz po polsku, możesz skorzystać z bezpłatnej pomocy językowej. Zadzwoń pod numer 844-722-4661 (TTY: 711).

ATENÇÃO: Se fala português, encontram-se disponíveis serviços linguísticos, grátis. Ligue para 844-722-4661 (TTY: 711).

ATTENZIONE: In caso la lingua parlata sia l'italiano, sono disponibili servizi di assistenza linguistica gratuiti. Chiamare il numero 844-722-4661 (TTY: 711).

توجہ: اگر بہ زبان فارسی گفتگو می کنید، تسهیلات زبانی بصورت رایگان برای شما فراهم می باشد. با 844-722-4661 (TTY: 711) تماس بگیرید.